

ISSN: 2230-9926

#### **REVIEW ARTICLE**

Available online at http://www.journalijdr.com



International Journal of Development Research Vol. 12, Issue, 10, pp. 59734-59737, October, 2022 https://doi.org/10.37118/ijdr.25620.10.2022



**OPEN ACCESS** 

#### INDUCTION OF SOMATIC EMBRYOS IN ROBUSTA AND CONILON VARIETIES OF COFFEA CANEPHORA

#### <sup>1</sup>Camila Karen Candeira da Silva and <sup>\*2</sup>Maurício Reginaldo Alves dos Santos

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal de Rondônia, 76801-974, Porto Velho, RO, Brazil <sup>2</sup>Embrapa Rondônia, 76815-800, Porto Velho, RO, Brazil

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

Article History:

Received 05<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 Received in revised form 14<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 Accepted 19<sup>th</sup> October, 2022 Published online 30<sup>th</sup> October, 2022

Key Words:

Coffeacanephora, somatic embryogenesis, Cotyledonary embryos.

\*Corresponding author: Mauricio Reginaldo Alves dos Santos

#### ABSTRACT

Clonal propagation of *Coffea canephora* varieties is feasible through somatic embryogenesis. The aim of this study was to evaluate the induction of somatic embryos from leaves of *C. canephora*, Robusta and Conilon varieties, in relation to different concentrations of three growth regulators. The explants were inoculated in a MS culture medium with 2iP (isopentanyladenine), IBA (indolebutyric acid) and BA (6-benzylaminopurine), in factorial combinations, at concentrations of 1.0; 2.0; 3.0; 4.0 and 5.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. At 45 days of culture, the percentage of callus induction was evaluated and, at 120 days, the average number of cotyledonary embryos per explant. The most efficient combinations of growth regulators to induce callogenesis in the explants were: 5.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA + 1.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of 2iP and 3.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA + 3.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of BA – for the Robusta variety; As for the average number of embryos per explant, the most efficient combinations of 2.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA + 5.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of BA – for the Robusta variety; As for the average number of embryos per explant, the most efficient combinations of 2.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA + 3.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of BA – for the Robusta cultivar; and 4.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of 2iP and 5.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA + 3.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of BA – for the Robusta cultivar; and 4.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA + 1.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of 2iP and 5.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA + 3.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of BA – for the Robusta cultivar;

**Copyright** © 2022, Camila Karen Candeira da Silva and Maurício Reginaldo Alves dos Santos. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Citation: Camila Karen Candeira da Silva and Maurício Reginaldo Alves dos Santos. 2022.* "Induction of somatic embryos in robusta and conilon varieties of coffeacanephora", *International Journal of Development Research, 12, (10), 59734-59737.* 

### **INTRODUCTION**

Coffea canephora Pierre ex A. Froehner has two distinct botanical varieties that are commercially cultivated - Robusta and Conilon. Robusta is characterized by erect growth, larger leaves, late maturation, lower drought tolerance and high resistance to pests and diseases. Conilon has shrub growth, early maturation, greater drought tolerance and high susceptibility to pests and diseases (Spinelli et al., 2018). From the crossing between these varieties, the genetic variability increases, which makes it possible to obtain hybrids that combine desirable characteristics of both and that present high agronomic performance due to the occurrence of heterosis (Oliveira et al., 2018). Plants of the species C. canephora have been propagated vegetatively in vitro through somatic embryogenesis (Table 1), a technique that allows rapid and large-scale multiplication (Landey et al., 2013; Hervé et al., 2016). From fully differentiated plant cells can be easily cultured in vitro to generate undifferentiated embryogenic cells, which can regenerate whole plants. However, the use of this technique depends on determining the most appropriate concentrations of growth regulators for each genetic material (Santos et al., 2013). The objective of this study was to promote somatic embryogenesis from leaf explants of the Robusta and Conilon varieties of C. canephora in relation to different concentrations and combinations of an auxin and two cytokinins.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The leaves of the second pair were collected from orthotropic branches of adult Robusta and Conilonplants in the experimental field of Embrapa Rondônia, in Porto Velho, Brazil, and taken to the Laboratory of Plant Tissue Culture. In the collection, were selected leaves with intense green color, bright and thick cuticle layer, and complete expansion of the leaf blade. They were placed in plastic bags in order to minimize dehydration during locomotion from the collection site to the laboratory. The leaves were observed using a stereomicroscope to remove dust and small particles with the aid of a soft bristle. Under aseptic conditions, the leaves were immersed in a solution of water with a detergent agent for one minute, in sodium hypochlorite (2.4% active chlorine) under agitation for five minutes and then rinsed three times in sterile water. Explants of 1.0 cm<sup>2</sup> containing secondary veins were sectioned from the leaf blades and inoculated with the adaxial surface in contact with a modified MS culture medium (1/2 macro, 1/4 micronutrients), supplemented with 30 g  $L^{-1}$  sucrose, 6 g  $L^{-1}$  agar and IBA, in factorial combinations with 2iP or BA, all regulators at concentrations of 1.0; 2.0; 3.0; 4.0 and 5.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. The cultures were kept in a grow room at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C and 16 hours photoperiod. At 45 days of culture, the percentage of callus induction was evaluated and, at 120 days, the average number of cotyledonary embryos per explant.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The highest percentages of callus induction in Robusta variety in the combinations of growth regulators (Tables 2 and 3) were 35.0%, with 5.0 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA + 1.0 mg  $L^{-1}$ 2iP and 25.0%, with 3.0 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA + 3.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> BA. In the combination of IBA and 2iP, the media lacking 2iP did not result in callus induction; and in the combination of IBA and BA, there was no callogenesis were only one of the growth regulators was used. Regarding the Conilon variety, 100% callus induction was reached with 5.0 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA + 1.0 mg  $L^{-1}$  2iP and 5.0 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA + 5.0 mg  $L^{-1}$  BA (Tables 4 and 5). It was also observed that at least one of the cytokinins was needed to induce callogenesis. In relation to the occurrence of cotyledonary embryos per explant, the highest values observed in Robusta were 9.36, with 5.0 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA + 1.0 mg  $L^{-1}$  2iP and 6.96 with 2.0 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA + 3.0 mg  $L^{-1}$  BA (Tables 6 and 7). In the Conilon variety, 22.99 and 13.89 embryos per explant were obtained with 4.0 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA + 1.0 mg  $L^{-1}$  2iP and 5.0 mg  $L^{-1}$ IBA + 5.0 mg  $L^{-1}$  BA (Tables 8 and 9). As it was observed for callus induction, in absence of cytokinins there was no callus induction.

Callus induction can be achieved with a hormonal balance guaranteed by combinations of exogenous growth regulators - auxins, cytokinins and eventually gibberellins (Santos et al., 2015). In general, cytokinins and auxins, or just one of these classes of growth regulators, may be sufficient to promote induction (Santos et al., 2014a). Auxins are capable of initiating cell division and controlling cell growth and elongation processes (Nogueiraet al., 2008). Often, slightly similar concentrations of auxins and cytokinins in the culture medium promote callus induction, but responses to the interactions of these classes of growth regulators may vary according to the regulator, explant and genotype peculiarities (Cordeiro et al., 2007). ). They can act together in synergistic interaction or not, leading to dedifferentiation. These interactions have been used and tested in different ways to establish and refine the exact concentrations that should be supplemented in each situation (Santos et al., 2014b). The results of this work demonstrate that the presence of cytokinin in the culture medium is necessary for callus induction in leaf explants, which does not occur only in the presence of auxin. Cytokinin is fully involved and related in cell division, its use alone has little or no effect, but the presence of auxin and cytokinins generally results in

#### Table 1. Somatic embryogenesis in Coffea canephora

Explant	Growth regulators	Effect	Reference
Internodalsegments	?	Callusinduction and embryogenesis	Staritsky, 1970
Leaves (disks)	$2iP (1.0 mg L^{-1}) + IBA (5.0 mg L^{-1})$	Embryos in sixweeks	Piersonet al., 1982
Leaves (disks)	$2iP (1.0 mg L^{-1}) \text{ or BA} (1.1 mg L^{-1})$	Embryoids in fiveweeks	Hatanaka et al., 1991
	or KIN (1.1 mg L <sup>-1</sup> )		
Leaves $(1 \text{ cm}^2)$	2,4-D (0.5 mg $L^{-1}$ ) + IBA (0.5 mg $L^{-1}$	Callogenesis in 14 weeks	Berthouly & Michaux-Ferrieri, 1996
	$^{1}) + 2iP (2.0 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$		
Leaves (50 mm <sup>2</sup> )	$2iP(1.0 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$	Embryogenesis in four weeks	Fuentes et al., 2000
		(cell proliferation in one week)	
Leaves (1 cm <sup>2</sup> )	$2IP(1.0 \text{ MG L}^{-1}) + IBA(5.0 \text{ MG L}^{-1})$	Embryogenesis in 56 days, cotyledonary	Santos and Silva, 2020
		embryos in 82 days	

## Table 2. Percentages of callus induction in leaf explantes of Robusta variety, at 45 days after inoculation, in relation to combinations ofIBA and 2iP

2iP		$IBA (mg L^{-1})$					
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	
0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1.0	5.0 Ea	12.5 Da	10.0 Da	17.5 Ca	27.5 Ba	35.0 Aa	
2.0	2.5 Ba	5.0 Bb	12.5 Aa	12.5 Ab	15.0 Ab	15.0 Ab	
3.0	0.0 Db	2.5 CDb	5.0 BCb	7.5 ABc	10.0 Ac	10.0 Ac	
4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	

\*Averages followed by the same uppercase letter in rows or lowercase in columns do not differ significantly at 5% probability by Tukey's test.

# Table 3. Percentages of callus induction in leaf explantes of Robusta variety, at 45 days after inoculation, in relation to combinations of IBA and BA

BA		$IBA (mg L^{-1})$							
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0			
0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-			
1.0	-	5.0 Bc	5.0 Bc	10.0 Ad	12.5 Ac	12.5 Acd			
2.0	-	7.5 Bbc	5.0 Bc	12.5 Acd	15.0 Abc	15.0 Abc			
3.0	-	12.5 Ca	17.5 Ba	25.0 Aa	20.0 Ba	20.0 Ba			
4.0	-	10.0 Cab	10.0 Cb	15.0ABbc	17.5 Aab	12.5BCcd			
5.0	-	-	5.0 Bc	10.0 Ad	12.5 Ac	10.0 Ad			

\*Averages followed by the same uppercase letter in rows or lowercase in columns do not differ significantly at 5% probability by Tukey's test.

## Table 4. Percentages of callus induction in leaf explantes of Conilon variety, at 45 days after inoculation, in relation to combinations ofIBA and 2iP

2iP	IBA (mg $L^{-1}$ )						
$(mg L^{-1})$	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	
0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1.0	30.0 Ea	52.5 Da	72.5 Ca	90.0 Ba	87.5 Ba	100.0 Aa	
2.0	17.5 Db	47.5 Cab	72.5 Aa	67.5 Ab	70.0 Ab	57.5 Bb	
3.0	12.5 Cbc	55.0 Aa	52.5 Ab	60.0 Ab	27.5 Bc	22.5 Bc	
4.0	5.0 Dc	32.5 Bc	50.0 Ab	37.5 Bc	15.0 Cd	12.5 CDd	
5.0	5.0 Ec	45.0 Ab	37.5 Bc	37.5 Bc	25.0 Cc	12.5 Dd	

\*Averages followed by the same uppercase letter in rows or lowercase in columns do not differ significantly at 5% probability by Tukey's test.

## Table 5. Percentages of callus induction in leaf explantes of Conilon variety, at 45 days after inoculation, in relation to combinations of IBA and BA

BA	$IBA (mg L^{-1})$						
$(mg L^{-1})$	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	
0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1.0	32.5 Bc	32.5 Bc	32.5 Bc	37.5 Bd	47.5 Ab	55.0 Ad	
2.0	40.0 Cc	35.0 Cc	40.0 Cc	62.5 Ac	50.0 Bb	52.5 Bd	
3.0	37.5 Cc	62.5 Bb	57.5 Bb	60.0 Bc	77.5 Aa	72.5 Ac	
4.0	50.0 Cb	70.0 Ba	57.5 Cb	72.5 Bb	80.0 Aa	85.0 Ab	
5.0	70.0 Ea	75.0 CDa	72.5 DEa	82.5 BCa	85.0 Ba	100.0 Aa	

\*Averages followed by the same uppercase letter in rows or lowercase in columns do not differ significantly at 5% probability by Tukey's test.

## Table 6. Average numbers of embryos per explant in leaf explantes of Robusta variety, at 120 days after inoculation, in relation to combinations of IBA and 2iP

2iP	IBA (mg $L^{-1}$ )						
$(mg L^{-1})$	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	
0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1.0	-	1.17 Da	4.01 Ca	8.10 Ba	7.53 Ba	9.36 Aa	
2.0	-	0.75 Eab	2.59 Db	4.99 Cb	6.28 Bb	7.13 Ab	
3.0	-	0.36 Dbc	1.11 Cc	3.09 Bc	5.22Ac	3.62 Bc	
4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	

\*Averages followed by the same uppercase letter in rows or lowercase in columns do not differ significantly at 5% probability by Tukey's test.

## Table 7. Average numbers of embryos per explant in leaf explantes of Robusta variety, at 120 days after inoculation, in relation to combinations of IBA and BA

BA	$IBA (mg L^{-1})$					
$(mg L^{-1})$	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
1.0	-	1.39 Dc	1.68 CDd	2.01 Cd	2.77 Bb	3.51 Aa
2.0	-	2.22 Cb	4.12 Ab	4.15 Ab	2.32 Cc	3.27 Ba
3.0	-	3.57 Ca	6.96 Aa	6.50 Aa	4.39 Ba	2.99 Db
4.0	-	2.20 Db	3.58 Ac	3.11 ABc	3.02 BCb	2.72 Cb
5.0	-	-	1.12 Cd	0.97 Ce	1.79 Bd	2.93 Ab

\*Averages followed by the same uppercase letter in rows or lowercase in columns do not differ significantly at 5% probability by Tukey's test.

### Table 8. Average numbers of embryos per explant in leaf explantes of Conilon variety, at 120 days after inoculation, in relation to combinations of IBA and 2iP

2iP		$IBA (mg L^{-1})$						
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0		
0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-		
1.0	7.13 Ea	8.90 Dc	15.72 Ca	20.66 Ba	22.99 Aa	22.97 Aa		
2.0	5.31 Db	12.62 Ca	14.88 Bab	16.99 Ab	17.51 Ab	17.53 Ac		
3.0	2.14 Ec	11.13 Dab	13.25 Cbc	13.67 Cc	16.02 Bb	20.32 Ab		
4.0	0.97 Dcd	9.92 Cbc	11.65ABc	12.79 Ac	13.00 Ac	10.93BCd		
5.0	1.26 Ecd	10.39 Abc	9.13 Ad	5.45 Bd	4.44 BCd	3.25 De		

\*Averages followed by the same uppercase letter in rows or lowercase in columns do not differ significantly at 5% probability by Tukey's test.

## Table 9. Average numbers of embryos per explant in leaf explantes of Conilon variety, at 120 days after inoculation, in relation to combinations of IBA and BA

BA		IBA (mg $L^{-1}$ )						
$(mg L^{-1})$	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0		
0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-		
1.0	4.48 Cd	4.83 Cc	5.19 Cd	5.01 Cd	6.54 Bd	7.32 Ac		
2.0	4.92 Cd	5.82 BCc	6.72 Bc	8.68 Ac	8.79 Ac	9.01 Ab		
3.0	7.88 Cc	8.13 Cb	9.52 Bab	10.74 Ab	10.84 Ab	10.90 Aa		
4.0	8.54 Cb	8.93 b	8.81 Cb	10.55 Bb	11.13 Bb	13.02 Aa		
5.0	9.82 Da	10.05 Da	11.29 Ca	12.59 Ba	12.99ABa	13.89 Aa		

\*Averages followed by the same uppercase letter in rows or lowercase in columns do not differ significantly at 5% probability by Tukey's test.

rapid cell division (Taizand Zeiger, 2013; Kerbauy, 2004). This work also demonstrate the high potential of somatic embryogenesis in cloning selected genetic material. Considering that it is possible to remove 20 leaf explants from a single coffee leaf, the production of 22.99 cotyledonary embryos per explant indicates a large production capacity of 460 new plants cloned from a single coffee leaf.Santos and Silva (2020) observed the formation of 46 cotyledonary embryos per leaf explant in hybrids of *C. canephora* with the supplementation

regulators showed superior results than those obtained with one of them alone.

#### CONCLUSION

The auxin-cytokinin combination is necessary to the induction of calluses and somatic embryos in the varieties Robusta and Conilon of

of 2iP and IBA together, and the combination of these growth

*C. canephora.* Maximum average number of embryos per explant were achieved with 5.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA + 1.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of 2iP and 2.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA + 3.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of BA – for the Robusta cultivar; and 4.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA + 1.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of 2iP and 5.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA + 5.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of BA – for the Conilon variety.

#### REFERENCES

- BERTHOULY, M.; MICHAUX-FERRIERI, N.M. High frequency somatic embryogenesis in *Coffea canephora*. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture, v. 44, p. 169-176, 1996.
- CORDEIRO, I.M.C.C.; LAMEIRA, O.A.; OHASHI, S.T.; REIS, L.R.S. Indução de calosin vitro de paricá (Schizolobium amazonicum Huber ex Ducke). Plant Cell Cultureand Micropropagation, v.3, n.1, p.35-40, 2007.
- CORDEIRO, I.M.C.C.; LAMEIRA, O.A.; OHASHI, S.T.; REIS, L.R.S. Indução de calos *in vitro* de paricá (*Schizolobiu mamazonicum* Huber exDucke). Plant Cell Culture and Micropropagation, v.3, n.1, p.35-40, 2007.
- FUENTES, S. R. L.; CALHEIROS, M. B. P.; MANETTI-FILHO, J.; VIEIRA, L. G. E.The effects of silver nitrate and different carbohydrate sources on somatic embryogenesis in *Coffea canephora*. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture, v. 60, p. 5-13, 2000.
- HATANAKA, T. Effect of plant growth regulators on somatic embryogenesis in leaf cultures of *Coffea canephora*. Plant Cell Reports, v. 10, n. 4, p. 179-182, 1991.
- HERVÉ, E.; BENOÎT, B.; EVELINE, D.; PATRICK, M.; FREDERIC, G.; ROMAIN, G.; JEAN CHRISTOPHE, B. Are genetic and epigenetic instabilities of plant embryogenic cells a fatality? The experience of coffee somatic embryogenesis. Human Genetics and Embryology, v. 6, n. 1, p. 1-5, 2016.
- KERBAUY, G. B. Fisiologia vegetal. Rio de Janeiro: Editora Guanabara Koogan, p. 472, 2004.
- LANDEY, R.B.; CENCI, A.; GEORGET, F.; BERTRAND, B.; CAMAYO, G.; DECHAMP, E.; HERRERA, J.C.; SANTONI, S.; LASHERMES, P.; SIMPSON, J.; ETIENNE, H. High genetic and epigenetic stability in *Coffea arabica* plants derived from embryogenic suspensions and secondary embryogenesis as revealed by AFLP, MSAP and the phenotypic variation rate. PlosOne, v. 8, n. 2, p. 1-15, 2013.

- NOGUEIRA, R.C.; PAIVA, R.; LIMA, E.C.; SOARES, G.A.; OLIVEIRA, L.M.; SANTOS, B.R.; EMRICH, E.B.; CASTRO, A.H.F. Curva de crescimento e análises bioquímicas de calos de murici pequeno (*Byrsonima intermedia* A. Juss.). Revista Brasileira de Plantas Medicinais, v.10, n.1, p.44-48, 2008.
- OLIVEIRA, L.N.L.; ROCHA, R.B.; FERREIRA, F.M.; SPINELLI, V.M.; RAMALHO, A.R.; TEIXEIRA, A.L. Selection of *Coffea canephora* parents from the botanicalvarieties Conilon and Robusta for the production of intervarietal hybrids. Ciência Rural, v. 48, n. 4, p. 1-7, 2018.
- PIERSON, E. S. In vitro development of embryoids from punched leaf discs of *Coffea canephora*. Protoplasma, v. 115, n. 2, p. 208-216, 1983.
- SANTOS, M. R. A.; SILVA, A. C. S. *In vitro* vegetative propagation of *Coffea canephora* hybrids by somatic embryogenesis. *South American Journal of Basic Education*, v. 7, n. 1. 2020.
- SANTOS, M.R.A.; FERREIRA, M.G.R.; OLIVEIRA, C.L.L.G.; RAMALHO, A.R.; ESPÍNDULA, M.C. Vegetative vigor of Conilon coffee and its potential for *in vitro* callus induction. Coffee Science, v. 8, n. 4, p. 432-438, 2013.
- SANTOS, M.R.A.; ROCHA, J.F.; PAZ, E.S.; SMOZINSKI, C.V.; NOGUEIRA, W.O.; GUIMARÃES, M.C.M. Callus induction in leaf explants of *Cissus verticillata* (L.) Nicolson and C. E. Jarvis. Plant Cell Culture and Micropropagation, v.10, n.2, p.41-46, 2014.
- SANTOS, M.R.A.; SOUZA, C.A.; GUIMARÃES, M.C.M.; SMOZINSKI, C.V.; MAGALHÃES, G.M.O.; NOGUEIRA, W.O.; PAZ, E.S. Growth pattern of friable callus from *P. Carniconnectivum* leaf explants. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, v.9, n.27, p. 226-231, 2015.
- SANTOS, M.R.A.;FERREIRA, M.G.R.; GUIMARÃES, M.C.M.; LIMA, R.A.; OLIVEIRA, C.L.L.G. Callogenesis in leaves of *Kalanchoe pinnata* Lam. by 2,4-D and BA action. Revista Brasileira de Plantas Medicinais, v.16, n.3, supl.I, p.760-764, 2014.
- SPINELLI, V.M.; MORAES, M.S.; ALVES, D.S.B.; ROCHA, R.B.; RAMALHO, A.R.; TEIXEIRA, A.L. Contribution of agronomic traits to the coffee yield of *Coffea canephora* Pierre ex A. Froehner in the Western Amazon region. Coffee Science, v. 13, n. 3, p. 333-340, 2018.
- STARITSKY, G. Embrioid formation in callus tissues of coffee.Acta Botanica Neerlandica, v. 19, n. 4, p. 509-514, 1970.
- TAIZ, L.; ZEIGER, E. Fisiologia vegetal. 4ed. Porto Alegre: Artmed, 2013.

\*\*\*\*\*\*