



A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR TWO PAIRS OF OCCASIONALLY WEAKLY COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS

*Dr. Ram Lakhan Sah

Department of Mathematics, Tribhuvan University, R.R.M. Campus, Janakpur, Nepal

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 09th December, 2018
Received in revised form
21st January, 2019
Accepted 06th February, 2019
Published online 29th March, 2019

Key Words:

Fuzzy set, fuzzy mapping, compatible mapping, weakly compatible mapping, occasionally weakly compatible mapping.

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we derive a common fixed point theorem for two pairs of occasionally weakly compatible mappings as an extension of fixed point theorem of a pair occasionally weakly compatible mapping established by Jungck and Rhoades.

Copyright © 2019, Dr. Ram Lakhan Sah. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Dr. Ram Lakhan Sah, 2019. "A fixed point theorem for two pairs of occasionally weakly compatible mappings", *International Journal of Development Research*, 9, (03), 26126-26127.

INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy set as a generalization of classical set was defined by L. A. Zadeh [7] in 1965 AD. Kramosil and Michalek [6] introduced concept of fuzzy metric space. Later, George and Veeramani [2] modified the notion of fuzzy metric space with help of continuous t-norms. Several researchers have derived fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings on complete metric spaces. G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades [4] defined compatibility and weakly compatibility of mappings. The concept of occasionally weakly compatible mapping was introduced by M. Al Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad [1] and then G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades [4] proved a fixed point theorem for a pair of occasionally weakly compatible mappings. In this paper, we present a common fixed point theorem for two pairs of occasionally weakly compatible fuzzy mappings.

Definition 1.1[2]

A binary operation: $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a continuous t-norm if * satisfies following conditions:

- (i) * is commutative and associative;

- (ii) * is continuous;
(iii) $a*1 = a$ for all $a \in [0,1]$;
(iv) $a*b \leq c*d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$.

Definition 1.2[2]

A triplet $(X, M, *)$ is said to be a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary nonempty set, * is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions, for all $x, y, z \in X$,

- (1) $M(x, y, 0) = 0$ and $M(x, y, t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$;
- (2) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$ for all $t > 0$;
- (3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t) \neq 0$ for all $t > 0$;
- (4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t+s)$ for $s, t > 0$;
- (5) $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is left continuous and,
- (6) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for $t > 0$.

Then, M is called a fuzzy metric on X . Here, $M(x, y, t)$ denotes the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t .

Definition 1.3[2]

Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space. Then,

*Corresponding author: Dr. Ram Lakhan Sah

Dept. of Mathematics, Tribhuvan University, R.R.M. Campus, Janakpur, Nepal

- a) sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to converges to x in X if for each $\epsilon > 0$ and each $t > 0$, there exists no $\in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M(x_n, x, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$ (i. e. if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$ for $t > 0$).
- (b) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be Cauchy if for each $\epsilon > 0$ and each $t > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq n_0$ (i. e. if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x, t) = 1$ for $t > 0$, for $p > 0$).
- (c) A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete fuzzy metric space.

Definition 1.4[5]

- (a) For any nonempty set X , a fuzzy set \tilde{A} is defined as a set of ordered pairs $(x, A(x))$, where $A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called membership function and the collection of all fuzzy sets on X is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(X)$.
- (b) A mapping F from X to $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ is called a fuzzy mapping if for each $x \in X$, $F(x)$ (sometimes denoted by F_x) is a fuzzy set on Y and $F_x(y)$ denotes the degree of membership of y in F_x .

Definition 1.5[3]

Let X be a nonempty set and f, g selfmaps of X . A point x in X is called a coincidence point of f and g iff $fx = gx$. We shall call $w = fx = gx$ a point of coincidence of f and g .

1. Compatibility

Definition 2.1[3]

- (a) Two self maps f and g of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ are called compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(fg x_n, g f x_n, t) = 1$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_n = x$ for some x in X .
- (b) Two self maps f and g is called weakly compatible pair if they commute at coincidence points.
- (c) Two self maps f and g of set X are occasionally weakly compatible (owc) iff there is a point x in X which is a coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

A. Al-Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad [1] have shown that weakly compatible is occasionally weakly compatible but converse is not true.

Example 2.2[1]

Let \mathbb{R} be the usual metric space.

Define $F, G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $Fx = 2x$ and $Gx = x^2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $Fx = Gx$ for $x = 0, 2$ but $FG0 = GF0$ and $FG2 \neq GF2$. Maps F and G are occasionally weakly compatible self maps but not weakly compatible.

Lemma 2.3[1]

Let X be a nonempty set and f, g owc self maps of X . If f and g have a unique point of coincidence, $w = fx = gx$ then w is the unique common fixed point of f and g .

Now we derive our common fixed point theorem for two pairs of occasionally weakly compatible (owc) mappings in fuzzy metric spaces.

2. Main Theorem

Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S and T be self-mappings of X . Let the pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ be owc. If there exists $q \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(Ax, By, qt) \geq \min\{M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(By, Ty, t), M(Ax, Ty, t), M(By, Sx, t)\} \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and for all $t > 0$, then there exists a unique point $w \in X$ such that $Aw = Sw = w$ and a unique point $z \in X$ such that $Bz = Tz = z$. Moreover, $z = w$, so that there is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

Proof

Let the pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ be owc, so there are points

$x, y \in X$ such that $Ax = Sx$ and $By = Ty$. We claim that $Ax = By$.

If not, by inequality (1),

$$M(Ax, By, qt) \geq \min\{M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(By, Ty, t),$$

$$M(Ax, Ty, t), M(By, Sx, t)\} = \min\{M(Ax, By, t), M(Ax, Ax, t), M(By, By, t),$$

$$M(Ax, By, t), M(By, Ax, t)\} = M(Ax, By, t).$$

Therefore $Ax = By$, i.e. $Ax = Sx = By = Ty$. Suppose that there is another point z such that $Az = Sz$ then by (1), we have $Az = Sz = By = Ty$, so $Ax = Az$ and $w = Ax = Sx$ is the unique point of coincidence of A and S . By Lemma (2.3), w is the only common fixed point of A and S . Similarly there is a unique point $z \in X$ such that $z = Bz = Tz$.

Assume that $w \neq z$. We have

$$M(w, z, qt) = M(Aw, Bz, qt) \geq \min\{M(Sw, Tz, t), M(Sw, Aw, t), M(Bz, Tz, t), M(Aw, Tz, t), M(Bz, Sw, t)\} = \min\{M(w, z, t), M(w, w, t), M(z, z, t), M(w, z, t), M(z, w, t)\} = M(w, z, t).$$

Therefore, we have $z = w$ by Lemma (2.3). Hence, $z = w$ is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

REFERENCES

1. Al-Thagafi A. and Shahzad N., Generalised I-Nonexpansive self maps and invariant approximations, *Acta Mathematica*, 24 (5), [2008], pp. 867-876
2. George A. and Veeramani P., On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 64, [1994], pp. 395-399
3. Jungek G. and Rhoades B. E., Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mapping, *Fixed point theory*, 7(2), [2006], pp. 287-296
4. Jungek G., Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *International J. Math. Math. Sc.*, [1998], pp. 285-288
5. Klir, G.J. and Yuan, B., *Fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic*, [2013], PHI Pvt. Ltd.
6. Kramosil O. and Michalek J., *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces*, *Kybernetika*, 11, [1975] pp. 326-334
7. Zadeh L. A., *Fuzzy sets, information and control*, 8, [1965], pp. 338-353