

Available online at http://www.journalijdr.com



International Journal of Development Research Vol. 08, Issue, 06, pp.21033-21037, June, 2018

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

EFFECT OF IRRIGATION INTERVALS ON CHARCOAL ROT OF SUNFLOWER

¹Khadim H. Wagan, ^{2,} *M. Ibrahim Khaskheli, ¹Jamal-u-ddin Hajano and ²A. Ghani Lanjar,

^{1, 2}Department of Plant Pathology, Sindh Agriculture University, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 17th March, 2018 Received in revised form 26th April, 2018 Accepted 24th May, 2018 Published online 30th June, 2018

Key Words: Irrigations interval; Charcoal rot; *Helianthus annuus L.*

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted to observe the effect of irrigation intervals on diseases severity of charcoal rot and its consequence on growth and yield parameters of sunflower during spring season, 2015. The seeds of HO-1 sunflower variety were sown in a filed with no prior history of Macrophomina phaseolina (Tassi) presence. A 5mm diameter plug of virulent MPS16 isolate was inoculated on a stem of sunflower plant at 10 cm from soil surface when plant age was 40days. Four irrigations intervals set as treatments and equally applied in inoculated and un-inoculated plots. Maximum length of necrotic lesions (16.30±0.36cm) on stem and highest mortality percentage (13.07 ± 0.35) was recorded in inoculated plants of HO-1 variety received single irrigation whereas; minimum necrotic lesions (8.93 \pm 0.35 cm) and lowest mortality (6.63 \pm 0.19%) recorded from plots received four irrigations. Data also show significant differences in plant height and head diameter under water stress conditions. Small plants (118.10 \pm 1.10 cm) and reduced head diameter $(10.10 \pm 0.76 \text{ cm})$ were produced in inoculated plants with single irrigation while, significantly tall plants (185.00 \pm 1.53 cm) and increased head diameter (19.50 \pm 0.76 cm) were obtained from uninoculated plants received four irrigations. 1000-seed weight and seed weight per plant also varied significantly due to different irrigation intervals. Minimum 1000-seed weight (23.90 \pm 0.61 g) and seed weight per plant (25.60 \pm 0.31 g) was obtained from inoculated plants of HO-1 sunflower variety given single irrigation whereas, maximum 1000-seed weight (56.00 \pm 1.73 g) and seed weight per plant (63.10 \pm 1.16 g) were recorded from uninoculated plants given four irrigations. Similar pattern of yield loss was recorded in plots those were inoculated with the fungus and single irrigation while, increased yield per hectare was recorded from uninoculated plants received with four irrigations.

Copyright © 2018, Khadim H. Wagan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Khadim H. Wagan, M. Ibrahim Khaskheli, Jamal-u-ddin Hajano and A. Ghani Lanjar, 2018. "Effect of irrigation intervals on charcoal rot of sunflower", *International Journal of Development Research*, 8, (06), 21033-21037.

INTRODUCTION

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) belongs to the family Asteraceae; the *Helianthus* genus contains about 65 different species and all *Helianthus* species are native to North America. It is the second top ranking oil crop after soybean (Fick, 1989). Sunflower is considered as drought resistant crops, but in fact water utilization of sunflower is double that of sorghum consequently yield of the crop is badly affected due to water stress conditions (Anonymous, 2005). Sunflower yield is adversely affected with several diseases especially the damping off in the early stages and charcoal rot in the late stages of growth (Sackston, 1978; Ahmed *et al.*, 1994) and a

*Corresponding author: M. Ibrahim Khaskheli

Department of Plant Protection, Sindh Agriculture University, Pakistan.

few studies were conducted for controlling these diseases (Mousa et al., 2006). Charcoal rot is a serious problem of sunflower crop caused by fungus Macrophomina phaseolina (Tassi) with infection up to 100% yield loss in the presence of high temperature and water stress near crop maturity (Khan, 2007). M. phaseolina gives severe attack in sunflower during vegetative growth period under water stress conditions (Eva et al., 2001). Symptoms of this disease usually appear after flowering stage of sunflower. General wilting is a prominent indication, later death of plant occurs. Due to rotting of roots, flow of nutrients and water to the head restricted consequently, seed size and weight badly reduced in severe infection. The disease becomes more economically important and encouraged by dry condition and hot season (Schwartz and Gent, 2016). Synthetic fungicides are massively applied to control Charcoal rot of sunflower. But the irrational and misuse, of chemicals has created several problems in environment including; unwanted chemical residuals in the commodity, environmental





pollution, negative impact on non-target organisms and the health of consumers (Perez et al. 2010; Zapata and Smagghe 2010;Grünwaldet al., 2014). The uses of various alternative methods were suggested world-wide for controlling this problem. Irrigations intervals affected the damping-off and charcoal-rot as well as the development of the plants and percentage of microbile in soil (Abou-Zeidet al., 1997 and 2003; Hussein et al., 2000; Ismail and Abd El Momen, 2007). Proper irrigation and low soil temperature reduced incidence of sunflower charcoal rot while, water stress and high temperature increased disease severity and affected growth and yield parameters of the crop (Pathak and Srivastava 2001;Karadimoset al., 2002). Soil moisture and temperature can effect on the intensity of charcoal rot, the average of disease incidence decreased as the moisture level increased from 40 to 100% (Arora and Pareek, 2013). However, at our knowledge, there is scarce of information and no comprehensive study referring to the effect of irrigation intervals on charcoal rot of sunflower has been undertaken. Therefore, the present study was carried out to evaluate the effectiveness of irrigation intervals on diseases severity of charcoal rot. The results of our study should aid the ecofriendly management of charcoal rot of sunflower.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiment: The experiment was conducted to observe the effect of irrigation intervals on diseases severity of charcoal rot and its consequence on growth and yield parameters of sunflower during spring season, 2015. The soil samples were taken before sowing of seeds, analyzed for presence of *M. phaseolina* isolates by using method described by Mihail and Alcorn (1982). The filed was found free fungus population. The seeds of HO-1 sunflower variety were sown with hand drill in plot size of 4 rows of 5 meters long in a randomized complete block design with three replications. The seedlings were thinned before first irrigation to maintain plant to plant distance of 9 inches; whereas, row to row distance was kept 75 cm. Recommended agronomic practices like hoeing, weeding, fertilizer and earthing up were done as and when required as per traditional sunflower cultivation technology. The following treatments were applied:

- T_1 = one irrigation (at 20th day after sowing) T_2 = two irrigations (at 20th day after sowing and bud initiation stage)
- T_3 = three irrigations (at 20th day after sowing, bud initiation, and flowering stage)
- T_4 = four irrigations (at 20th day after sowing, bud initiation, flowering and seed development stage)

Field inoculation

Pure culture of MPS16 isolate of M. phaseolina was maintained of PDA medium. A 5mm diameter fungus plug was taken from active margin of fungus growth and was placed at toothpick-wounded site on the stem. The wounds were created in a stem of sunflower plant at 10 cm from soil surface when plant age was 40days. Parafilm was used to wrap the wound on stem. The un-inoculated plots were kept as control. The disease severity was rated by measuring length of necrotic lesion of charcoal rot from 10 plants per replication and mortality percentage from each treatment after maturity. The other growth and yield parameters of crop were recorded from inoculated and un-inoculated plants after maturity of the crop.

Statistical analysis

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted using the SPSS 20.0 software package to analyze differences between treatments. Differences among means were compared with Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) test, and a P < 0.05 was considered significant. Sigma plot 10.0 was used to draw all figures. The percentage data were arcsinesquare root transformed, and all count data were square root (x+1) or log 10 (x+1) transformed before being subjected to data analysis. The untransformed means are presented in the results.

RESULTS

Maximum length of necrotic lesions (16.30±0.36cm) on stemand highest mortality percentage (13.07±0.35) was recorded in inoculated plants of HO-1 variety received single irrigation whereas; minimum necrotic lesions (8.93±0.35 cm) and lowest mortality (6.63±0.19%) recorded from plots received four irrigations. We observed significant differences at necrotic lesions (F = 63.28; df = 3, 8; P< 0.01) and mortality (F = 86.23; df = 3, 8; P < 0.01) between the different irrigation intervals (Fig. 1). Significant differences observed in plant height inoculate (F = 45.68; df = 3, 8; P < 0.01), and Un-Inoculate (F = 32.50; df = 3, 8; P < 0.01); and head diameter inoculate (F = 10.42; df = 3, 8; P < 0.01), and Un-Inoculate (F = 41.10; df = 3, 8; P < 0.01) under different water stress conditions. Small plants (118.10±1.10 cm) and reduced head diameter (10.10±0.76 cm) were produced in inoculated plants with single irrigation while, significantly tall plants (185.00±1.53cm) and increased head diameter (19.50±0.76 cm) were obtained from uninoculated plants received four irrigations (Fig. 2). One thousand seed weight and seed weight per plant also varied significantly due to different irrigation intervals. Minimum 1000-seed weight (23.90±0.61 g) and seed weight per plant (25.60±0.31g) was obtained from inoculated plants of HO-1 sunflower variety given single irrigation whereas, maximum 1000-seed weight (56.00± 1.73 g) and seed weight per plant $(63.10 \pm 1.16 \text{ g})$ were recorded from uninoculated plants given four irrigations. ANOVA showed significant difference in one thousand seed weight at inoculate (F = 37.60; df = 3, 8; P < 0.01), and un-inoculate (F = 15.28; df= 3, 8; P < 0.01; and seed/plant at inoculate (F = 36.92; df = 3, 8; P < 0.01), and Un-Inoculate (F = 17.55; df = 3, 8; P < 0.01) (Fig. 3). Similar pattern of yield loss was recorded in plots those were inoculated with the fungus and single irrigation while, increased yield per hectare was recorded from uninoculated plants received with four irrigations.

DISCUSSION

Maximum length of necrotic lesions (16.30±0.36cm) on stem and highest mortality percentage (13.07 ± 0.35) was recorded in inoculated plants of HO-1 variety received single irrigation whereas; minimum necrotic lesions (8.93 \pm 0.35 cm) and lowest mortality (6.63 \pm 0.19%) recorded from plots received four irrigations.Significant differences in plant height and head diameter were seen under water stress conditions. Small plants $(118.10 \pm 1.10 \text{ cm})$ and reduced head diameter (10.10 ± 0.76) cm) were produced in inoculated plants with single irrigation



Fig. 1. Numbers (mean + SE) of water stress on disease severity and mortality of sunflower inoculated with *Macrophomina* phaseolina. Values are means of 3 replications. The mean numbers of water stress were analyzed by 1-way ANOVA, using a Tukey HSD post-hoc test at significance level of P < 0.05



Fig. 2. Numbers (mean + SE) of water stress on plant height of sunflower inoculated with *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Values are means of 3 replications. The mean numbers of water stress were analyzed by 1-way ANOVA, using a Tukey HSD post-hoc test at significance level of P < 0.05



Fig. 3. Numbers (mean + SE) of water stress on 1000-seed weight and seed weight per plant of sunflower inoculated with *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Values are means of 3 replications. The mean numbers of water stress were analyzed by 1-way ANOVA, using a Tukey HSD post-hoc test at significance level of P < 0.05

while, significantly tall plants (185.00 \pm 1.53 cm) and increased head diameter $(19.50 \pm 0.76 \text{ cm})$ were obtained from uninoculated plants received four irrigations. Schwartz and Gent (2016) reported that charcoal rot restricted flow of nutrients and water to the head consequently, seed size and weight badly reduced in severe infection. Mayek-Perez et al. (2002) also found increased charcoal rot incidence and reduced plant growth under water stress condition. Similar results related with water stress effect on disease incidence and severity of charcoal rot in different crops was observed by Karadimos et al. (2002), Singh and Sirohi (2003) and Sarova et al. (2003). Thousand seed weight and seed weight per plant also varied significantly due to different irrigation intervals. Minimum 1000-seed weight $(23.90 \pm 0.61 \text{ g})$ and seed weight per plant (25.60 \pm 0.31 g) was obtained from inoculated plants of HO-1 sunflower variety given single irrigation whereas, maximum 1000-seed weight (56.00 \pm 1.73 g) and seed weight per plant (63.10 \pm 1.16 g) were recorded from uninoculated plants given four irrigations. Similar pattern of yield loss was recorded in plots those were inoculated with the fungus and single irrigation while, increased yield per hectare was recorded from uninoculated plants received with four irrigations. Khan, (2007), Khan (1984) and Mirza (1984) reported 100% yield loss in the presence of high temperature and water stress near crop maturity of sunflower.

REFERENCES

Arora, M. and Pareek, S. 2013. Effect of soil moisture and temperature on the severity of *Macrophomina charcoal rot of sorghum. Indian J. Sci. Res.* 4(1): 155-158.

- Eva, B., Raranciuc, S. and Stanciu D.S.T. 2001. Some results regarding the disease integrated control in sunflower. *Problemede Protectia Plantelor*. 29(1): 17-28
- Fick, G.N. 1989. Sunflower N. oil crops of the world. Robbelen, G, keith Downey R- and Ashri, A. (eds) pp: 301-319.
- Ahmed, K.G.M.,El-Said,S.I.A.,Fawzy, R.N., Badr, A.E. and Abd-Allah, M.A. 1994. Pathological study on sunflower plant, chemical and biological control and seeds oil content. Annals of Agricultural Science, *Moshtohor*. 32(3): 1529-1543.
- Sackston, W.E. 1978. Sunflower disease mapping in Europe and adjacent Mediterranean countries. Helia Information Bull. Of. The FAO Res. Network on Sunflower, (12)2-28.
- Mousa, L.A., Fahmy, S.S. and Shaltout, A.M. 2006. Evaluation of some bacterial isolates and compost tea for bio controlling *Macrophominaphaseolina* and *Sclerotiumrolfsii*ncited sunflower. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.* 84: 1331-1343.
- Karadimos, D.A., Karaoglanidis, G.S. and Klonari, K. 2002. First report of charcoal rot of sugar beet caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina* in Greece. *Plant Disease*. 86(9):1051.
- Khan, S.N. 2007. *Macrophomina phaseolina* as causal agent for charcoal rot of sunflower. *Mycopath*. 5(2):111-118.
- Khan, S.T.A. 1984. Constraints in the production of sunflower in Pakistan. Proceedings of national sunflower workshop. Barani Agril. Res. & Develop. Project. NARC, Islamabad, Pakistan. 11-13.
- Mayek-Perez, N., Ascosta-Gallegos, J. A. Lopez-Castaneda, C. 2002. Relationship between early vigor of common bean

(*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and resistance to water stress and to *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Tassi) Goidanich. *Revista Mexicana de Fitopatologia*. 20(2): 133-140.

- Mihail, J.D. and Alcorn, S. M. 1982. Quantitative Recovery of Macrophomina phaseolina Sclerotia from Soil. Plant Disease. 66:662-663.
- Mirza, M.S. 1984. Occurrence of sunflower diseases in Pakistan in 1980-83. Proceedings of National Sunflower Workshop. Barani Agril. Res. & Develop. Project. NARC, Islamabad, Pakistan. 31-32.
- Hussein, S.M.A., El-Melegy, A.M. and Haikel, M.A.2000. Effect of nitrogen frequency, gypsum application, plant density and their interaction on growth and yield of peanunt under drip irrigation system in north Sinai. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 25 (5): 2427-2438.
- Ismail, F.M. and Abd El- Momen, S.M. 2007. Effect of some soil amendments on yield and disease incidence in peanut(*ArachishypogaeaL*), *Egypt J. Agric, Res.*, 85 (2).
- Abou-Zeid, N.M., EL-Morsy, G.A., Hassanein, A.M. andArafa, M.K.1997. Major Organisms causing root - rot / wilt and their relative importance on faba bean, lentil and chickpea. *Egypt J. Agric. Res.*, 75 (3):529-542.
- Abou-Zeid, N.M., Arafa,M.K. and Sabah Attia. 2003. Effect of irrigation frequency on the disease incidence of some legume corps in Upper Egypt. *Egypt J. Agric. Res.*, 81 (2). 441-448
- Pathak, D. and Srivastava, M.P. 2001. Effect of edaphic and environmental factors on charcoal rot development in sunflower. *Annals of Bio.* 17 (1): 75-77.

- Sarova, J., Kudlikova, I.Zalud, Z. and Veverka, K. 2003. Macrophomina phaseolina (Tassi) Goid. moving north temperature adaptation or change in climate. Zeitschritt fur Pflanzenkrankheiten und Pflanzenschutz. 110(5): 444-448.
- Schwartz, H.F. and Gent, D.H. 2016. High plains integrated pest management. https:// www. wiki. bugwood. Org/HPIPM: charcoal rot sunflower. Accessed on 05.12.2016.
- Perez, S.G., Lopez, M.A.R., Sanchez, M.A.Z., Ortega, N.C.C. 2010. Activity of essential oils as a bio-rational alternative to control coleopteran insects in stored grains. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research* 4: 2827-2835.
- Zapata, N. and Smagghe, G. 2010. Repellency and toxicity of essential oils from the leaves and bark of *Laureliasempervirens* and *Drimyswinteri* against *Tribolium castaneum*. *Industrial Crops and Products* 32: 405-410.
- Grünwald, S., Fast, A., Mülle, K., Boll, M., Kler, A., Bonnländer, B. 2014. Feeding a grape seed extract extends the survival of the red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* under heat-stress depending on nrf-2, jnk-1, and foxo-1 homologous genes but independent of catechin monomers.*Nutrition and Medicine* 2: 415-422.
- Singh, A. and Sirohi, A. 2003. Status of chickpea diseases in Himachal Pradesh, India. *International Chickpea and Pigeon pea Newsletter*. 10: 29-31.
