

## ISSN:2230-9926

# International Journal of DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH



International Journal of Development Research Vol. 4, Issue, 2, pp. 278-282, February, 2014

# Full Length Research Article

## COMPARATIVE STUDY OF MOTOR DEVELOPMENT AMONG INDIAN AND IRANIAN STUDENTS A-CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY

## \*Majid Hashemi

Department of Physical Education, Varamin Pishva Branch, Islamic Azad University, Varamin, Iran

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article History:

Received 12th November, 2013 Received in revised form 27th December, 2013 Accepted 11th January, 2014 Published online 21st February, 2014

### Key words:

Motor Development, Posture Movement. Students' age, Speed

#### **ABSTRACT**

This paper aims to compare the motor development of Indian and Iranian boy's student between the age of 13 and 18 years in speed case. The focus is on the relationship between age and motor behavior which makes the study of motor development unique from other viewpoints. Motor development includes age related changes in both posture and movement, the two basic ingredient of motor behavior. The results portrayed by means of statistical tests and standard method of sampling.

Copyright © 2014. Majid Hashemi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### INTRODUCTION

This study was conducted keeping in view the following objectives. Study the level and pattern of the development of motor abilities of Indian male student of 13-18 years age. Compare the level and pattern of development of motor abilities of Indian male student with Iranian male student.

## Definitions of operational terms motor development

Motor development is the process of change in motor behavior that is related to the age of the individual. The focus on the relationship between age and motor behavior makes the study of motor development unique from other viewpoints motor development include age related changes in both posture and movement, the two basic ingredient of motor behavior. Development processes occur throughout the human life span (Jan Stephen tecklin, 1998). For the purpose of this study the term was understood to mean motor ability through the performance in selected motor fitness components that underlie gross motor skills.

\*Corresponding author: Majid Hashemi

Department of Physical Education, Varamin Pishva Branch, Islamic Azad University, Varamin, Iran

## **Cross-Sectional Study**

The cross–sectional study is a method of study that permits the researcher to collect data on different groups of people at varying age levels at the same point in time. The major purpose of the cross-sectional study was to measure of agerelated difference in behavior. This method does not permit measurement of age-related change, and has attracted controversy in recent years. Basically the cross-sectional method yield only average difference in groups across real time and not individual change developmental time. The basic assumption behind the cross-sectional study had been that random selection of subjects provides as representive sample of the population for each age group test. (David L & Gallahue, 1995) p10

## **Delimitations**

Motor development is an all-inclusive which is the area of interest for child psychologists, social psychologists and sport psychologists alike. Thus the term motor development is much wider in scope and meaning. For the purpose of this study the term motor development was contained to the concept of motor fitness development as measured through recognized motor fitness components of speed, agility, strength,

flexibility, power and endurance. The study was also delimited to high school student of 13-18 years. The study was further delimited to Indian students in Chandigarh and Iranian student in Tehran. The study was further more delimited to male students.

#### Limitation

Even though, no motivational techniques were employed, but every effort was made by the researcher to encourage the subjects to do their best. In spite of that researcher could not possibly control the performance differences in effort made by the subject to do their best. Such variation in effort and home environment, daily routine and diet might distort actual scores collected through and ultimately the final analysis. Variations obtained in score due to this factor were duly recognized as the limitation of the study.

## Significance of the study

In the past one decade physical education has found its right place in the school curriculum. To a large extent it has found its academic recognition at par with other subjects. Having found its place in the school curriculum, the teacher of physical education is confronted with numerous problems relating to classroom instruction in physical education. One specific problem that relates to instruction is the extent to which the school student may be provided combine instruction irrespective of their age. Another problem is catering to the individual needs. Even though providing the individualized instruction in physical education may be a far off dream, yet the teacher had to ensure that each group has only acceptable variation in abilities in order to provide effective instruction and avoid damages. The teaching policies signs and teachers, therefor, should be well familiar with the development trends and generalized pattern of development at different stages. This may help to adjust to programmers to the needs of the group as a whole. Thus, the present investigation may be great significance in understanding the patterns of development in motor ability. This may help to draw out effective learning environment and to provide scope for individual attention to extent possible. The result of the study may also help to understand the classification criterion in a better way. The result of the study help to understand the role of diet patterns, topography, genetic factor and the effect of educational system on school going children, in affecting the development pattern of children.

## Literature

Haley (1972) conducted a study of motor fitness. The sample included children studying in grades one through six. Thirty boys were randomly selected from each grade. Their ages ranged from five years nine months to 12 years two months. Frederick (1978) made a study to determine motor ability differences among five age groups composed of black and white, boys and girls in the performance of eight selected motor tasks. The boys scored significantly higher than the girls in the throw for distance, throw for accuracy, and vertical jump. The girls scored significantly higher than the boys in the balance on the right side foot, equilibrium on the left foot, kicking for a distance, pitching for accuracy and kicking for accuracy. Schmidt (1982) after reviewing numerous studies

pointed out which by the time an individual researches the age of 18 he experiences large improvement in his motor behavior. The manner in which motor proficiency improves as children grow old has been reviewed extensively. Barbannte (1983) made a study on Brazilian boys and girls. The purpose of this investigation was to determine the statues of physical fitness of selected Brazilian boys and girls. Haiphot Chanchiclung (1985) conducted an assessment of physical fitness of lower secondary school boys of Thailand. The samples for the study were 13500 lower secondary school boys selected through randomized clustered sampling. The modified Fleishman physical fitness test battery which consists of item for flexibility, quickness, strength, muscular endurance, matching, balance and cardiovascular endurance was administrated to the Reet Mahindersingh (1986) Prepared physical fitness norms for high school boys of panjab state. Data were collected on 5000 subjects selected randomly from various schools in the state. The test battery managedcomprised of eight items. I.e. Rachhpal Singh Brar (1987). Conducted in effects of short interval and long interval running with two recovery types on aerobic and anaerobic capacities and running performance of high school boys, the subject were 100 untrained students of grades nine and ten in Shivalik public school. DaljitKaur (1989). Conducted a study on the physical fitness of high school girls of the panjab belonging in the age group of 12 to 15, the purpose of the study was to prepare norms for the girls of panjab belonging to this age group. Meera Chauhan (1989). Compared the motor fitness performance of sports and non-sports school girls (13-15) years' old living at the high altitude of 2960ms at Shimla and 487ms at Chandigarh, Borrow (1990) conducted study on Korean secondary student of physical fitness. The aim of his study was to compare 1979 KSPFT and KPSFT results and to see if change in occurring Analysis of the data supports. Sukhpal Kaur (1990). Conducted across-sectional study of motor abilities of panjab and Chandigarh girls in the age group of7 to 11 years, the investigator studied the developmental changes in motor abilities which take place during the mentioned period. Amarpreet Singh (1993) conducted a study on the relationship of varying levels of motor fitness to Socio-Economic statues and structural variations among school students in the age group of 14 to 16 years.

Shilendra Kumar Sinha (1996) conducted a study of anthropometric and motor quality profiles of 8-14 years boys of eastern and north east region of India. Kamal Kant Sharma(1997) conducted a study on construction and standardization of motor fitness test battery for elementary school children in Delhi (U.T), the objectives of study were as follow: to find out how motor fitness variables, such as speed, strength, balance, flexibility and endurance, develop among boys and girls in the age group of eight to eleven years. Dinesh kumar (1998) showed on a normative study of fitness status in male students (13-16) years of age belonging to the schools of Himachal Pradesh, followed by development of norms for future uses. Jasbire Kaur (1999) Conducted as assessment of motor fitness of rural and urban senior secondary school girls of Punjab state. Sonam Angchok (1999) conducting a study to establish norms for the high and higher secondary male student of ladakh, among the age group 13 to 17. Sujata Devi (2000) conducted a study to compare the physical fitness and psychological trait of tribal and non-tribal high school students of high altitude areas between the age group of 14 and 17

years. Mandeep Brar (2004) conducted a study on motor development of school children of union territory of Chandigarh a cross sectional analysis 12 to 14 years.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In this chapter selection of subjects, design of the study, selection of variables, reliability of data, tools used, reliability of instrument, criterion measure, collection of data, administration of selected test items for collection of data, and techniques for data analysis are described.

#### Selection of subjects

The selection of subjects was completed in two phase 1 – a pilot study had been conducted on 240 student of 13-18 years of age studying in government schools from classes seven to twelve, 120 from Chandigarh (India) and 120 from region nine of Tehran (Iran) 20 students from each age, Abbreviations GSSS, GHSSS, GMSSS and JNVS means: government senior secondary school, government high school senior secondary, government model senior secondary school and Jawahar Navodaya Samiti respectively.

#### Collection of data

The data for selected variables on the randomly selected subjects was collected over a period of eleven month (12/01/09 to 02/12/09). The subjects were made available by school authorities during the physical education classes and other times when the students were available from their regular academic routine. So the data was collected over different times of the day for different variables.

## Statistical technique employed

To establish the reliability of the data person product moment correlation method was used. In order to analyze development patterns in motor fitness, analysis of variance was carried out for each motor fitness item to determine significance of variance, if any, from age to age, separately for Indian and Iranian students. Whenever F values were found significant, the post-hoc scheffe's test was employed to determine the significance of difference between the paired means. For analyzing difference between Indian and Iranian at each age in motor fitness test items, the t test isapplied. The level of significant was set at .05.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The Table1 showed a significant F values of 21.407 for Indian and 31.375 for Iranian student respectively, which indicated that six age groups different significantly in speed. Because the F was found to be significant, to establish which paired age group differed the results of post hoc scheffe's test have been present in Tables 2-7.

Table 2. Comparison of the paired test means for respective categories of Indian and Iranian male students in speed

Croung	MD		P value		
Groups	Indian	Iranian	Indian	Iranian	
13 vs. 14	.261	.703*	1.00	.000	
13 vs. 15	.420*	.683*	.000	.000	
13 vs. 16	.644*	.964*	.000	.000	
13 vs. 17	.721*	.862*	.000	.000	
13 vs. 18	.663*	.634*	.000	.000	

<sup>\*</sup>significant at .05 level of confidence.

It is evident from the table that in Indian male students paired mean difference of 13 and 15 year, 13 and 16 year, 13 and 17 year, and 13 and 18 year are significant respectively. This indicated that inspeed 15,16, 17 and 18 year male student were belter than 13 year male students. No significant difference was found between the paired mean difference of 13 and 14 yearin Indian male students. In Iranian male students the mean differences of 13 and 13 and 17 and 13 and 18 year male student were found to be significant. This indicated that in speed 14,15,16,17 and 18 year male students were quicker than 13 year male students.

Table3. Comparison of the paired test means for respective categories of Indian and Iranian male students in speed

Crouns	MD		P value	
Groups	Indian	Iranian	Indian	Iranian
14 vs. 13	.261	.703*	1.00	.000
14 vs. 15	.158	.020	.664	1.00
14 vs. 16	. 382*	. 261	.001	.095
14 vs. 17	.460*	.159	.000	.624
14 vs. 18	.401*	.069	.001	.985

Significant at .05 level of confidence.

From the table it is evident that in Indian male student paired mean difference of 14 and 16 year, 14 and 17 year, and 14 and 18 years were found to be significant respectively. This indicated that in speed16, 17 and 18 year male student were better than 14 year male students. But in case of 14 and 13 year, 14 and 15 year were not found significant differences. This indicated that 13 year was not quicker than 14 year and 14 year was not better than 15 year male students. In Iranian male students the paired mean difference of 14 and 13 year, was significant this indicated that 14 year was better than 13 years of age. But in paired mean difference of 14 and 15, 14 and 16, 14 and 17 and 14 and 18 year was not found significant difference. This indicated that 14 year was not taller than 15, 16, 17 and 18 year male students.

It was evident from the table that in Indian male students paired mean differences of 15 and 13 year, 15 and 17 year were found significant. This indicated that in speed performance 15 year wasbetter than 13 year and 17 year was better than 15 years of age. But in cases of 15 and 14 year, 15 and 16 and 15 and 18 year were not found significant

Table 1. The analysis of variance of Indian and Iranian male students in speed

Source of variation	DF	SS		MS		F	
Source of variation	Dr	Indian	Iranian	Indian	Iranian	Indian	Iranian
Between groups	5	79.111	114.006	15.822	22.801	21.407*	31.375*
Within groups	1194	882.486	867.706	.739	.727		
Total	1199	961.597	981.712				

<sup>\*</sup>significant at .05 level of confidence.

Table 4. Comparison of the paired test means for respective categories of Indian and Iranian male students in speed

Crouns	MD		P value		
Groups	Indian	Iranian	Indian	Iranian	
15 vs. 13	.420*	.683*	.000	.000	
15 vs. 14	.158	.020	.644	1.00	
15 vs. 16	.225	.282	.235	.054	
15 vs. 17	.302*	.180	.031	.489	
15 vs. 18	.244	.049	.155	.997	

<sup>\*</sup>significant at .05 level of confidence

differences. This indicated that in speed performance 14 year was not better than 15 years and 15 year was not better than 16 and 18 years of age. In Iranian male students paired mean differences of 15 and 13 year was found significant. This revealed that in speed performance 15year male students were better than 13 years. But in cases of 15 and 14 year, 15 and 16 years 15 and 17 and 15 and 18 years of age were not found significant differences. This indicated that in speed performance 15 year was not quick than 14, 16, 17 and 18 year male students.

Table 5. Comparison of the paired test means for respective categories of Indian and Iranian male student in speed

Croung	MD		P Value	
Groups	Indian	Iranian	Indian	Iranian
16 vs.13	.644*	964*	.000	.000
16 vs.14	.382*	.261	.001	.095
16 vs.15	.225	.282	.235	.054
16 vs.17	.087	.102	.976	.921
16 vs.18	.019	.331*	1.00	.010

<sup>\*</sup>significant at .05 level of confidence.

It was evident from the table that in Indian male student paired mean difference of 16 and 13 year and 16 and 14 year male students were found to be significant. This indicated that in speed performance 16 years were better than 13 and 14 years of age. But no significant difference was found for 16 and 15 year, 16 and 17 yearand 16 and 18 year. This indicated that in speed performance 16 years of age was not better than 17 and 18 year, and 15 year were not better than 16 years of age. In Iranian male student paired mean difference of 16 and 13 year, 16 and 18 year were found significant. This revealed that 16 year was better than 13 and 18 years. But in case of 16 and 14 year, 16 and 15 year and 16 and 17 year was not found significant difference. This indicated that 14, 15 and 17 years were not quick than 16 years of age.

Table 6. Comparison of the paired test means for respective categories of Indian and Iranian male student in speed

Crouns	N	MD		P Value		
Groups	Indian	Iranian	Indian	Iranian		
17 vs.13	.721*	.862*	.000	.000		
17 vs.14	.460*	.159	.000	.624		
17 vs.15	.302*	.180	.031	.489		
17 vs.16	.078	.102	.976	.921		
17 vs.18	.059	.229	.993	.207		

<sup>\*</sup>significant at .05 level of confidence.

It was evident from the table that in Indian male student paired mean difference of 17 and 13 year, 17 and 14 year, 17 and 15 year were found to be significant. This indicated that in speed performance 17 year was better than 13,14 and 15 year .but in paired mean difference of 17 and 16 year and 17 and 18 year not found significant. These showed that 16 and 18 year were

not better than 17years in performance. In Iranian male student paired mean difference of 17 and 13 year was found significant. This revealed that in speed performance 17 yearwas better than 13 years of age. But in cases of 17 and 14 year, 17 and 15 year, 17 and 16 year and 17 and 18 years of age was not found significant. This indicated that in speed performance 14, 15, 16 and 18 yearswere not better than 17 year male students.

Table 7. Comparison of the paired test means for respective categories of Indian and Iranian male student in speed

Groups	MD		P Value		
Groups	Indian	Iranian	Indian	Iranian	
18 vs.13	.663*	.634*	.000	.000	
18 vs.14	.401*	.069	.001	.985	
18 vs.15	.244	.049	.155	.997	
18 vs.16	.019	.331*	1.00	.010	
18 vs.17	.059	.229	.993	.207	

<sup>\*</sup>significant at .05 level of confidence.

It was evident from the table that in Indian male student paired mean difference of 18 and 13 year, 18 and 14 year were found to be significant. This indicated that in speed performance 18 year was better than 13 and 14 years of age. But in paired mean difference of 18 and 15 year, 18 and 16 year and 18 and 17 year of age were not found significant difference. This indicated that in speed 18 year was not better than 17 year, and 15 and 16 years werenot better than 18 year male student. In Iranian male student paired mean difference of 18 and 13 year and 18 and 16 year of age were found significant, these indicated that 18 year were better than 13 year male students and 16 year was better than 18 year male student in speed. But in cases of no significant differences were found in cases of 18 and 14 year, 18 and 15 year and 18 and 17 year. These indicated that 18 year male students were not quicker than 14, 15and 17 year male student. Motor development is the process of change in motor behavior that is related to the age of the individual. The main significant focus on the relationship between age and motor behavior makes the study of motor development unique from other viewpoints. Motor development includes age-related vagaries in both posture and movement, the two main component of motor behavior. Development processes Occur throughout the human life span (Jan Stephen Tecklin 1998). For the purpose of this study the term was understood to mean motor ability through the performance in selected motor fitness components that underlie gross motor skills.

## Conclusion

This paper aims to compare the motor development of Indian and Iranian boy's student between the age of 13 and 18 years in speed performance. The focus on the relationship between age and motor behavior makes the study of motor development unique from other viewpoints. The results and tests showed that six age groups are different significantly in speed. Also the tests indicated that in speed 14, 15,16, 17 and 18 year male student were better than 13 year male students. In Iranian male students the mean differences of 13 and group 14 and 13,pair 15 and 13,pair 16 and 13 and 17 and 13 and 18 year male student were found to be significant. This indicated that in speed 14,15,16,17 and 18 year male students were better than 13 year male students. In final results it derives that Indian male student had significant difference of speed in case of 13 and 15 years, 14 and 16 years, 15 and 17 years, but no

significant difference was found in case of 16 and 18 years, 17 years of age was better than other age groups. For the future study the other components like agilityand etc. proposed to study by the authors.

## REFERENCES

- Amarpreet Singh 1993":Relationship of varying levels of motor fitness to socio-economic statues and structural variation among school students in the age group of 14-16 years. Unpublished theses Panjab University Chandigarh
- Borrow James R., "A Comparison of the Secondary Korean Students physical Fitness Test Scores in 1979 and 1986"Dissertation Abstracts international, 51:4 (October 1990): P 1159-A
- Barbannate, Valdes Jose. A Comparative Study of Selected anthropometric and physical fitness Measurement of Brazilian and American School Children' Dissertation Abstracts International 43:12 1983:3840-A
- Cratty, B. J. 1979. Perceptual and motor development in infants and children. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall
- DaljitKaur1989: "assessment of physical fitness of high school girls of Panjab". Unpublished theses Panjab University Chandigarh
- David L. Gallahue, John C. Ozmun 1995: "understanding moor development" (USA WCB Brown & Bench Marck): Book P10
- Dinesh kumar 1998: "a normative study of physical fitness of lower secondary school boys (12-14 years of age) of Thailand" Unpublished theses Panjab University Chandigarh.
- Fredrick 1979, "Study of motor ability differences among boys and girls in performance" 08 chapter 2.pdf -Shodhganga
- Haiphot Chanchiclung 1985:"Assessment of motor physical fitness of lower secondary school boys (12-14 years of age) of Thailand" Unpublished theses Panjab University Chandigarh.
- Jan Stephen tecklin, 1998:"pediatric physical therapy third edition:" (Philadelphia: Lippincott Raven,) Book p70

- Jasbire Kaur 1999: "Assessment of motor fitness of rural and urban senior secondary school girls of Panjab state" unpublished theses Panjab University Chandigarh.
- Kamal Kant Sharma 1997: "Construction and standardization of motor fitness test battery for elementary school children in Delhi (u.t.).
- Mandip Brar 2004: "Motor development of school children of union territory of Chandigarh- A cross sectional analysis 11-14 years" unpublished theses physical education department Panjab University Chandigarh.
- Meera Chauhan 1989: "construction and standardization of motor fitness test battery for elementary school children in Delhi (u.t) unpublished theses Panjab University Chandigarh.
- Rachhpal Singh Brar 1987: "Effect of short invertal and long interval running with two recovery types on aerobic and anaerobic capacities and running performances of high school boys" unpublished theses Panjab University Chandigarh.
- Reet Mahindersingh 1986:" physical fitness norms of Panjab state high school boys" unpublished theses Panjab University Chandigarh.
- Schmidt, R.A. (1982). *Motor control and learning: A behavioral emphasis*. Champaign, *IL*: Human Kinetics.
- Shilendra Kumar Sinha 1996:"A study of anthropometric and motor quality profiles of 8-14 years boys of eastern and north east region of India" kalyani university –SAI, Calcutta. Unpublished theses Panjab University Chandigarh
- Sonam Angchok 1999: "physical fitness norms for high and higher secondary student of Ladakh" Unpublished theses Panjab University Chandigarh
- Sujata Devi 2000: A comparative study of physical fitness and psychological traits of Tribal and non-Tribal high school students of high altitude area". Unpublished theses Panjab University Chandigarh
- SukhpalKaur 1990: "A cross-sectional study of motor abilities of girls in the age group of 7 to 11 years". Unpublished theses Panjab University Chandigarh

\*\*\*\*\*