

ISSN: 2230-9926

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Available online at http://www.journalijdr.com



International Journal of Development Research Vol. 10, Issue, 02, pp. 33696-33707, February, 2020



OPEN ACCESS

EFFECTS OF VALPROATE SODIUM ON LIVER AND KIDNEYS OF ALBINO RATS AND THE ROLE OF METFORMIN AS ADJUVANT THERAPY

^{*1}Heba H. Rohym, ¹Ghada M. El Gallad, ¹Manar M. Bayoumi, ²Reham Sh. El Nemr and ¹Amal R. Saleh

¹Department of Forensic Medicine and Clinical Toxicology, Fayoum University, Egypt ²Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Fayoum University, Egypt

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 17th November, 2019 Received in revised form 26th December, 2019 Accepted 11th January, 2020 Published online 27th February, 2020

Key Words: Valproate sodium, Metformin hepatoprotection, Nephroprotection.

*Corresponding author: Heba H. Rohym,

ABSTRACT

Background: Valproate (VPA) which usually prescribed as its sodium salt, was approved for treatment of epilepsy either as monotherapy or in combination with other anticonvulsant drugs. It is also used in the treatment of a variety of neuropsychiatric illnesses. It was reported that it has sever toxic effects on different organs of body. Metformin is one of the most widely used oral antidiabetic drugs for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Aim of the study was to assess the effect of the administration of valproate sodium on liver and kidneys of albino rats as and compare effect of its administration alone and with administration of metformin to study metformin possible protective effect. Methods: Four groups of 80 rats formed of a control group, Valproate sodium treated group that received Valproate sodium dissolved in water for 12 weeks, Metformin -treated group that received Metformin dissolved in water for 12 weeks and Valproate sodium plus metformin -treated group that received Valproate sodium plus Metformin for 12 weeks. For all, liver and kidneys function tests and hepatic, kidneys histopathologic examinations were done. Results: There was a statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) among rats' liver function tests in different study groups, with high mean of liver enzymes (ALT, AST and ALP) levels in Valproate sodium group. Also, among the valproate sodium about 40% of liver tissues showed Grade 2 hepatic necrosis. There was liver fibrosis in Valproate sodium group, about 50% of liver tissues of valproate sodium shows Grade 2 hepatic fibrosis, 50% showed Grade 1. There was a statistically significant difference (P <0.05) among rats' kidneys function tests(urea and creatinine) in different study groups, with high mean of urea and creatinine levels in Valproate sodium group. Also, among the valproate sodium kidneys tissues revealed moderate vascular congestion dense inflammation, fibrosis and vacuolar degeneration (ballooning) of some of the tubular cells with marked tubular dilatation and intratubular casts. Adding metformin to Valproate sodium was found to produce significant improvement in liver, kidneys function, liver and kidneys histopathological findings. Conclusion: Long term use of Valproate sodium in albino rats produces hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity and metformin restored the altered liver and kidneys function and possessed hepatoprotection and nephroprotection against Valproate sodium induced hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity.

Copyright © 2020, *Heba H. Rohym, et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: *Heba H. Rohym, et al.* 2020. "Effects of valproate sodium on liver and kidneys of albino rats and the role of metformin as adjuvant therapy", *International Journal of Development Research*, 10, (02), 33696-33707.

INTRODUCTION

Valproate (VPA) which typically prescribed as its sodium salt (McLaughlin *et al.*, 2000), was approved for treatment of epilepsy either as monotherapy or in blend with other anticonvulsant drugs. It is additionally utilized in the treatment of a variety of neuropsychiatric illnesses as mania, bipolar affective disorder, migraine, headache and several anxiety disorders as It raises the entire brain gamma amino butyric

acid (GABA) a major inhibitory neurotransmitter in the brain, and inhibits GABA degradation (Ibrahim, 2012). It was reported that it has sever toxic effects on liver tissue (Pourahmad *et al.*, 2012). It was demonstrated that use of VPA in patients with epilepsy is associated with an increase in body weight by increasing serum insulin and insulin/glucose levels may or by stimulating appetite (Kanemura *et al.*, 2012). Metformin is one of the most widely used oral antidiabetic drugs for the treatment of type 2 diabetes (Jiang *et al.*, 2014) as

it controls blood glucose levels by increasing insulin sensitivity and improving glucose uptake in the liver (Mori et al., 2017). It additionally finds place in the treatment of many clinical conditions other than type 2DM as heart disease, kidney disease, decreased sexual ability and circulation problems (Gao et al., 2010). It was reported that metformin has hepatoprotective potential against hepatotoxicity resulted from methotrexate therapy and the antioxidant properties, antiapoptotic and anti-inflammatory effects of metformin were probably the contributing factors for this hepatoprotection (Hadi et al., 2012). The aim of the study was to assess the effect of the administration of valproate sodium on liver and kidneys of albino rats as and compare effect of its administration alone and with administration of metformin to study metformin possible protective effect.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials

- Valproate sodium obtained from Sanovi Company in the form of 200 mg tablets.
- Metformin obtained from Merk Company in the form of 500 mg tablets.

Experimental animals: The study was conducted on 80 albino rats weighing between (134-290 g). The rats were acclimatized for 7days before the onset of the experiment. The chosen animals were individually housed in plastic cages with good aerated covers at normal atmospheric temperature ($25 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C) as well as under good ventilation and received water and standard balanced diet.

Experimental Design: Rats were divided into 4 groups, each group formed of 20 rats. Group A: Control group (20 rats): Animals untreated and served as negative control. Group B: Valproate sodium -treated group (20 rats): Animals were treated by valproate sodium at a dose of 200 mg/kg/day via oral route for 12 weeks. Group C: Metformin -treated group (20 rats): Animals were treated by metformin at a dose of 100 mg/kg/day via oral route for 12 weeks. Group D: Valproate sodium plus metformin -treated group (20 rats): Animals were treated by valproate sodium at a dose of 200mg/kg/day plus metformin at a dose of 100 mg/kg/day via oral route for 12 weeks. At the end of the experimental period animals were anesthetized using diethyl ether, blood samples were collected from the orbital sinus. The blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 round per minute (r.p.m.) for 20 minutes to obtain serum. The supernatant sera were separated and frozen at -80°C for biochemical analysis:

- A) Liver function tests: ALT (Alanine aminotransferase, AST (Aspartate aminotransferase, Alkaline phosphatase and Albumin
- **B) Kidney function tests:** Urea serum level and Creatinine serum level

Tissue Harvesting Procedures: At the end of experiment, the animals sacrificed by cervical decapitation and laparotomy was carried out to remove the tissues (liver & kidneys) that were stored in a formalin solution.Sample of 0.5cm3 of the organs were removed and fixed in 10% neutral formalin for 24 hours followed by washing, dehydration in ascending grades of alcohol, clearing in xylene and embedding in hard paraffin. Samples were then serially sectioned at thickness of 5-6µ,

mounted on albuminized slides and left for 24 hours at 37°C to dry and to avoid detachment of sections during subsequent steps of staining. The tissue sections were stained by Hematoxylin and Eosin stain and then examined under the light microscope. The histopathological examination of liver& kidneys was carried out to determine any associated changes and compare between groups. A numerical scoring system for histologically assessing the extent of fibrosis was adapted from the formula of (Schcuer,1991), with minor modifications (Hsu *et al.*, 2005). Briefly, fibrosis was graded as:0: No fibrosis. Grade 1: Enlarged, fibrous portal tracts.Grade 2: Periportal or portal- portal septa, but intact architecture.Grade 3: Fibrosis with architectural distortion.Grade 4: Probable or definite cirrhosis. Additionally, hepatocyte necrosis or degeneration severity was also graded as:

0: No hepatocyte necrosis or degeneration. Grade 1: Focal necrosis or degeneration of hepatocytes (mild lesion no. \leq 3). Grade 2: Multifocal necrosis or degeneration of hepatocytes (moderate lesion no.> 3). Grade 3: Locally extensive or diffuse necrosis or degeneration of hepatocytes (severe). Hepatocyte degeneration is mainly associated with cytoplasmic vacuolation and swelling, with the nuclear contour generally intact, whereas hepatocyte necrosis is associated with karyopyknosis (nuclear shrinkage) and karyorrhexis (nuclear rupture), in addition to degenerative changes (Weng *et al.*, 2009).

Statistical analysis

The collected data was organized, tabulated and statistically analyzed using SPSS software statistical computer package version 18 (SPSS Inc, USA). For quantitative data, the mean and standard deviation were calculated. ANOVA (Analysis of variance) was used to test the difference about mean values of measured parameters among groups, multiple comparison between pairs of groups were performed using LSD (Post hoc range test). Paired t test was used in comparison between the difference of body weight in before and after intervention. For qualitative data the number and percent distribution was calculated, chi square (χ 2) was used as a test of significance. For interpretation of results of tests of significance, significance was adopted at P \leq 0.05.

RESULTS

The body weight of rats was determined in the beginning of the study and after finishing it (after 12 week) (Table 1). There was significant increase in bodyweight gain (p<0.05) in the valproate sodium treated group with high mean of body weight gain when compared to rats of all other groups. There is significant decrease in bodyweight gain (p<0.05) in the metformin treated group when compared to rats of all other groups. The results of biochemical studies done to estimate the liver function were compared between different study groups (Table 2). There is statistically significant difference with pvalue <0.05 among rats' liver function tests in different study groups, with high mean of liver enzymes (ALT, AST and ALP) level in valproate sodium group and there is significant increase in ALT level in valproate sodium plus metformin group when compared to control and metformin group but within normal range. Also, insignificant difference in albumin level in different study groups. Additionally, the kidney functions were compared between different study groups (Table 3). There is statistically significant difference with pvalue <0.05 in rats' kidney function tests (urea &creatinine) in different study groups, with high mean level in valproate sodium group when compared to other groups. There is statistically significant difference betweenvalproate sodium plus metformin group and control group.

Histopathological results

Liver Microscopically, Livers of rats of the control group (Figure 1): revealed normal histological structure of hepatic lobule with normally looking hepatocytes, normal portal areas

Table 1.	Comparisons	of body we	eights between	study groups	before and	after intervention
----------	-------------	------------	----------------	--------------	------------	--------------------

	Mean	SD	P-value	Significance
Initial weight				C
Control group	177.1	25.3		
(Group A)				
Valproate sodium group	180	26.7	B vs. A: 0.975	NS
(Group B)				
Metformin group	156.6	16.1	C vs. A: 0.022	S
(Group C)			C vs. B: 0.006	S
Valproate sodium plus metformin	156.7	18	D vs. A: 0.022	S
(Group D)			D vs. B: 0.007	S
			D vs. C: 1.000	NS
Last weight				
Control group	237	24.9		
(Group A)				
Valproate sodium group	280.3	26	B vs. A:<0.0001	S
(Group B)				
Metformin group	137.6	14.7	C vs. A:<0.0001	S
(Group C)			C vs. B:<0.0001	S
Valproate sodium plus metformin	166.8	18.9	D vs. A:<0.0001	S
(Group D)			D vs. B:<0.0001	S
			D vs. C:<0.0001	S

NS = Non-significant S = Significant SD= Standard deviation

Table 2. Comparison of live	r function tests in	different study	groups
-----------------------------	---------------------	-----------------	--------

	Mean	SD	P-value	Significance
ALT				
Control group	26.2	26.2		S
(Group A)				
Valproate sodium group	71.7	71.7	B vs. A:<0.0001	S
(Group B)			~	~
Metformin group	25	25	C vs. A: 0.979	S
(Group C)			C vs. B:<0.0001	S
Value and in the matter in	25.0	25.0	$D_{\rm MR}$ A: 0.012	S
(Group D)	55.8	55.8	D vs. A. 0.012 D vs. B:<0.0001	S
(Group D)			D vs. $C: 0.0001$	S
AST			D V3. C. 0.004	5
Control group	90.5	30.8		
(Group A)				
Valproate sodium group	191.9	70.1	B vs. A:<0.0001	S
(Group B)				
Metformin group	90.1	30.7	C vs. A: 1.000	NS
(Group C)			C vs. B:<0.0001	S
Valproate sodium plus metformin	117.3	43.4	D vs. A: 0.273	NS
(Group D)			D vs. B:<0.0001	S
			D vs. C: 0.261	NS
ALP	01.0	29.2		
(Crown A)	91.9	28.2		
(Gloup A) Valproste sodium group	214.8	50.6	B vs. A:<0.0001	S
(Group B)	214.0	50.0	D VS. A. <0.0001	5
Metformin group	90.6	28.4	C vs. A: 0.999	NS
(Group C)	20.0	20.1	C vs. B:<0.0001	S
Valproate sodium plus metformin	113.5	25.9	D vs. A: 0.208	NS
(Group D)			D vs. B:<0.0001	S
· • ·			D vs. C: 0.168	NS
Albumin				
Control group	3.9	0.5		
(Group A)				
Valproate sodium group	3.8	0.5	B vs. A: 0.884	NS
(Group B)				
Metformin group	4	0.6	C vs. A: 0.940	NS
(Group C)	-	0.0	C vs. B: 0.562	NS
Valproate sodium plus metformin	3.7	0.3	D vs. A: 0.407	NS
(Group D)	- • •		D vs. B: 0.841	NS
× 1 /			D vs. C: 0.152	NS

NS = Non-significant, S = Significant, SD= Standard deviation

	Mean	SD	P-value	Significance
Urea				
Control group	19.7	1.8		
(Group A)				
Valproate sodium group	33.3	7.7	B vs. A:<0.0001	S
(Group B)				
Metformin group	19.8	1.9	C vs. A: 1.000	NS
(Group C)			C vs. B:<0.0001	S
Valproate sodium plus metformin	20.1	2	D vs. A: 0.993	NS
(Group D)			D vs. B:<0.0001	S
			D vs. C: 0.996	NS
Creatinine				
Control group	0.8	0.2		
(Group A)				
Valproate sodium group	2.2	0.7	B vs. A:<0.0001	S
(Group B)				
Metformin group	0.8	0.2	C vs. A: 1.000	NS
(Group C)			C vs. B:<0.0001	S
Valproate sodium plus metformin	1	0.3	D vs. A: 0.251	NS
(Group D)			D vs. B:<0.0001	S
			D vs. C: 0.251	NS

Table 3. Comparison of kidney function tests in different study groups

NS = Non-significant S = Significant SD = Standard deviation



Figure 1. A micrograph of liver from control group showing no histopathological changes (H&E X200)



Figure 2. A micrograph of liver from the valproate sodium -treated group (A) Showing moderate inflammatory cells (the black arrow) many apoptotic cells (the blue arrows) fibrosis and proliferated portal ducts, the star, and dilated congested blood vessels (the letter V) (B) Showing moderate inflammatory cells (the black arrow) many apoptotic cells, the blue arrows, (H&E X200)

and central veins. Livers of rats of valproate sodium -treated group: All examined livers of rats (n=20) revealed central vein dilatation, half of examined livers of rats (n=10) revealed mild inflammation and mild fibrosis (Grade 1 hepatic fibrosis) but the other half (n=10) showed moderate inflammation, moderate fibrosis (Grade 2 hepatic fibrosis), many apoptotic cells, and proliferated portal ducts and dilated congested blood vessels (Figure 2).

Some (n=6) livers of rats of the same group showed cloudy degeneration of the hepatocytes (Figure 3A), while some (n=8) showed vacuolar degeneration of hepatocytes and fatty accumulation (steatosis) with signet ring appearance of the hepatocytes (Figure 3B) and revealed spotty hepatic necrosis (Grade 2 hepatic necrosis) (Figure 4). Livers of rats of metformin -treated group: Most (n=17) of examined livers of rats revealed normal histological structure of hepatic lobule



Figure 3. A micrograph of liver from the valproate sodium -treated group, (A) Showing cloudy degeneration of the hepatocytes (the circles), (B) Showing vacuolar degeneration of the hepatocytes (the black arrows) and fatty accumulation (steatosis) with signet ring appearance of the hepatocytes (the yellow arrows) (H&E, X400)



Figure 4. A micrograph of section from the liver in valproate sodium -treated group Showing some foci of spotty necrosis. (H&E x200)

Figure 5. A micrograph of liver from metformin -treated group Showing within normal liver apart from mild inflammatory cells (the arrows) (H&E X200)



Figure 6. A micrograph of liver from valproate sodium plus metformin –treated group Showing within normal liver apart from minimal inflammatory cells in the portal tracts and early fibrous expansion (the arrows) (H&E X200)

except some (n=3) showed mild inflammation (Figure 5) and mild fibrosis (Grade 1 hepatic fibrosis). Livers of rats of valproate sodium plus metformin -treated group (Figure 6): Most (n=16) of examined livers of rats revealed normal histological structure of hepatic lobule within normal liver except some (n=4) revealed early fibrous expansion (Grade 1 hepatic fibrosis) and minimal inflammatory cells in the portal tracts. There was a statistically significant difference (P <0.0001) in rats' liver necrosis in valproate sodium -treated group ,about 40% liver tissues shows Grade 2 hepatic necrosis and 60% shows no hepatic necrosis (Figure 7) but other groups showed no necrosis. Also, there was a statistically significance difference (P <0.0001) in rats' liver fibrosis in different study groups with higher effect on liver tissues amongvalproate sodium -treated group. Regarding liver tissues of the valproate sodium group, about 50% show Grade 2 hepatic fibrosis and 50% show Grade 1, in liver tissues of the metformin group about 85% show no fibrosis but 15% show Grade 1 hepatic fibrosis. In liver tissues of the valproate sodium plus metformin group 80% no fibrosis and 20% show Grade 1 hepatic fibrosis. There is no hepatic fibrosis effect among control group (Figure 8).



Figure 7. The variation in hepatic necrosis in valproate sodium treated group

aggregates. The glomeruli are of average cellularity. The tubules are not dilated with no degeneration or cast formation.

The kidneys of rats of valproate sodium -treated group (Figure 10): All examined kidneys of rats (n=20) revealed vacuolar degeneration (ballooning) of some of the tubular cells with marked tubular dilatation and intratubular casts (Figure 10A). Some (n=10) revealed moderate vascular congestion dense inflammation and fibrosis (Figure 10B).

The kidneys of rats of metformin -treated group (Figure 11): Most kidneys of rats (n=15) revealed mild vascular congestion of the glomerular tufts (Figure 11A) and some (n=8) showed vacuolar degeneration (ballooning) of some of the tubular cells. The stroma showing no inflammatory cells aggregates. The glomeruli are of average cellularity. The tubules are not dilated with no degeneration or cast formation (Figure 11 B).

The kidneys of rats of valproate sodium plus metformin treated group (Figure 12): All examined kidneys of rats (n=20) revealed normal pattern, the stroma showing no inflammatory cells aggregates.







Figure 9. A micrograph for kidney from control group

Kidneys: Microscopically, kidneys of rats of the control group (Figure 9) revealed normal histological structure of renal parenchyma; the stroma showing no inflammatory cells

The glomeruli are of average cellularity. The tubules are not dilated with no degeneration or cast formation. Mild vascular congestion is seen.



Figure 10. A micrograph for kidney from valproate sodium -treated group, (A) Showing focal vacuolar degeneration (ballooning) of some of the tubular cells (the black arrows) with marked tubular dilatation and intratubular casts (the star) (H&E X200), (B) Showing moderate vascular congestion (the black arrows), dense inflammation and fibrosis (the blue arrows) (H&E X200)



Figure 11. A micrograph for kidney from metformin -treated group showed mild changes, (A) Showing mild vascular congestion is seen (the arrows) (H&E X200), (B) Showing focal vascular degeneration (ballooning) of some of the tubular cells (H&E X200). The stroma showing no inflammatory cells aggregates. The glomeruli are of average cellularity. The tubules are not dilated with no degeneration or cast formation



Figure 12. A micrograph for kidney from sodium plus metformin -treated group

Showing normal pattern, the stroma showing no inflammatory cells aggregates. The glomeruli are of average cellularity. The tubules are not dilated with no degeneration or cast formation. Mild vascular congestion is seen (the arrows) (H&E X200)

DISCUSSION

Valproic acid (VPA) is a medicine widely prescribed as an anticonvulsant and mood stabilizer. It has been commonly used in the treatment of epilepsy and bipolar disorder. VPA has been prescribed as anti-epileptic drugs (AEDs) over the past 40 years (Al-amoudi, 2017). Metformin is biguanide compound which has been used for the alleviation of the hyperglycemia associated with type 2 diabetes for decades. Some studies have reported that it increases peripheral glucose utilization and causes reduction of basal hepatic glucose output (Cleasby *et al.*, 2004).

According to body weight, this study revealed that there was significant increase in bodyweight gain in the valproate sodium treated group with high mean of body weight gain when compared to rats of all other groups. These results agree with Luef et al., 2009 whoreported that weight gain is one of the most common side-effects of VPA therapy. This coincide with Stephen et al., 2001 who observed that VPA patients were more likely to be overweight. Also, it was demonstrated that long-term antiepileptic therapy with VPA in adults and children is associated with high body weight and body fat (Elkhatib et al., 2007; Rauchenzauner et al., 2008; Sidhu et al. 2018). Similarly, it was noted a greater increase in mean body weight during the first year of valproate therapy (Morrell et al., 2008). These results disagree with Roste et al., 2002 who demonstrated that there was no significant weight gain observed in any of the valproate treated rats compared to controls. According to this studythere was significant decrease in body weight gain in the metformin treated group when compared to rats of all other groups and the body weight gain of rat of valproate plus metformin treated group was within normal ranges. These results agree with Tao et al., 2019 who observed significant decrease in the body weights in rats after daily administration of metformin for 4 weeks. Similarly, (Meng et al., 2017) noted that the body weight in rats treated with metformin per day for 4 weeks were significantly lower than other groups. The mechanism of reducing body weight in metformin was reported in many studies as Lin et al., 2000 who suggested that metformin suppress feeding behavior and excessive caloric intake which plays a role in the genesis of obesity. Another study reported that metformin has anorexigenic effects which mediated via an increase in the central sensitivity to leptin (Aubert et al., 2011) which is an adipocyte-derived hormone, contributes to body weight homeostasis by regulating food intake (Kim et al., 2006). These results disagree with (Ko et al., 2017) who demonstrated that the bodyweight was not significantly different between the metformin-treated and control groups.

Regarding to the liver enzymes, this study showed variations to show effect of valproate sodium and compare effect of its administration alone and with administration of metformin. Firstly the variation in ALT, AST, ALP and albumin values showed the normal range in control group and metformin treated group. The variation in ALT, AST and ALP showed higher values in group treated by valproate sodium when compared to those of the other groups (control, metformin treated group &valproate sodium plus metformin -treated

group). ALT level slightly increased in valproate sodium plus metformin group but other enzymes levels were within the normal range. Albumin levels are within normal ranges in all groups. Both aminotransferases are highly concentrated in the liver however an increase in ALT serum levels is, consequently, more specific for liver damage. ALP is a membrane associated enzyme and its increased activity is an indication of liver damage. In addition determining serum albumin levels is considered "test of liver function". This is mainly because hepatic synthesis of albumin tends to diminish in end-stage liver disease (Giannini et al., 2005). These results agree with Zeng et al., 2010 who reported mild aminotransferase elevations among patients who take VPA as epilepsy treatment and Al-amoudi, 2017 concluded that SVP treatment resulted in a significant increase in hepatic enzymes level, total-bilirubin after six weeks of administration when compared to control rats. Also, elevated aminotransferase levels are observed in bipolar disorder patients treated with VPA (Bowden et al., 2008).

Another supporting study noted elevated aminotransferase levels in patients after using VPA for painful diabetic neuropathy(Kochar *et al.*, 2004).Similarly, Fenichel and Greene, 1985 also observed rise in serum transaminases activities and ammonia concentrations during the first three months of VPS therapy. These results disagree with Akindele *et al.*, 2015 whoreported reduction in the levels of AST, ALT, ALP and bilirubin diabetic rats after treatment by valproic acid. This coincides with Lee *et al.*, 2007 who noted that serum alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase levels were not significantly altered in the experimental animals after VPS treatment. Additionally, Khan *et al.*, 2015 noted that VPA treatment reduced the levels of AST, ALT and ALP when compared with diabetic rats.

The effect of metforminto reduce the effect of valproate sodium was clearly observed in the reduction of ALT, AST and ALP values in group of metformin with valproate sodium. The reduction in ALT, AST and ALP values in these cases mainly related to hepatoprotective effect of metformin. This agree with study by Hadi *et al.* 2012 who found that there is a significant reduction and restoration of the activity of serum transaminases was achieved after administration of metformin during methotrexate induced hepatotoxicity which was explained by the antioxidant properties, anti-apoptotic and anti-inflammatory effects of metformin. Similarly, this coincide with Lavine *et al.*, 2011who demonstrated a decrease in ALT, AST and ALP levels over 96 weeks of treatment of metformin.

Additionally, it was reported that metformin treatment resulted in normalization of serum liver enzyme activities in mice and improved viability of hepatocytes (Lin *et al.*, 2000). Another supporting study noted that metformin pretreatment in cases of acetaminophen hepatotoxicity leaded to progressively decreased plasma levels of ALT and AST (Kim *et al.*, 2015). Also, Poon *et al.*, 2003 reported that metformin treatment protects against hepatotoxicity induced by chronic repeated administration of carbon tetrachloride in mice as it significantly decreased the plasma ALT activity. These results disagree with Ko *et al.*, 2017 who noted that the plasma levels of AST and total bilirubin were also not significantly different in rats with induced biliary cirrhosis via common bile duct ligation (CBDL) which treated by metformin.Similarly, It was reported that the group treated with metformin only showed a non-significant decrease in the level of ALT as compared to the untreated diabetic group (Elattar et al., 2017). Regarding to the liver histology, this study showed that no histopathological changes occur in the control groups but the group treated by valproate sodium showed histopathological changes in the liver tissue in the form of central vein dilatation, 50% of liver tissues showed mild inflammation and mild fibrosis (Grade 1 hepatic fibrosis) but the other 50% showed moderate inflammation, moderate fibrosis (Grade 2 hepatic fibrosis), many apoptotic cells, proliferated portal ducts and dilated congested blood vessels . 40% of livers of rats of the same group revealed vacuolar degeneration of hepatocytes and fatty accumulation (steatosis) with signet ring appearance of the hepatocytes and revealed spotty hepatic necrosis (Grade 2 hepatic necrosis), while 30% of livers of rats of the same group showed cloudy degeneration of the hepatocytes. These changes in liver histopathology agree with Al-amoudi, 2017 who demonatrated that the liver sections obtained from the SVP treated rats revealed clear hepatocytes disorganization, hepatocytes nuclei were shrinked, pyknotic or apoptotic, congestion of the intrahepatic blood veins, infiltrations by masses of leukocyte inflammatory cells have cytoplasmic vacuolation with pyknotic nuclei, congestion, fibrosis and bile duct necrosis around the portal tract and also, fatty infiltrations.

Additionally, the study performed by Lee et al., 2007 described liver injury as the most serious side effect of SVP and reported microvesicular steatosis, hepatocellular necrosis, cholestatic liver injury. It was reported intra hepatic synthesis of triglyceride rich lipoproteins and fat accumulation which leaded to development of steatohepatitis which progress to cirrhosis in 25% of patients (Luef et al., 2004). Another study reported that mice showed a higher incidence of hepatocellular necrosis, microvesicular steatosis and polymorphic infiltration and centrilobular necrosis after SVP treatment (Qureshi et al, 1985). One more supporting source of hepatocellular affection by valproic acid revealed partial distortion of liver architecture, accompanied with vacuolar degenerative changes seen focally in hepatocytes, congested portal vein and scattered focal aggregates of inflammatory cells seen in portal areas and between hepatocytes after 15 days of valproic acid treatment and revealed marked distorted hepatic architecture, scattered multifocal necrotic areas and hypertrophied nuclei with fragmented chromatin after 30 days of valproic acid treatment (Ibrahim, 2012). Another supporting study demonstrated that sodium valproate causes cell death through apoptosis in a rat liver cell (Phillips et al., 2003).Naviaux, 2005 noted micronodular cirrhosis and bile ductular proliferation in patient after VPS treatment. Additionally, another study reported severe microvesicular fatty change, degeneration and necrosis, extensive bile duct proliferation and massive fibrosis (Pronicka et al., 2011). Also, Al-amoudi, 2017 concluded that SVP treatment resulted in hepatocytes disorganization and hepatocytes nuclei were shrinked, pyknotic or apoptotic, congestion of the intrahepatic blood veins, infiltrations by masses of leukocyte inflammatory cells, cytoplasmic vacuolation with pyknotic nuclei, congestion, fibrosis and bile duct necrosis around the portal tract and fatty infiltrations. Another supporting study by Heidari et al., 2018 whodetected liver histopathological changes in VPA-treated including steatosisnecrosis and inflammation. groups Additionally, Akindele et al., 2015 noted that valproate leaded to congested vascular channels and scattered focal haemorrhages and vacuolations in many hepatocytes.

The mechanism of VPA hepatotoxicity was explained by Fenichel and Greene, 1985 whodemonasterated that theretwo kinds of hepatotoxicity may happen among people treated with valproate. One is dose-related (due to direct injury by the drug), the other is idiosyncratic. Another explanation by Pourahmad et al., 2012 who reported that the cytotoxic action of VPA is mediated by reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation and noted that incubation of hepatocytes with VPA also caused rapid hepatocyte glutathione depletion which is another marker of cellular oxidative stress. There are studies disagree with these results as Khan et al., 2015 whoreported thatVPA prevents the hepatic fibroblast activation in vitro and in vivo experiments as it exerts anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activity which protects the multiple organ damage in several pathological conditions. The effect of metformin on liver histology was clearly observed in this study as 85% of examined livers of rats of metformin -treated group revealed no histopathological changes but 15% showed mild inflammation and mild fibrosis (Grade 1 hepatic fibrosis). The effect of metformin in the reducing the negative side effect of sodium valproate on liver histology was clearly observed in this study as most of examined livers of rats of valproate sodium plus metformin -treated group (80%) revealed normal histological structure of hepatic lobule except 20% of them showed early fibrous expansion (Grade 1 hepatic fibrosis) and minimal inflammatory cells in the portal tracts.

These results agree with Hadi et al. 2012 whoreported that histopathological changes of the group treated with metformin during methotrexate induced hepatotoxicity showed significant improvement in architecture. Another supporting study reported that in rats with induced biliary cirrhosis via common bile duct ligation (CBDL) histological changes were significantly ameliorated by metformin treatmentand improved hepatic fibrosis in cirrhotic rats (Ko et al., 2017). Similarly, Fan et al., 2017 noted that metformin could decrease carbon tetrachloride (CCl4)-induced liver fibrosis. Additionally, Kim et al., 2015 demonastrated that Acetaminopheninduced liver damage was also ameliorated by metformin pretreatment and it gradually reduced damaged area in liver parenchyma. Another supporting study reported that metformin treatment can reverse the liver injury and prevents nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) progression to more severe states(Karise et al., 2017). The hepatoprotective effect of metformin was explained by Zheng, 2015 who reported that metformin inhibit hepatocyte and macrophage inflammatory responses in rat so it ameliorates liver inflammation. Beside that it was reported that metformin has a protective effect against oxidative stress which induces apoptosis in hepatocytes and the majority of chronic liver diseases are accompanied by oxidative stress (de la Rosa et al., 2015). Also, Lin et al., 2000 demonasterated that the therapeutic mechanism of metformin involves inhibited hepatic expression of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) α and TNF-inducible factors that promote hepatic lipid accumulation and ATP depletion.

This study disagree with Lavine *et al.*, 2011 whonoted lack of hepatoprotective effect of metformin as metformin did not result in any significant histological improvements compared with placebo over 96 weeks in cases of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in children and adolescents. Regarding to the kidney function, this study showedkidney functionwas normal in control group, metformin -treated group and valproate sodium plus metformin -treated group. This agrees with Al-amoudi,

2017 who reported that SVP treatment resulted in a significant increase in creatinine and urea nitrogen after six weeks of administration as compared to control rats. Another supporting study noted a significant increase in the levels of urea and creatinine in the serum of VPA-treated rats (Gezginci-Oktayoglu et al., 2015). Also, it was demonasterated that valproic acid-treated animals developed elevated serum creatinine and urea(Fukuda et al., 1996; Heidari et al., 2018). These results disagree with Khan et al., 2015who reported that VPA treatment reduced the levels of creatinine and urea compared with diabetic rats. Similarly, Altunbasak et al., 2001 noted that there is no statistically significant differences in serum creatinine and urea were found between patients treated by VPA and controls. Regarding the kidney histology, this study detected no histopathological changes occur in the control group. All examined kidneys of rats of valproate sodium -treated group revealed vacuolar degeneration (ballooning) of some of the tubular cells with marked tubular dilatation and intratubular casts, 50% of the kidneys revealed moderate vascular congestion, dense inflammation and fibrosis.

These results agree with Al-amoudi, 2017 who reported that the microscopical examination of kidney cortex of the animals treated with SVP showed congested and enlarged renal veins and vacuolar degeneration in some tubular epithelial cells, some celldebris scattered in tubular lumina. The renal tubules showedcytoplasmic vacuolation of epithelial lining and their lumenfilled with proteinaceous casts. Also, edematouslesion was observed between the tubules. The renal tubules appeared severely injured andthe glomeruli were fragmented and degenerated. There is another supporting study reported that VPA treatment leaded to architectural disruptions with focal cystic lesions in the proximal tubules, irregularities of epithelial cells and basement membranes. The interstitium had moderate fibrosis and marked infiltration of mononuclear cells (Fukuda et al., 1996). Another reported case of renal injury after valproate sodium treatment revealed infiltration of the interstitium by numerous lymphocytes and macrophages, and mild interstitial fibrosis, that was associated with diffuse tubular atrophy with loss of the proximal tubular brush border (Yoshikawa et al., 2002).Additionally, Akindele et al., 2015 noted that valproateleaded to congestion of glomerular capillaries with vacuolations in proximal convoluted tubular cells.

Many studies noted the mechanism of sodium valproate nephrotoxicity as Heidari et al., 2018 and Gezginci-Oktayoglu et al., 2015who reported thatoxidative stress developed in renal tissue after VPA administration and it elevated kidney Reactive oxygen species(ROS) levels, reduced tissue antioxidant activity, increased lipid peroxidation and depleted renal glutathione stores. Moreover, they found that renal mitochondrial function was impaired in VPA-treated animals. Beside that Altunbasak et al., 2001 found increased urinary Malondialdehyde (MDA) excretion in children on VPA therapy. MDA is a highly reactive aldehyde and it is formed from the peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids due to the effect of oxygen free radicals and it forms covalent bounds with proteins, phospholipids and DNA, which leads to renal damage. In addition to another explanation by Fukuda et al., 1996 who reportd a case of hypersensitivity to VPA. These results disagree with Heidari et al., 2018 whofound no sign of kidney tissue fibrosis in VPA-treated animals. Similarly, Zhang et al., 2009 who observed that VPA treatment did not

induce renal lesions in any segment of the cortex or the medulla. Also, Kawaoka et al., 2017 reported that VPA may be used for the treatment of renal fibrosis. The effect of metformin in the reducing the negative side effect of sodium valproate on kidneys function and histology was clearly observed in this study as kidneys function in sodium valproate plus metformin -treated were within normal ranges and all examined kidneys revealednormal pattern, the stroma showed no inflammatory cells aggregates, the glomeruli are of average cellularity, the tubules are not dilated with no degeneration or cast formation and mild vascular congestion is seen. These results agree with Kopaei et al., 2013 whoreported thatmetformin have curative and protective activity against gentamycin nephrotoxicity as the levels of urea and creatinine in group which received metformin were significantly lesser than group which receive gentamycin. Similarly, Amini et al., 2012 demonstrated that post-treatment with metformin or cotreatment with metformin could prevent the elevation of serum urea and creatinine induced by gentamycin and also attenuates the damage. Another supporting study noted that lightmicroscopic examination of kidneys from metformin-treated rats showed no structural alterations in renal tissues in rats treated with gentamycin (Morales et al., 2010).

Many studies explained the mechanism of nephroprotective effect of metformin as Kim et al., 2012 who reported that metformin has antioxidant effect through reducing ROS levels while the overproduction of ROS is an important mechanism for oxidative stress which causes cell death. These results disagree with Sahu et al., 2013 whonoted that metformin treatment did not show any significant protection against cisplatin-induced renal damage as blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinin levels were significantly elevated in cisplatin and were also elevated in metformin plus cisplatin group. Beside that Cisplatin rats showed prominent multiple tubular degeneration, inflammatory cell infiltration, necrosis, vacuolization and loss of architecture of tubules while in metformin plus cisplatin group metformin did not protect the kidney as evidenced by the presence of dilated tubules with degenerated epithelium vacuolated cells and lumen filled with esinophilic materials.

Conclusion

Long term use of valproate sodium in albino rats produces hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity.Metformin restored the altered liver and kidney function and possessed hepatoprotection and nephroprotective effect against valproate sodium toxicity.

REFERENCES

- Akindele AJ,Otuguor E,Singh D, Ota Dand Benebo AS. 2015. Hypoglycemic, antilipidemic and antioxidant effects of valproic acid in alloxan-induced diabetic rats. *European Journal of Pharmacology*;762(1):174-183.
- Al-amoudi WM. 2017. Protective effects of fennel oil extract against sodium valproate-induced hepatorenal damage in albino rats *.Saudi Journal of Biological Science*;24(4):915-924.
- Altunbasąk S, Yildiz D, Anarat A and Refik Burgut H. 2001. Renal tubular dysfunction in epileptic children on valproic acid therapy. *Pediatric Nephrology*;16(3):256-259.
- Aubert G, Mansuy V, Voirol MJ, Pellerin L and Pralong FP 2011. The anorexigenic effects of metformin involve

increases in hypothalamic leptin receptor expression. *Metabolism: Clinical and Experimental*;60(3):327-334.

- Bowden CL, Mosolov S, Hranov, *et al.* 2008. Efficacy of valproate versus lithium in mania or mixed mania: a randomized, open 12-week trial. *Internatonal Clinical Psychopharmacology*; 25(2):60-67.
- Cleasby ME, Dzamko M, Hegarty BD, et al. 2004. Metformin Prevents the Development of Acute Lipid-Induced Insulin Resistance in the Rat Through Altered Hepatic Signaling Mechanisms. *Diabetes Journal*; 53(12):3258-3266.
- De la Rosa LC, Vrenken TE, Buist-Homan M, Faber KN and Moshage H. 2015. Metformin protects primary rat hepatocytes against oxidative stress-induced apoptosis. *Pharmacology Research and Perspectives* ;3(2):1-12.
- Elattar S, Estaphan S, Mohamed EA, Elzainy A and Naguib M 2017. The protective effect of lalpha, 25dihydroxyvitamin d3 and metformin on liver in type 2 diabetic rats. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*;173:235-244.
- El-khatib F, Rauchenzauner M, Lechleitne M, *et al.* 2007. Valproate, weight gain and carbohydrate craving : Agender study. *Seizure*; 16(3):226-32.
- Amini FG, Kopaei MR, Nematbakhsh M, Baradaran A and Nasri H(2012). Ameliorative effects of metformin on renal histologic and biochemical alterations of gentamicininduced renal toxicity in Wistar rats. Journal of Research in Medical Sciences : The Official Journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences; 17(7):621–625.
- Fan K, Wu K, Lin L,*et al.* 2017 .Metformin mitigates carbon tetrachloride-induced TGF-β1/Smad3 signaling and liver fibrosis in mice .*Biomedicine and Pharmacotherapy* ;90:421-426.
- Fenichel GM and Greene HL. 1985. Valproate hepatotoxicity: Two new cases, a summary of others, and recommendations. *Pediatric Neurology*;1(2):109-113.
- Fukuda Y, Watanabe H, Ohtomo Y and Yabuta K 1996. Immunologically Mediated Chronic Tubulo-Interstitial Nephritis Caused by Valproate Therapy. Nephron;72(2):328-329.
- Gao Y, Li Y, Xue J, Jia Y and Hu J. 2010. Effect of the antidiabetic drug metformin on bone mass in ovariectomized rats. *European Journal of Pharmacology* ;635(1-3):231-236.
- Gezginci-Oktayoglu S,Turkyilmaz IB, Ercin M,Yanardag R and Bolkent S. 2015. Vitamin U has a protective effect on valproic acid-induced renal damage due to its antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrotic properties.*Protoplasma*; 253(1):127-135.
- Giannini EG, Testa R and Savarino V. 2005. Liver enzyme alteration: A guide for clinicians. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*;172(3):367–379.
- Hadi NR, Swadi AA and Mohammad BI 2012. Hepatoprotective Potential of Metformin against Methotrexate Induced Hepatotoxicity. Asian Journal of Experimental Biological Sciences; 3(1):110–117.
- Heidari R, Jafari F, Khodaei F, Yeganeh BS and Niknahad H 2018. Mechanism of valproic acid-induced Fanconi syndrome involves mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress in rat kidney. *Nephrology*; 23(4):351-361.
- Hsu YC, Lin YL, Chiu YT. *et al.* 2005. Antifibrotic effects of Salvia miltiorrhiza on dimethylnitrosamine-intoxicated rats. *Joural of Biomedical Science*;12:185-195.

- Ibrahim MA 2012. Evaluation of Hepatotoxicity of Valproic acid in albino mice, Histological and Histoistochemical studies. *Life Science Journal* ;9(4):159-153.
- Jiang T, Yu JT, Zhu XC, et al. 2014. Acute metformin preconditioning confers neuroprotection against focal cerebral ischaemia by pre-activation of AMPK-dependent autophagy. British Journal of Pharmacology; 171(13):p.3146–3157.
- Kanemura H, Sano F, Maeda YI, Sugita K and Aihara M. 2012. Valproate sodium enhances body weight gain in patients with childhood epilepsy: A pathogenic mechanisms and open-label clinical trial of behavior therapy. *Seizure*;21(7):496-500.
- Karise I, Ornellas F, Barbosa-da-silva S, Matsuura C and Barbosa M (2017).Mandarim-de-lacerda CA. Liver and Metformin : Lessons of a fructose diet in mice. *Biochimie Open*;4:19-30.
- Kawaoka K, Doi S, Nakashima A, et al. 2017. Valproic acid attenuates renal fibrosis through the induction of autophagy. *Clinical and Experimental Nephrology*; 21(5):771-780.
- Khan S, Jena G, Tikoo K and Kumar V. 2015. Valproate attenuates the proteinuria, podocyte and renal injury by facilitating autophagy and inactivation of NF-κB/iNOS signaling in diabetic rat. *Biochimie.*;110:1-16.
- Kim J, Shon E, Kim CS and Kim JS. 2012. Renal podocyte injury in a rat model of type 2 diabetes is prevented by Metformin. *Experimental Diabetes Research*;(2012):1-9.
- Kim Y, Hwang JH, Kim K, et al. 2015. Metformin ameliorates acetaminophen hepatotoxicity via Gadd45 b -dependent regulation of JNK signaling in mice. Journal of Hepatology ;63(1):75-82.
- Kim Y, Kim J, Park Y, *et al.* 2006. Metformin Restores Leptin Sensitivity in High-Fat–Fed Obese Rats With Leptin Resistance *.Diabetes*;55:716-724.
- Ko M, Huang H, Lee W, Chuang C and Hsin I 2017. Metformin reduces intrahepatic fibrosis and intrapulmonary shunts in biliary cirrhotic rats. *Journal of the Chinese Medical Association*;80(8):467-475.
- Kochar DK, Rawat N, Agrawal RP, *et al* 2004. Sodium valproate for painful diabetic neuropathy: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study.*QJM*:*An International Journal of Medicine* ;97(1):33-38.
- Kopaei MR and Baradaran A. 2013. Combination of metformin with other antioxidants may increase its renoprotective efficacy. *Journal of renal injury prevention*; 2(2):35-356.
- Lavine JE, Schiwimmer JB, Van Natta MM, *et al.* 2011. Effect of Vitamin E or Metformin for Treatment of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Children and Adolescents. *Hepatology*.;305(16):1659-1668.
- Lee M,Hong I, Kim M *et al.* 2007. Gene expression profiles of murine fatty liver induced by the administration of valproic acid. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*; 220:45-59.
- Lin HZ, Yang SQ, Chuckaree C, *et al.* 2000. Metformin reverses fatty liver disease in obese, leptin-deficient mice. *Nature Medicine* ;6(9):998-1003.
- Luef GJ,Waldmann M,Sturm W, *et al.* 2004. Valproate Therapy and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease.*Epilepsy Research Journal*;1:729-732.
- McLaughlin DB, Eadie MJ, Parker-Scott SL, *et al.* 2000. Valproate metabolism during valproate-associated hepatotoxicity in a surviving adult patient. *Epilepsy Research*;41(3):259-268.

- Meng XM, Ma XX, Tian YL, *et al.* 2017. Metformin improves the glucose and lipid metabolism via influencing the level of serum total bile acids in rats with streptozotocininduced type 2 diabetes mellitus. *European review for medical and pharmacological sciences*;21(9):2232-2237.
- Morales AI, Detaille D, Prieto M, *et al.* 2010. Metformin prevents experimental gentamicin-induced nephropathy by a mitochondria-dependent pathway. *Kidney International;* (77): 861–869.
- Mori A, Ishikawa E, Amano T, Sakamoto K and Nakahara T 2017. Anti-diabetic drug metformin dilates retinal blood vessels through activation of AMP-activated protein kinase in rats. *European Journal of Pharmacology*; 798:66-71.
- Morrell MJ, Hayes FJ, Sluss PS, *et al.* 2008. Hyperandrogenism, ovulatory dysfunction, and polycystic ovary syndrome with valproate versus lamotrigine. *Annals of Neurology*; 64(2):200-211.
- Phillips A, Bullock T and Plant N. 2003. Sodium valproate induces apoptosis in the rat. *Toxicology* 1;192:219-227.
- Poon MKT, Chiu P, Mak DHF and Ko K. 2003. Metformin Protects Against Carbon Tetrachloride Hepatotoxicity in Mice.*Journal of Pharmacological Sciences*;504:501-504.
- Pourahmad J, Eskandari MR, Kaghazi A, *et al.* 2012. A new approach on valproic acid induced hepatotoxicity: Involvement of lysosomal membrane leakiness and cellular proteolysis. *Toxicology in Vitro*;26(4):545-551.
- Pronicka E, Węglewska-Jurkiewicz A, Pronicki M, et al. 2011. Drug-resistant epilepsia and fulminant valproate liver toxicity. Alpers-Huttenlocher syndrome in two children confirmed post mortem by identification of p.W748S mutation in POLG gene.*Medical Science Monitor Journal*;17(4):203-209.
- Qureshi I A, Letarte J, Tuchweber B, yousef I and Qureshi SR 1985. Hepatotoxicity of sodium valproate in ornithine. *Toxicology Letters* ;25:297-306.
- Rauchenzauner M, Haberlandt E, Scholl-B "urgi S, *et al* 2008. Adiponectin and visfatin concentrations in children treated with valproic acid. *Epilepsia* ;49(2):353-357.
- Røste LS, Taubøll E, Isojärvi JI, et al. 2002. Effects of chronic valproate treatment on reproductive endocrine hormones in female and male Wistar rats. *Reproductive Toxicology* ;16(6):767-773.

Sahu BD, Kuncha M, Putcha UK and Sistla R. 2013. Effect of metformin against cisplatin induced acute renal injury in rats: A biochemical and histoarchitectural evaluation. *Experimental and Toxicologic Pathology*;65(6):933-940.

- Scheuer PJ(1991).Classification of chronic viral hepatitis: a need for reassessment. *Journal of Hepatology* ; 13(3): 372-37.
- Sidhu HS, Srinivasa R and Sadhotra A. 2018. Evaluate the effects of antiepileptic drugs on reproductive endocrine system in newly diagnosed female epileptic patients receiving either Valproate or Lamotrigine monotherapy: A prospective study.*Epilepsy Research*;139:20-27.
- Stephen LJ, Kwan P, Shapiro D, Dominiczak M and Brodie MJ. 2001. Hormone Profiles in Young Adults with Epilepsy Treated with Sodium Valproate or Lamotrigine Monotherapy. *Epilepsia*;42(8):1002-1006.
- Tao X, Cai L, Chen L, Ge S and Deng X. 2019. Effects of metformin and Exenatide on insulin resistance and AMPKα-SIRT1 molecular pathway in PCOS rats. *Journal of Ovarian Research*;12(1):86.
- Weng T, Shen C, Chiu Y, Lin Y, Kuo C and Huang Y. 2009. Inhibitory effects of armepavine against hepatic fibrosis in rats. *Journal of Biomedical Science*;16(78):1-13.
- Yoshikawa H, Watanabe T and Abe T. 2002. Tubulointerstitial nephritis caused by sodium valproate. *Brain and Development*;24(2):102-105.
- Zeng K, Wang X, Xi Z and Yan Y. 2010. Adverse effects of carbamazepine , phenytoin , valproate and lamotrigine monotherapy in epileptic adult Chinese patients. *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*;112(4):291-295.
- Zhang J, Goering PL, Espandiari P, et al. 2009. Differences in Immunolocalization of Kim-1, RPA-1, and RPA-2 in Kidneys of Gentamicin-, Cisplatin-, and Valproic Acid-Treated Rats: Potential Role of iNOS and Nitrotyrosine. *Toxicologic Pathology*;37(5):629-643.
- Zheng J,Woo SL,Hu X, et al. 2015. Metformin and metabolic diseases: a focus on hepatic aspects. Frontiers of Medicine; 9(2):173-186.
