

ISSN: 2230-9926

Available online at http://www.journalijdr.com



International Journal of DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH

International Journal of Development Research Vol. 4, Issue, 7, pp. 1424-1427, July, 2014

## Full Length Research Article

## EFFECT OF SPACING AND NPK ON GROWTH, YIELD AND NUTRIENT UPTAKE BY MAKOI (SOLANUM NIGRUM L.) UNDER HILL ZONE OF KARNATAKA

## \*Nagaraj Gokavi, Ravikumar, M. and Shivakumar, H. J.

Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, College of Horticulture, Mudigere - 577132, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka

# ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT Article History: An investigation was carried out to study the effect of spacing and NPK on growth, yield and nutrient untake by makei under hill zone 0 of Kormetake at Hortigulture Persearch Station

Received 13<sup>th</sup> April, 2014 Received in revised form 11<sup>th</sup> May, 2014 Accepted 28<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 Published online 20<sup>th</sup> July, 2014

*Key words:* NPK, Investigation, Horticultural Sciences, Horticulture Research Station, Fresh and dry yield. nutrient uptake by makoi under hill zone-9 of Karnataka at Horticulture Research Station, Thirthahalli, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot during the year 2013-14. The results revealed that, spacing of 60 x 45 cm and application of NPK fertilizer @ 125:75:75 kg /ha was found beneficial for getting higher growth, fruit yield and uptake of nutrients by makoi. Among different spacing levels, maximum plant height (70.41 cm) was noticed in S1 (60 x 45 cm) and the spacing of 60 x 60 cm (S2) recorded maximum number of branches (14.22) and maximum number of leaves (233.77), higher fresh and dry fruit yield (14.33 and 2.58 t/ha, respectively), N (92.41 kg/ha), P (13.13 kg/ha) and K (43.94 kg/ha) uptake by makoi. Among the different fertilizer levels, application of 125:75:75 kg NPK / ha (F8) recorded maximum plant height (74.27 cm), higher number of branches (15.23), higher number of leaves (269.77), fresh and dry yield (16.03 t and 3.71 t/ha, respectively) and higher uptake of N (132.56 kg/ha), P (15.34 kg/ha) and K (66.85 kg/ha) by makoi compared to other levels and control.

Copyright © 2014 Nagaraj gokavi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Makoi (Solanum nigrum L.) is a newly emerging medicinal crop, belongs to the family Solanaceae. Among different species of Solanum known for their medicinal value Solanum nigrum L. is one such species, which has tremendous medicinal uses. It is an herbaceous medicinal plant found throughout India, particularly in drier parts up to an elevation of 2,100 m and is distributed in all tropical and temperate regions of the world (Anonymous, 1969). Plant is reported to occur in parts of Srilanka, China, Guinea, Madagascar, Rhodesia and South Africa. Makoi is an annual herb growing to a height of 30-45 cm; stem is erect, glabrous and more or less pubescent. Leaves are 2.5-9.0 cm in length and 2.5 cm wide, ovate to lanceolate, sub-acute or acuminate, glabrous, thin, entire, sinuate, toothed, tapering petiole of 2 cm long. Flowers are small white sub-umbellate, 3-8 flowered cymes; peduncles are 6-20 mm long, slender, pedicels are 6-10 mm long very slender. Fruit is botanically berry and has a diameter of 6 mm, globose and purplish black colour.

\*Corresponding author: Nagaraj gokavi Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops,

College of Horticulture, Mudigere - 577132, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka Seeds are discoid, 1.5 mm in diameter, minutely pitted and yellow in colour (Kirthikar and Basu, 1975). The leaves, berries and the whole herb of the plants are economically important. The alkaloids, alpha- solamargine and alpha-solasonine have been isolated and identi fied from the green, unripe fruits (Ridout *et al.*, 1989). The berries also contain four steroidal glycoalkaloids namely alpha-solanigrine, beta-solanigrine, solamargine and solasonine. Solamargine and solasonine are present in leaves. Although, work has been done on the therapeutic uses of this crop, the information on the cultural and nutrient management practices suited to the hill zone condition of Karnataka is very meagre. Hence, th e present investigation is planned to standardize the optimum s pacing and nutrient requirement of this crop for enhancing the growth, yield and nutrient uptake by makoi.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The present investigation was carried out during December 2012 to March 2013 to study the effect of spacing and NPK on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by makoi under hill zone of Karnataka at Horticulture Research Station, Thirthahalli. In all, there were sixteen treatments comprised of two levels of spacing and eight levels of fertilizer. The spacing levels used

in the study were S1:  $60 \times 45$  cm (thirty seven thousand thirty seven plants per hectare) and S2:  $60 \times 60$  cm (twenty seven thousand seven hundred and seventy seven plants per hectare) and the fertilizer doses were F1: 100:50:50 kg NPK ha-1 (Control), F2: 100:50:75 kg NPK ha-1, F3:100:75:50kg NPK ha-1, F4: 100:75: 75 kg NPK ha-1, F5: 125:50:50 kg NPK/ha, F6: 125:50:75 kg NPK/ha, F7: 125:75:50 kg NPK/ha and F8: 125:75:75 kg NPK/ha. The gross plot size was 3.0 x 3.0 m (9  $m^2$ ). The treatments were allocated to individual plots at random. Ridges and furrows were formed as per the row spacing. Farm yard manure of 9 kg / plot (@10 tons/ha) was evenly spread in all plots and thoroughly mixed in to the soil. Before sowing, the seeds were pre soaked in 500 ppm GA3 solution for 12 hours to overcome the dormancy and to e nsure good germination. Thirty days old healthy, uniform sized seedlings were transplanted in the experimental plots as per the treatments. The experimental plots were applied with the calculated quantity of fertilizers as per the treatments. Out of total quantity, 50% of Nitrogen and full dose of Phosph orous and Potash were supplied as basal dose a day before tran splanting. The remaining 50% of Nitrogen was given as top dressing at 30 days after transplanting. The crop was harvested 3 months after planting at mature green berry stage. Observations on growth and yield parameters were recorded on five randomly selected plants in the net plot. The data collected on different parameters were subjected to st atistical analysis.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The data pertaining to the effect of spacing and NPK on vegetative parameters are presented in Table 1. Among different growth parameters, maximum plant height (70.41 cm) was observed at closer spacing of 60 x 45 cm ( $S_1$ ) and the spacing of 60 x 60 cm  $(S_2)$  recorded the lowest plant height of 67.63 cm. Whereas, plants spaced at 60 x 60 cm ( $S_2$ ) recorded maximum number of branches and leaves per plant (14.22 and 233.77, respectively). The least number of branches and leaves per plant (13.58 and 219.21, respectively) was noticed in 60  $x 45 \text{ cm}(S_1)$  spacing. The increased plant height in closer spacing might be due to competition among plants for solar energy due to increased plant density. The increased branching could be attributed to the more interception of light due to higher surface area. The increase in leaf production may be attributed to more number of branches put forth by the plants at this spacing. These results are in line with the observation smade by Lokesh and Gangadharappa (2007) in makoi. Among the different fertilizer levels, treatment  $F_8$  (125:75:75 k g NPK/ha) recorded maximum plant height (74.27 cm), number of branches (15.23) and number of leaves (269.77).

While, minimum plant height (66.70 cm), number of branches (12.63) and number of leaves (187.13) was recorded in control ( $F_1$ ). The positive influence of the nutrients on plant height may be due to the fact that nitrogen is required for cell division and cell elongation. Whereas, phosphorus increases the Plant height by increasing the cell multiplication in the plant tissue and the potassium is involved in protein and carbohydrates metabolism, which leads to cell enlargement an d trigger the growth of meristamatic tissue. The production of more number of branches may be due to the split application o f N, which influenced the availability of N. The production of more number of leaves may be to the enhanced availability of

 
 Table 1. Effect spacing and NPK on vegetative parameters of makoi

	Plant height	Number of	Number
Treatments	(cm)	branches	of leaves
Spacing level (S)	(em)	branches	or leaves
Spacing level (S) S <sub>1</sub> : 60x45 cm	70.41	13.58	219.21
$S_1 : 60x43 cm$ $S_2 : 60x60 cm$	67.63	13.38	219.21
S <sub>2</sub> : 60x60 cm S.Em±	0.71	0.17	4.56
CD @ 5%	2.06	0.17	4.36
	2.06	0.49	13.19
Fertilizer level (F)	66.70	12 62	107 12
F <sub>1</sub> : 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha	66.70	12.63	187.13
(control)	66.97	12.52	208 02
F <sub>2</sub> : 100:50:75 kg NPK/ha	66.87	13.52	208.03
F <sub>3</sub> : 100:75:50 kg NPK/ha	67.78	13.58	210.10
F <sub>4</sub> : 100:75:75 kg NPK/ha	67.88	13.65	221.38
F <sub>5</sub> : 125:50:50 kg NPK/ha	67.97	14.05	223.48
F <sub>6</sub> : 125:50:75 kg NPK/ha	69.47	14.11	240.17
F <sub>7</sub> : 125:75:50 kg NPK/ha	71.22	14.43	251.85
F <sub>8</sub> : 125:75:75 kg NPK/ha	74.27	15.23	269.77
S.Em±	1.42	0.34	9.13
CD @ 5 %	4.12	0.99	26.39
Interaction effects (S x F)	(a		
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	63.87	11.27	190.53
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	66.60	12.10	207.93
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	69.13	14.17	219.53
$S_1F_4$	70.67	13.30	211.10
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>5</sub>	73.13	14.17	210.00
$S_1F_6$	69.20	13.87	227.20
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>7</sub>	74.70	14.77	229.37
$S_1F_8$	76.00	15.03	258.00
$S_2F_1$	69.53	14.00	183.73
$S_2F_2$	67.13	14.93	208.13
$S_2F_3$	66.43	13.00	200.67
$S_2F_4$	65.10	14.00	231.67
$S_2F_5$	62.80	13.93	236.97
$S_2F_6$	69.73	14.34	253.13
$S_2F_7$	67.73	14.10	274.33
$S_2F_8$	72.53	15.43	281.53
S.Em±	2.02	0.48	12.92
CD @ 5 %	5.83	1.40	NS

Table 2. Effect spacing and NPK on yield of makoi

Spacing level (S)           S1: 60x45 cm           S2: 60x60 cm           S.Em±           CD @ 5 %           Fertilizer level (F)           F1: 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha (control)           F2: 100:50:75 kg NPK/ha           F3: 100:75:50 kg NPK/ha           F4: 100:75:75 kg NPK/ha	2g/plot 12.90 12.09 0.25 0.74 10.96 11.47 11.85 12.21 12.62 13.01 13.41	t/ha 14.33 13.43 0.28 0.82 12.18 12.74 13.16 13.56 14.02 14.45	Kg/plot 2.32 1.44 0.07 0.20 1.18 1.43 1.56 1.57 1.95	t/ha 2.58 1.60 0.07 0.22 1.31 1.59 1.74 1.74
S <sub>1</sub> : 60x45 cm S <sub>2</sub> : 60x60 cm S.Em± CD @ 5 % Fertilizer level (F) F <sub>1</sub> : 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha (control) F <sub>2</sub> : 100:50:75 kg NPK/ha F <sub>3</sub> : 100:75:50 kg NPK/ha F <sub>4</sub> : 100:75:75 kg NPK/ha	12.09 0.25 0.74 10.96 11.47 11.85 12.21 12.62 13.01	13.43 0.28 0.82 12.18 12.74 13.16 13.56 14.02	1.44 0.07 0.20 1.18 1.43 1.56 1.57 1.95	1.60 0.07 0.22 1.31 1.59 1.74 1.74
S <sub>2</sub> : 60x60 cm S.Em± CD @ 5 % Fertilizer level (F) F <sub>1</sub> : 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha (control) F <sub>2</sub> : 100:75:50 kg NPK/ha F <sub>3</sub> : 100:75:50 kg NPK/ha F <sub>4</sub> : 100:75:75 kg NPK/ha	12.09 0.25 0.74 10.96 11.47 11.85 12.21 12.62 13.01	13.43 0.28 0.82 12.18 12.74 13.16 13.56 14.02	1.44 0.07 0.20 1.18 1.43 1.56 1.57 1.95	1.60 0.07 0.22 1.31 1.59 1.74 1.74
S.Em± CD @ 5 % Fertilizer level (F) F1: 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha (control) F2: 100:50:75 kg NPK/ha F3: 100:75:50 kg NPK/ha F4: 100:75:75 kg NPK/ha	0.25 0.74 10.96 11.47 11.85 12.21 12.62 13.01	0.28 0.82 12.18 12.74 13.16 13.56 14.02	0.07 0.20 1.18 1.43 1.56 1.57 1.95	0.07 0.22 1.31 1.59 1.74 1.74
CD @ 5 % Fertilizer level (F) F <sub>1</sub> : 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha (control) F <sub>2</sub> : 100:50:75 kg NPK/ha F <sub>3</sub> : 100:75:50 kg NPK/ha F <sub>4</sub> : 100:75:75 kg NPK/ha	0.74 10.96 11.47 11.85 12.21 12.62 13.01	0.82 12.18 12.74 13.16 13.56 14.02	0.20 1.18 1.43 1.56 1.57 1.95	0.22 1.31 1.59 1.74 1.74
Fertilizer level (F) F <sub>1</sub> : 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha (control) F <sub>2</sub> : 100:50:75 kg NPK/ha F <sub>3</sub> : 100:75:50 kg NPK/ha F <sub>4</sub> : 100:75:75 kg NPK/ha	10.96 11.47 11.85 12.21 12.62 13.01	12.18 12.74 13.16 13.56 14.02	1.18 1.43 1.56 1.57 1.95	1.31 1.59 1.74 1.74
F <sub>1</sub> : 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha (control) F <sub>2</sub> : 100:50:75 kg NPK/ha F <sub>3</sub> : 100:75:50 kg NPK/ha F <sub>4</sub> : 100:75:75 kg NPK/ha	11.47 11.85 12.21 12.62 13.01	12.74 13.16 13.56 14.02	1.43 1.56 1.57 1.95	1.59 1.74 1.74
F <sub>2</sub> : 100:50:75 kg NPK/ha F <sub>3</sub> : 100:75:50 kg NPK/ha F <sub>4</sub> : 100:75:75 kg NPK/ha	11.47 11.85 12.21 12.62 13.01	12.74 13.16 13.56 14.02	1.43 1.56 1.57 1.95	1.59 1.74 1.74
F <sub>2</sub> : 100:50:75 kg NPK/ha F <sub>3</sub> : 100:75:50 kg NPK/ha F <sub>4</sub> : 100:75:75 kg NPK/ha	11.85 12.21 12.62 13.01	13.16 13.56 14.02	1.56 1.57 1.95	1.74 1.74
F <sub>4</sub> : 100:75:75 kg NPK/ha	12.21 12.62 13.01	13.56 14.02	1.57 1.95	1.74
	12.62 13.01	14.02	1.95	
F5: 125:50:50 kg NPK/ha	13.01			2.17
		14 45		2.17
F <sub>6</sub> : 125:50:75 kg NPK/ha	13 41	17.75	1.95	2.17
F <sub>7</sub> : 125:75:50 kg NPK/ha		14.90	2.06	2.29
F <sub>8</sub> : 125:75:75 kg NPK/ha	14.43	16.03	3.34	3.71
S.Em±	0.51	0.57	0.14	0.15
CD @ 5 %	1.48	1.65	0.40	0.45
Interaction effects (S x F)				
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	11.07	12.30	1.30	1.44
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	11.73	13.03	1.76	1.95
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	12.23	13.59	1.93	2.14
$S_1F_4$	12.48	13.87	1.90	2.11
S1F5	13.13	14.59	2.51	2.79
S1F6	13.56	15.07	2.51	2.79
S1F7	13.93	15.47	2.60	2.89
$S_1F_8$	15.07	16.74	4.07	4.52
$S_2F_1$	10.85	12.05	1.07	1.19
$S_2F_2$	11.20	12.45	1.10	1.22
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	11.46	12.74	1.20	1.33
$S_2F_4$	11.93	13.26	1.23	1.37
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>5</sub>	12.10	13.44	1.39	1.55
$S_2F_6$	12.45	13.84	1.40	1.55
	12.90	14.33	1.53	1.70
	13.79	15.33	2.61	2.91
	0.72	0.80	0.19	0.22
CD @ 5 %	NS	NS	NS	NS

 
 Table 3. Effect of spacing and levels of NPK on nutrient uptake by makoi

	Nutrient uptake (kg / ha)			
Treatments	Ν	Р	K	
Spacing level (S)				
$S_1 : 60x45 \text{ cm}$	92.41	13.13	43.94	
$S_2$ : 60x60 cm	71.12	6.80	30.65	
S.Em±	2.29	0.31	1.43	
CD @ 5 %	6.62	0.89	4.13	
Fertilizer level (F)				
F1: 100:50:50 kg NPK/ha (control)	41.43	4.36	19.27	
F <sub>2</sub> : 100:50:75 kg NPK/ha	53.21	5.54	24.55	
F <sub>3</sub> : 100:75:50 kg NPK/ha	59.87	6.43	28.77	
F <sub>4</sub> : 100:75:75 kg NPK/ha	64.19	6.93	30.77	
F <sub>5</sub> : 125:50:50 kg NPK/ha	92.78	9.11	40.01	
F <sub>6</sub> : 125:50:75 kg NPK/ha	101.60	9.59	42.11	
F <sub>7</sub> : 125:75:50 kg NPK/ha	108.46	10.43	46.00	
F <sub>8</sub> : 125:75:75 kg NPK/ha	132.56	15.34	66.85	
S.Em±	4.58	0.62	2.86	
CD @ 5 %	13.24	1.79	8.27	
Interaction effects (S x F)				
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	46.22	4.69	20.58	
$S_1F_2$	65.31	6.48	28.38	
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	74.77	7.57	33.15	
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>4</sub>	79.58	7.96	36.01	
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>5</sub>	96.67	11.33	48.11	
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>6</sub>	106.67	11.84	51.21	
S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>7</sub>	116.59	12.67	55.18	
$S_1F_8$	153.47	18.49	78.88	
$S_2F_1$	36.63	4.02	17.97	
$S_2F_2$	41.12	4.60	20.72	
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	44.98	5.29	24.40	
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>4</sub>	48.80	5.90	25.53	
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>5</sub>	88.89	6.90	31.91	
$S_2F_6$	96.53	7.35	33.02	
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>7</sub>	100.33	8.19	36.82	
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>8</sub>	111.65	12.19	54.82	
S.Em±	6.48	0.87	4.05	
CD @ 5 %	NS	NS	NS	

the appropriate time, which might have resulted nutrients at in increased photosynthetic rate and accumulation of metabolit e in plants. These results are in comparison with the findings of Mann and Vyas (1999) in Plantago ovate, Pushapalatha et al. (2003) in makoi and Lokesh and Gangadharappa (2007) in makoi. Significant variation in plant height was observed among the interaction. The treatment combination 60 X 45 cm spacing and 125: 75: 75:kg NPK/ha  $(S_1F_8)$  recorded maximum plant height (76 cm). While,  $S_2F_8$  recorded the highest number of branches (15.43). The interaction effect bet ween spacing and fertilizer levels did not show any significant variation on number of leaves per plant. However, maximum (281.53) number of leaves per plant was recorded in treatment  $S_2F_8$ . While,  $S_1F_1$  (control) treatment combination was recorded the minimum plant height (63.87 cm), number of branches (11.27) and number of leaves (190.53).

This may be due to positive effect of spacing and NPK individually on the plant height and number of branches and the same beneficial effect has been reflected in the combination also. Similar, findings were observed by Gangadharappa (2007) in makoi. The data Lokesh and pertaining to the effect of spacing and NPK on yield parameters are presented in Table 2. Among the different spacing levels, plants spaced at 60 x 45 cm (S1) recorded significantly higher fresh and dry yield per hectare (14.33 t and 2.58 t, respectively). While, lowest fresh and dry yield per hectare (13.43 t and 1.60 t, respectively) was noticed in S2. This was due to the fact that the more number of plants per unit area at this spacing helps to accumulate higher fresh and dry yield per hectare. These findings are in line with the results of Lokesh and Gangadharappa (2007) in makoi. Among the different fertilizer levels, application of 125:75:75 kg NPK/ha (F8) recorded the maximum fresh and dry yield per hectare (16.03 t and 3.71 t, respectively). While, lowest fresh and dry yield per hectare (12.18 t and 1.31 t, respectively) was observed in control (F1). This was due positive role played by nutrient on growth and metabolism of plants, which increased the accumulation of matter in the plant. These results are comparable with the results noticed by Ramesh et al. (1996) and Muniramappa et al. (1997) in kalmegh, Khode et al. (2000) in periwinkle and Lokesh and Gangadharappa (2007) in makoi. Among the interaction no significant difference was noticed in fresh and dry yield per hectare was due to interaction of spacing and fertilizer.

#### NPK uptake by Makoi Nitrogen uptake

The data pertaining to the effect of levels of spacing and NPK on nitrogen uptake are presented in Table 3. Plant spacing had significant effect on uptake of nitrogen. Plants spaced at 60 x 45 cm (S<sub>1</sub>) was recorded significantly higher nitrogen uptake (92.41 kg/ha). The lowest nitrogen uptake (71.12 kg/ha) was observed in treatment S<sub>2</sub>. Emphasizing the fact that the better growth of the plants at this spacing resulted in more uptake of nitrogen from the soil. Similar, results were also observed by Pushpalatha et al. (2003) in makoi. Application of 125:75:75 kg NPK/ha ( $F_8$ ) recorded the higher nitrogen uptake (132.56 kg/ha) which was significantly superior over  $F_1$  (41.43 kg/ha). The minimum nitrogen uptake (41.43 kg/ha) was observed in control (F<sub>1</sub>). These results revealed more availability of nitrogen in the soil and their subsequent uptake by the crop. Similar, kinds of results were recorded earlier by Ramesh et al. (1996) in kalmegh, Pushpalatha et al. (2003) in makoi and Lokesh and Gangadharappa (2007) in makoi. Interactions did not show significant effect on uptake of nitrogen. However, maximum N uptake (153.47 kg/ha) was recorded due to  $S_1F_8$  (60 x 60 cm + 125:75:75kg NPK/ha) treatment combination.

#### Phosphorus uptake

The data pertaining to the effect of spacing and NPK on phosphorus uptake are presented in Table 3. Plant spacing showed significant effect on uptake of phosphorus. The plants spaced at 60 x 45 cm  $(S_1)$  was recorded significantly higher phosphorus uptake (13.13 kg/ha). The lowest phosphorus uptake (6.80 kg/ha) was observed in S<sub>2</sub> spacing. Better growth of the plants at this spacing resulted in the higher uptake of phosphorus from the soil. Similar, results were observed by Pushpalatha et al. (2003) in makoi. Application of 125:75:75 kg NPK/ha (F<sub>8</sub>) recorded higher phosphorus uptake of 15.34 kg/ha which was significantly superior over  $F_1$  (4.36 kg/ha). The minimum phosphorus uptake (4.36 kg/ha) was observed in the control (F1). This may be attributed to maximum availability of phosphorous in the soil in an easily soluble form and contributed to highest uptake by the crop. Similar, results were obtained by Pushpalatha et al. (2003) in makoi and Lokesh and Gangadharappa (2007) in makoi. Interactions showed no significant effect on uptake of phosphorus. However, the value found highest (18.49 kg P / ha) in  $S_1F_8$ treatment combination.

#### Potassium uptake

The data pertaining to the effect of spacing and NPK on potassium uptake are presented in Table 3. Plant spacing level had significant effect on uptake of potassium. The plants spaced at 60 x 45 cm (S<sub>1</sub>) recorded significantly higher potassium uptake of 43.94 kg/ha. The lowest potassium uptake (30.65 kg/ha) was observed in S<sub>2</sub> (60x60cm). Better growth of the plants at closer spacing resulted in the higher uptake of potassium from the soil (Pushpalatha et al., 2003). Application of 125:75:75 kg NPK/ha (F<sub>8</sub>) recorded the higher potassium uptake (66.85 kg/ha) compared to other levels. The minimum potassium uptake (19.27 kg/ha) was observed in control (F<sub>1</sub>). This might be due to better K availability and their uptake. Similar, results were also reported by Bhuvaneshwari (2001) in anise, Pushpalatha et al. (2003) in makoi and Lokesh and Gangadharappa (2007) in makoi. Interactions effect showed no significant variation in the uptake of potassium. However, maximum uptake (78.88 kg K /ha) being recorded in  $S_1F_8$ combination.

#### Conclusion

Field experiment was carried out to study the effect of spacing and NPK on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by makoi under hill zone of Karnataka during December 2012 to March 2013 at Horticulture Research Station, Thirthahalli. Spacing of 60 x 45 cm and application of 125:75:75 kg NPK/ha was found beneficial for better growth and yield of makoi.

#### REFERENCES

ANONYMOUS, 1969, Solanumnigrum Linn, Wealth of India, Raw materials, 9 CSIR, New Delhi, pp. 391-392.

- KHODE, P. P., GHATOL, P. V., BHUYUR, S. A., DEO, D. D. AND DHUMAL, V. M., 2000, Performance of *Vincarosea*to different fertility and irrigation levels. *Agri. Sci. Dig.*, 20 (2): 135-136.
- KIRTHIKAR, K. R. AND BASU, B. D., 1975, Indian Medicinal Plants (Vol-III), Second Edition, New Cannaught Place, Dehradun, pp. 1748-1751.
- LOKESH, M. D. AND GANGADHARAPPA, P. M., (2007), Effect of plant density and nutrients on growth and herbage yield in makoi (*Solanumnigrum L.*), J. Asian Hort., 3(3): 169-173.
- MANN, P. S. AND VYAS, A. K., 1999, Effect of sowing dates and nitrogen levels on growth and nutrient uptake of isabgol (*Plantagoovato*Fork). *Ann. Agric. Res.*, 20 (4): 517-518.
- MUNIRAMAPPA, R. P., FAROOQI, A. A., GOWDA, H. G. R. AND MARICAPU, S., 1997, Influence of macronutrien ts on yield and active principle content in kalmegh. *J. Med. Arom. Pl. Sci*, 19 (4): 1039-1042.
- PUSHPALATHA, A. S., SREERAMU, B. S. AND FAROOQI, A. A., 2003, Influence of spacing, nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium on growth, herbage yield and nutrient uptake in makoi (Solanum.nigrum). Proce. Nation. Semi. New Persp. Spic. Medi. Arom. Crps, November 27-29, pp. 225-229.
- RAMESH, P. M., FAROOQI, A. A. AND AMITAGARWAL, 1996, Effect of dates of sowing and spacing on growth and yield of kalmegh (*Andrographispaniculata*). J. Non timb. Fore. Produ, 31 (1-2): 35-38.
- RIDOUT, C. L., ORICE, K. R, COXON, D. T. AND FENWICK, G. R., 1989, Glyco-alkaloids from Solanumnigrum L. alpha Solamargine and alpha solasonine. Pharmazie, 44 (10): 732-733.

\*\*\*\*\*\*