



FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM: A GEOMETRIC PROOF

*Severino Batista Brito

Electrical Engineer and Civil Engineer, Retired Public Agent from CHESF

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 17th September, 2022
Received in revised form
21st September, 2022
Accepted 03rd October, 2022
Published online 30th October, 2022

KeyWords:

Fermat, Diophantine equation,
Euclidean Geometry.

*Corresponding author: Severino Batista Brito,

ABSTRACT

We have discovered a truly marvelous proof, which this article can contain. Indeed, this article has the goal of present a solution to Fermat's Last Theorem. It is a Diophantine equation that has enchanted all subjects of the mathematical world for centuries due to your frugality. So near, and yet so distant. The equation was and still a enigma. By the year of 1993, the British mathematician Andrew Willes presented to the world a solution. But, we believe in not several ways, but, at least, in more than one way that can solve it. Or, yet, we are trying to reach the same point, through a different point of view. Unlikely, but possible. A simple and straight resolution; moreover, compatible with the seventeenth Fermat's environment, time and geniality. After all, as Da Vinci stated centuries ago, "simplicity is the ultimate sophistication".

Copyright©2022, Severino Batista Brito. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Severino Batista Brito. 2022. "Fermat's last theorem: A geometric proof", International Journal of Development Research, 12, (10), 59685-59688.

INTRODUCTION

According to Pierre Fermat, the equation $a^n = b^n + c^n$ with $\{n > 2 | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has no solution in which $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}$. This is the Fermat's last theorem. It was one of the last enigmas of the mathematical in world and persisted for more than three centuries. By the year of 1993, Fermat's Last Theorem was mathematically demonstrated by Andrew Willes, in a paper, initially with more than two hundreds pages. The British mathematician used sophisticated concepts and tools that were not known at Fermat's time. In this paper, we are going to use plane geometry, trigonometric functions and algebraic principles to demonstrate the truthiness of the theorem.

Argument and Proof

Equation notation

Suppose the equation

$$a^n = b^n + c^n \quad (1)$$

Then, by the statement that the whole is greater than the parts, we have $a^n > b^n$ and $a^n > c^n$. That is to say that $a > b$ and $a > c$. Thereby, the three possible ways that a is related to the sum of $(b + c)$ are:

$$a < b + c, \quad (2)$$

$$a = b + c, \quad (3)$$

$$a > b + c. \quad (4)$$

Whence, (2), (3) and (4) imply, respectively:

$$a^n < (b + c)^n, a^n = (b + c)^n \text{ and } a^n > (b + c)^n$$

Yet, developing the binomial $(b + c)^n$, as result we have:

$$(b + c)^n = b^n + \binom{n}{1} b^{n-1} c + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1} b c^{n-1} + c^n$$

Considering the binomial's development; and, comparing with (4), it will always have $a^n > b^n + c^n$, because, $a^n > (b + c)^n$. So, (1) will never find solution in (4). Yet, comparing the binomial's development with (2) and (3), these remains possible as solution of (1).

So, there will be (1), if and only if:

$$a > b, \quad a > c \quad \text{and} \quad a \leq b + c.$$

Argument: If $a > b$, $a > c$ and $a \leq b + c$, then, it is to say geometrically that (a, b, c) satisfies the condition of existence of a triangle, according to the triangle inequality theorem. Yet, the only two possibles orders for the tern (a, b, c) are the following:

whence,

$$b^n = \frac{a^{n-2} [a^2 - (c^2 - b^2)]}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad c^n = \frac{a^{n-2} [a^2 + (c^2 - b^2)]}{2}$$

Thus,

$$a^n - (c^2 - b^2)a^{n-2} - 2b^n = 0 \tag{15}$$

and

$$a^n + (c^2 - b^2)a^{n-2} - 2c^n = 0 \tag{16}$$

Now, considering (15) and (16) as polynomial equations of degree n in a , with integers and non-zero coefficients; then, none of them will have integer roots. It happens, because, from the hypotheses we have (a, b, c) coprimes pairwise; and, thereby, a will not divide neither $2b^n$, nor $2c^n$. As consequence, it is proved that $a \notin \mathbb{N}$, which is against the hypotheses; where $(a, b, c, n) \in \mathbb{N}$.

So, it is proved the nonexistence of $a^n = b^n + c^n$ (1) for the situation -2 , whenever $n > 2$.

Proof: situation - 3

Once again, returning to Fig. 1, consider the similar triangles $\triangle (V, X, Y) \approx \triangle (V, M, N)$, from where:

$$\frac{\overline{VM}}{\overline{VX}} = \frac{\overline{MN}}{\overline{XY}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\overline{VX} - \overline{XM}}{\overline{VX}} = \frac{\overline{MN}}{\overline{XY}}$$

But, as

$$\cos \delta = \frac{\overline{XP}}{\overline{XM}} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{XM} = \frac{\overline{XP}}{\cos \delta},$$

so,

$$\frac{\overline{VX} - \frac{\overline{XP}}{\cos \delta}}{\overline{VX}} = \frac{\overline{MN}}{\overline{XY}} \tag{17}$$

However,

$$\overline{VX} = a^{n-1} \cdot b, \quad \overline{XP} = b^n, \quad \overline{MN} = L \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{XY} = a^n$$

Hence, (17) can be written as:

$$\frac{a^{n-1} \cdot b - \frac{b^n}{\cos \delta}}{a^{n-1} \cdot b} = \frac{L}{a^n} \quad \text{OR} \quad \frac{a^{n-1} \cdot b \cdot \cos \delta - b^n}{a^{n-1} \cdot b \cdot \cos \delta} = \frac{L}{a^n}$$

Then,

$$L = \frac{a^n \cdot \cos \delta - b^{n-1}}{\cos \delta} \tag{18}$$

Therefore, returning to Fig. 1, and now considering the similar triangles $\triangle (Q, P, Y) \approx \triangle (Q, M, N)$, we have:

$$\frac{\overline{QM}}{\overline{QP}} = \frac{\overline{MN}}{\overline{PY}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\overline{QP} - \overline{PM}}{\overline{QP}} = \frac{L}{\overline{PY}}$$

But, as

$$\tan \beta = \frac{\overline{QP}}{\overline{PY}} \quad \text{or} \quad \overline{QP} = \overline{PY} \cdot \tan \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \tan \delta = \frac{\overline{PM}}{\overline{PX}} \quad \text{or} \quad \overline{PM} = \overline{PX} \cdot \tan \delta,$$

then

$$\frac{\overline{PY} \cdot \tan \beta - \overline{PX} \cdot \tan \delta}{\overline{PY} \cdot \tan \beta} = \frac{L}{\overline{PY}},$$

So,

$$\frac{c^n \cdot \tan \beta - a \cdot \tan \delta}{c^n \cdot \tan \beta} = \frac{L}{c^n},$$

whence

$$L = \frac{c^n \cdot \tan \beta - a \cdot \tan \delta}{\tan \beta} \tag{19}$$

Continuing into the proof development, we compare (18) and (19), and it results:

$$\frac{a^n \cdot \cos \delta - a \cdot b^{n-1}}{\cos \delta} = \frac{c^n \cdot \tan \beta - a \cdot \tan \delta}{\tan \beta},$$

And, when we substitute $\tan \beta$ and $\tan \delta$ we come to:

$$\frac{a^n \cdot \cos \delta - a \cdot b^{n-1}}{\cos \delta} = \frac{\frac{c^n \cdot \sin \beta}{\cos \beta} - \frac{b^n \cdot \sin \delta}{\cos \delta}}{\frac{\sin \beta}{\cos \beta}},$$

or

$$\frac{a^n \cdot \cos \delta - a \cdot b^{n-1}}{\cos \delta} = \frac{\frac{c^n \cdot \sin \beta \cdot \cos \delta - b^n \cdot \sin \delta \cdot \cos \beta}{\cos \beta \cdot \cos \delta}}{\frac{\sin \beta}{\cos \beta}}$$

So,

$$\frac{a^n \cdot \cos \delta - a \cdot b^{n-1}}{\cos \delta} = \left(\frac{c^n \cdot \sin \beta \cdot \cos \delta - b^n \cdot \sin \delta \cdot \cos \beta}{\cos \beta \cdot \cos \delta} \right) \cdot \frac{\cos \beta}{\sin \beta},$$

whence

$$\frac{a^n \cdot \cos \delta - a \cdot b^{n-1}}{\cos \delta} = \frac{c^n \cdot \sin \beta \cdot \cos \delta - b^n \cdot \sin \delta \cdot \cos \beta}{\sin \beta \cdot \cos \delta},$$

then

$$a^n \cdot \cos \delta - a \cdot b^{n-1} = \frac{c^n \cdot \sin \beta \cdot \cos \delta - b^n \cdot \sin \delta \cdot \cos \beta}{\sin \beta},$$

hence

$$a^n \cdot \sin \beta \cdot \cos \delta - a \cdot b^{n-1} \cdot \sin \beta = c^n \cdot \sin \beta \cdot \cos \delta - b^n \cdot \sin \delta \cdot \cos \beta.$$

And, from the hypothesis $a^n = b^n + c^n$ (1), then,

$$(b^n + c^n) \cdot \sin \beta \cdot \cos \delta - a \cdot b^{n-1} \cdot \sin \beta = c^n \cdot \sin \beta \cdot \cos \delta - b^n \cdot \sin \delta \cdot \cos \beta,$$

or

$$b^n \cdot \sin \beta \cdot \cos \delta - a \cdot b^{n-1} \cdot \sin \beta = -b^n \cdot \sin \delta \cdot \cos \beta.$$

So,

$$b^n \cdot (\sin \beta \cdot \cos \delta + \sin \delta \cdot \cos \beta) = a \cdot b^{n-1} \cdot \sin \beta,$$

thus

$$b^n \cdot \sin(\beta + \delta) = a \cdot b^{n-1} \cdot \sin \beta,$$

or yet

$$b \cdot \sin(\beta + \delta) = a \cdot \sin \beta.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{a}{\sin(\beta+\delta)} = \frac{b}{\sin \alpha} \quad (20)$$

However, from $\triangle(a, b, c)$, Fig. 1, and by the law of sines, the outcome is:

$$\frac{a}{\sin \alpha} = \frac{b}{\sin \beta} = \frac{c}{\sin \delta} ,$$

where

$$\frac{a}{\sin \alpha} = \frac{b}{\sin \beta} \quad (21)$$

Comparing (20) with (21), it results:

$$\frac{a}{\sin(\beta+\delta)} = \frac{a}{\sin \alpha} \rightarrow \sin \alpha = \sin(\beta + \delta) \therefore \alpha = \beta + \delta.$$

And, since $\alpha + \beta + \delta = 180^\circ$; therefore, $2\beta + 2\delta = 180^\circ$; or, yet, $\beta + \delta = 90^\circ$. When ce, $\alpha = 90^\circ$.

As consequence, it is mandatory that the triangle $\triangle(a, b, c)$, Fig. 1, is a rectangle triangle, which implies:

$$a^2 = b^2 + c^2 \quad (22)$$

And, in these terms, the equation (22) is equivalent to the equation (1). It implies that the exponents of both equations are the same, with $n = 2$.

Finally, remains proved that for the situation 3, where $(a, b, c, n) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a^n = b^n + c^n$ (1), never will be possible if $n > 2$.

CONCLUSION

Acknowledging that $a^n = b^n + c^n$ (1) will have three, and only three, possibilities for the position of the point P , that separates b^n from c^n on a^n as shown at Fig. 1, then, it implies in the three situations investigated at the argument and proof section. The situations 1, 2, and 3 were able to prove the truthiness of Fermat's Last Theorem. For the situation 1, it is proved the nonexistence of (1), because $a^n > b^n + c^n$ when $n > 2$. About the situation 2, under the same conditions foreseen by the hypotheses, it is repeated the nonexistence of (1). That is $a^n > b^n + c^n$, whenever $n > 2$.

At last, on the analysis of situation 3, we were able to prove the only one outcome possible is $n = 2$.

Therefore, and as seen, there is nothing else to prove. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

- Alencar Filho E. 1981. *Teoria elementar dos números*. Nobel.
- Courant HRR. Courant R, Robbins, H. & Stewart, I. 1996. *What is Mathematics?: an elementary approach to ideas and methods*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- de Oliveira Santos, J. P. 1998. *Introdução à teoria dos números*. Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada.
- Hogben LT. 1952. *Maravilhas da matemática*. Editora Globa Rio de Janeiro.
- Niven I. 1984. *Números: racionais e irracionais*. SBM.
- Pettofrezzo A. J. & Byrkit DR.1972. *Introducción a la teoría de los números [por] Anthony J. Pettofrezzo [y] Donald R. Byrkit. Trad. y adapt.: Rolando J. Pomareda*. Prentice-Hall International.
- Spiegel, M. R. 2019. *Manual de fórmulas e tabelas matemáticas*.
