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#### Abstract

The Thomas sea catfish, Notarius grandicassis, belongs to the family Ariidae and is one of the most exploited species on the western coast of the state of Maranhão, Brazil. The scarcity of studies on this fishing resource underscores the need for information on the population structure and biological aspects of the species. The aim of the present study was to collect information on the population structure and condition factor of N. grandicassis. Specimens were collected during campaigns on the western coast of Maranhão ( $01^{\circ} 02^{\prime}$ to $2^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ and $45^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ to $44^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) from April 2012 to August 2013 using drift gillnets. In the lab, total length (Lt), total weight (Wt), and gonad differentiation were determined for each individual. These data were processed to acquire population structure and reproduction parameters. The sample was composed of 499 individuals ( 85 males and 414 females); the largest $(69 \mathrm{~cm})$ and smallest $(27.4 \mathrm{~cm})$ individuals were both females. The highest frequency of captured individuals was in the 42.4 to 47.4 cm class interval. The weight/length relationship revealed a type of positive allometric growth, with $\mathrm{Wt}=0.0056 \mathrm{Lt} 3.07$ for males and $\mathrm{Wt}=0.0066$ Lt 3.02 for females. The relative condition factor revealed the same pattern for both sexes in April 2012 and May 2013, demonstrating better conditions in this period.
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## INTRODUCTION

Fishing in Brazil is an activity of considerable social and economic importance in terms of both food production and as a source of livelihood for millions of people, although marine fishery production has experienced a sharp decline attributed to overfishing (Haimovici et al., 2014). The fact that fisheries are an integral part of human activities in coastal zones creates multiple interactions and underscores the need for an integrated approach to coastal management in order to separate the effects of fishing from all other anthropogenic factors (Blaber, 2000; Blaber, 2013). Marine and estuarine environments on the northern coast of Brazil generally exhibit high productivity and a considerable diversity of fishes, which is likely due to the important contribution of organic matter associated with the broad continental shelf, the amount of nutrients carried by

Nittrouer and Demaster, 1996). These environments sustain an important biomass of fishery resources, especially demersal organisms, many of which are commercially exploited, although their potential and the carrying capacity of stocks remain unknown (Isaac, 2006). On the coast of the state of Maranhão, fishery production occurs exclusively by the artisanal fishing fleet, involving vessels with low autonomy and fishing efforts concentrated in estuaries, bays, and shallow coastal waters (Silva et al., 2018). Among the fishery resources on the western coast of the state, the Thomas sea catfish, Notarius grandicassis (Valenciennes, 1840), stands out due to the large amount caught. This species was recently revised considering the geographic variation in its morphological traits (Marceniuk et al., 2017). According to Betancur et al. (2015), N. grandicassis occurs in the northeastern portion of South America, with distribution from Barranquilla, Colombia, to the state of Paraná, Brazil. It is commonly found in shallow coastal waters at depths $\geq 35 \mathrm{~m}$ and in estuarine areas, where the species is captured as bycatch in mackerel and pink
shrimp fisheries. Despite the enormous potential for consumption and commercialization, little is known regarding the biology and population structure of the species. Such information is valuable for management actions and as the basis for studies on stock assessments, recruitment, and mortality. Therefore, the aim of the present paper was to provide important estimates of the population parameters for $N$. grandicassis and contribute to the maintenance of the exploited stock at sustainable biomass levels.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study site: This study was conducted on the northwestern coast of the state of Maranhão in estuarine areas of Lençóis Bay surrounding Lençóis Island $\left(01^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\right.$ to $01^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{S} ; 44^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to $\left.44^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right)$ (Figure 1). Lençóis Island is located in the Maiau archipelago on the coast of the municipality of Cururupu (eastern Amazon region), which is the ecotourism center of the Guarás Forest (Castro et al., 2018).


Figure 1. Location of Lençóis Bay and catch area for Notarius grandicassis (hatched line)

The oceanographic conditions of the continental shelf in the region are influenced by the Brazilian Equatorial Current, which is driven by the tropical trade winds that predominate in the region, generating a macrotidal coastline (Hayes, 1979; Marceniuk et al., 2017). A semidiurnal tidal cycle occurs in this estuarine region, with spring tides ranging from 4.0 to 5.5 m (Castro et al., 2018). The climate is hot and humid, with an average temperature of $28.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and mean annual precipitation of $1,900 \mathrm{~mm}$ (INMET, 2019) divided between a rainy season from January to June and a dry season from July to December. The landscape consists of mangrove vegetation composed of Rhizophora mangle, Avicennia germinans, and Laguncularia racemosa, which constitute the dominant structure in most tropical estuaries. The tallest mangroves are concentrated in the northeastern and southeastern sectors of Lençóis Island, with $R$. mangle trees reaching 25 meters and dominating the local landscape (AZEVEDO et al., 2017).

Data collection: Samples were taken bimonthly between April 2012 and August 2013 through purchases from fishermen in the region. The fishermen used floating drift gillnets with a mesh size of 100 mm between adjacent knots, 40 meshes in height, and 2,500 meters in length. All catches were performed in the evening from 18:00 to 24:00 h, with the vessels operating in an area of approximately 110 $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ at depths of 10 to 15 meters. Captured individuals were packed in ice in plastic bags labeled with the date and catch site, conserved on ice, and taken to the laboratory. Voucher material was deposited at the Ichthyology Collection of the Department of Oceanography and Limnology of the Federal University of Maranhão, Brazil. In the laboratory, the specimens were identified based on Figueiredo and Meneses (2000), Marceniuk (2005), and Cervigón et al. (1993). Basic morphological measurements were taken for each specimen, including total length ( cm ) and body weight ( g ). To characterize the
sex and maturity stage of the gonads, observations were performed of macroscopic reproductive characteristics of the ovaries and testicles, with the determination of the following stages of development (Ungaro, 2008; Bazzoli, 2003; Nikolsky, 1963).

## Females

Immature: sexual organs very small, usually shorter than $1 / 4$ of body cavity, situated close to vertebral column. Ovary transparent, colorless, or grey. Eggs not visible to the naked eye.

Maturing: ovary pinkish-yellow in color with granular appearance, about $2 / 3$ the length of the body cavity. Eggs visible to the naked eye through the ovarian tunica, which is not yet translucent. Eggs not expelled under light pressure.

Mature: ovary orangish-pink in color, with conspicuous superficial blood vessels, $2 / 3$ to full length of the body cavity. Large transparent, ripe eggs clearly visible, and can be expelled under light pressure. In a more advanced condition, eggs escape freely.

Spent: reddish ovary shrunk to about $1 / 2$ length of the body cavity. Flaccid ovarian walls. Ovary may contain remnants of disintegrating opaque and/or translucent eggs.

## Males

Immature: small, filiform, translucent testicles; isolated, microscopically present primary spermatogonia; some secondary sperm cysts and primary spermatocytes.

Initial maturation: developed testicles, lobulated in shape; membrane breaks with certain pressure, eliminating milky, viscous sperm. Microscopically, testicles present cysts with germline cells in different stages of development, with primary and secondary spermatogonia as well as primary and secondary spermatocytes; small amount of sperm observed in lumen of seminiferous tubules.

Advanced maturation: turgid, whitish testicles, occupying large part of the celomatic cavity; membrane breaks with weak pressure, eliminating sperm, less viscous than in previous stage; microscopically, primary spermatocytes and secondary spermatogonia observed, along with spermatozoa-laden seminiferous tubules.

Resting: flaccid testicles, with hemorrhagic appearance; membrane does not break with pressure; microscopically, seminiferous tubules with open lumen, which may contain residual spermatozoa and wall composed only of spermatogonia.

The population length structure was obtained from the frequency distribution of the total length classes of the sampled individuals and the sex ratio was determined by comparing the observed frequency of males and females using the chi-squared ( $\chi^{2}$ ) test, with the level of significance set to $\alpha=0.05$. The definition of class intervals followed the recommendation in which the range of length groups for a length frequency histogram generally depends on the maximum and minimum length of the fish and the number of individuals measured (Zale et al., 2012). The weight-length relationship (WLR) was estimated for males and females separately using the potential equation: $\mathrm{Wt}=\mathrm{a} \mathrm{Lt}^{\mathrm{b}}$, in which Wt is total weight, Lt is total length, the $a$ coefficient is related to body shape, and the $b$ regression coefficient is indicative of growth type. These variables were estimated using the least squares method (Castro et al., 2018). The coefficient of determination ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) was used as an indicator of the goodness of fit of the linear regression (Zar, 2010). The relationships obtained for males and females were compared by initially performing a homogeneity test of variances. Next, the parallelism test ( $b$ test) and the intercept test ( $a$ test) were applied (ANCOVA) to determine whether the lines were coincident or not. The relative condition factor ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}$ ) was estimated monthly, for the different seasons (rainy, dry, and transition) and by length class (Class 1: $\geq$ $27.4<42.4 \mathrm{~cm}$; Class 2: $\geq 42.4<57.4 \mathrm{~cm}$; Class $3: \geq 57.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) from
the weight/length relationship expressed by $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}=\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{b}}$ and was analyzed to compare the observed weight of an individual to the average weight for a given length (FROESE, 2006). The data were subjected to two-way ANOVA to test for possible season and size interactions. One-way ANOVA was used for the comparison of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}$ among sampling months, followed by Tukey's test. For cases in which the presuppositions of homoscedasticity were not met, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used, followed by the Mann-Whitney for pairwise comparisons. All analyses were processed using the PAST statistical program, version 3.14 (Hammer, 2001), with $\alpha=0.05$.

## RESULTS

A total of 499 individuals of $N$. grandicassis were analyzed ( 85 males and 414 females). Total length ranged from 28.6 to 59.5 cm for males (mean: $44.3 \pm 6.9 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and 27.4 to 69.0 cm for females (mean: $46.9 \pm$ 7.1 cm ). The modal length covered the class range from 42.4 to 47.4 cm (Figure 2). The sum of the total weight recorded during the study was 386.48 kg (mean: $0.774 \pm 0.410 \mathrm{~kg}$ ). Minimum, mean, and maximum values of length and weight and respective standard deviation values are shown in Table 1. The sex proportion revealed a predominance of females in all sampling months, except in August 2013 (Table 2), when males predominated. The chi-squared ( $\chi^{2}$ ) test confirmed the significant predominance of females (4.8:1.0).

The proportion between juveniles and adults by sex and for each sampling month is shown in Figure 3. Juveniles predominated for both sexes throughout the sampling period, with a total predominance of juvenile males in April 2012, May 2012, June 2012, September 2012, July 2013, and August 2013. Juvenile females predominated in May 2012, June 2012, May 2013, and July 2013. The relation obtained in the scatterplot assumes a potential type model (Figure 4A and 4B), following the behavior of most teleosts, adjusted by the following equations: $\mathrm{Wt}=0.0056 \mathrm{Lt}^{3.0765}$ (males) and $\mathrm{Wt}=0.0066$ $\mathrm{Lt}^{3.0283}$ (females). The results show isometric growth tending towards positive allometry in both sexes. The values of the equation were submitted to logarithmic transformation and were adjusted for a linear function, resulting in the following equations:
$\operatorname{lnWt}=5.1911+3.0765 \operatorname{lnLt}$ for males
$\operatorname{lnWt}=5.0212+3.0283 \operatorname{lnLt}$ for females.
The coefficient of determination $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$, which expresses the percentage of variation in weight as a function of length, was 0.97 for males and 0.95 for females. These values demonstrate the excellent fit of the regression model to the variables analyzed and indicate that changes in length in males and females account for $97 \%$ and $95 \%$ of the variation in body weight, respectively.

Table 1. Variation in weight (kg) and length (cm) for Notarius grandicassis in the period between April 2012 and August 2013. (Wtmax - maximum weight obtained; Wtmean - monthly mean weight; Wtmin - minimum weight found; Ltmax - maximum length obtained; Wtmean - monthly mean length; Ltmin - minimum length found)

| Month | Sex | Wtmax (Kg) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wtmean } \\ & (\mathrm{Kg}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wtmin } \\ & (\mathrm{Kg}) \end{aligned}$ | Deviation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ltmax } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Ltmean (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ltmin } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April | Male | 1.130 | 0.644 | 0.257 | 0.361 | 54.4 | 42.56 | 31.6 | 9.26 |
|  | Female | 2.085 | 0.897 | 0.318 | 0.441 | 64.7 | 33.6 | 48.3 | 8.12 |
| May | Male | 0.738 | 0.491 | 0.268 | 0.146 | 46.5 | 40.4 | 32.7 | 4.32 |
|  | Female | 2.139 | 0.752 | 0.351 | 0.316 | 64.3 | 46.5 | 36.5 | 5.14 |
| June | Male | 0.568 | 0.426 | 0.240 | 0.122 | 44.1 | 39.100 | 32.6 | 3.91 |
|  | Female | 2.278 | 0.774 | 0.269 | 0.433 | 66.7 | 45.9 | 33.7 | 7.51 |
| September | Male | 0.424 | 0.421 | 0.418 | 0.003 | 38.5 | 38.2 | 37.8 | 0.40 |
|  | Female | 1.737 | 0.746 | 0.329 | 0.326 | 60.4 | 45.8 | 36.7 | 6.46 |
| October | Male | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Female | 2.014 | 1.277 | 0.848 | 0.372 | 64 | 55.2 | 49 | 4.81 |
| November | Male | 1.279 | 0.713 | 0.184 | 0.271 | 52.6 | 44.4 | 28.6 | 6.17 |
|  | Female | 1.374 | 0.716 | 0.328 | 0.254 | 57 | 45.6 | 35.6 | 5.32 |
| December | Male | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Female | 1.079 | 0.614 | 0.141 | 0.244 | 53.6 | 43.1 | 27.4 | 7.08 |
| May | Male | 0.922 | 0.645 | 0.541 | 0.158 | 49 | 44.6 | 42.9 | 2.49 |
|  | Female | 1.034 | 0.684 | 0.485 | 0.117 | 51.4 | 45 | 40.3 | 2.33 |
| June | Male | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Female | 2.525 | 1.441 | 0.169 | 0.857 | 69 | 56.61 | 45.6 | 9.91 |
| July | Male | 1.351 | 0.857 | 0.406 | 0.368 | 54 | 46.9 | 38 | 6.55 |
|  | Female | 1.788 | 0.992 | 0.466 | 0.444 | 58 | 48.5 | 40.4 | 6.29 |
| August | Male | 1.620 | 1.069 | 0.489 | 0.290 | 59.5 | 51.8 | 41.4 | 4.60 |
|  | Female | 1.351 | 1.269 | 1.206 | 0.074 | 56.6 | 55.5 | 54.8 | 0.95 |



Figure 2. Frequency distribution by total length (cm) class interval for $N$. grandicassis caught in Lençóis Bay, state of Maranhão, Brazil

Table 2. Monthly proportion of females and males of $N$. grandicassis caught on the western coast of the state of Maranhão, Brazil, with respective chi-squared ${ }^{\left({ }^{2}\right)}$ results

| Month | Absolute Freq. | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{o}}$ of Males | $\%$ | $\mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{o}}$ of Females | $\%$ | Expected Freq. | ${ }^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Apr 2012 | 48 | 5 | 10.42 | 43 | 89.58 | 24 | $30.08^{*}$ |
| May 2012 | 90 | 19 | 21.11 | 71 | 78.89 | 45 | $30.04^{*}$ |
| Jun 2012 | 90 | 8 | 8.89 | 82 | 91.11 | 45 | $60.84^{*}$ |
| Sep 2012 | 42 | 3 | 7.14 | 39 | 92.86 | 21 | $30.86^{*}$ |
| Oct 2012 | 9 | 0 | 0.00 | 9 | 100.00 | 4.5 | $9.00^{*}$ |
| Nov 2012 | 73 | 24 | 32.88 | 49 | 67.12 | 36.5 | $8.5^{*}$ |
| Dec 2012 | 25 | 0 | 0.00 | 25 | 100.00 | 12.5 | $25.00^{*}$ |
| May 2013 | 68 | 5 | 7.35 | 63 | 92.65 | 34 | $49.7^{*}$ |
| Jun 2013 | 10 | 0 | 0.00 | 10 | 100.00 | 5 | $10.00^{*}$ |
| Jul 2013 | 26 | 6 | 23.08 | 20 | 76.92 | 13 | $7.5^{*}$ |
| Aug 2013 | 18 | 15 | 83.33 | 3 | 16.67 | 9 | $8.00^{*}$ |
| TOTAL | 499 | 85 | 17.0 | 414 | 83.0 | 249.5 | $216.92^{*}$ |

*significant at 5\% level
${ }^{2} 0.05=3.841$.


Figure 3. Proportion of juveniles and adults of N. grandicassis caught in Lençóis Bay, state of Maranhão, Brazil


Figure 4. Total weight/total length relationship with respective linear transformation for males (A) and females (B) of $N$. grandicassis caught in Lençóis Bay, state of Maranhão, Brazil

The values of the $a$ and $b$ coefficients obtained for males and females were compared using ANCOVA, which revealed a significant difference between these coefficients, thereby not enabling the calculation of the regression for grouped individuals.

Table 3. Parameter of linear regressions referring to weight-length relationship of $N$. grandicassis caught on the western coast of the state of Maranhão, Brazil

| Sex/ <br> Parameters | A | B | a | co | R2 | r | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males | 5.1911 | 3.0765 | 0.0056 | $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ | 0.97 | 0.96 | 85 |
| Females | 5.0212 | 3.0283 | 0.0066 |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 414 |

$\mathrm{A}=\log$ value of intercept; $\mathrm{B}=$ angular coefficient; $\mathrm{a}=$ numeric value of intercept; co $=$ result of ANCOVA between angular coefficients; $R^{2}=$ coefficient of determination; $\mathrm{r}=$ Pearson's linear correlation; $\mathrm{N}=$ number of individuals.

The relative condition factor ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}$ ) was calculated for each sampling month and by length class interval (cm), the mean of which was 0.993 $\pm 0.082$ for females and $0.986 \pm 0.076$ for males. The $t$-test revealed no significant difference in the mean condition factor between sexes ( t $=-0.703 ; \mathrm{p}=0.482$ ). The $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}$ of the females was highest in May 2013, June 2013, and peaked in July 2013 (transition period between the rainy and dry seasons), whereas the lowest values were found in May 2012 and December 2010. For males, the highest values were found in April 2012 and July 2013; however, values above 1.0 were also found in the dry months (September 2012 and November 2012), whereas the lowest values were found in May 2012, June 20112, and May 2013. One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences among sampling months regarding the relative condition factor for both males and females. For males, values were significantly higher in April 2012, September 2012, November 2012, and July 2012 in comparison to May 2012, June 2012, and May 2013 ( $\mathrm{F}=2.46$; df $=7$; $\mathrm{p}=0.025$ ). For females, the value in July 2013 was higher than the values of all other sampling months $(F=4.733 ; \mathrm{df}=10 ; \mathrm{p}<0.000)$. Regarding the evaluation of $\mathrm{K}_{\text {rel }}$ per total length class, the lowest values for females of $N$. grandicassis caught in estuaries in the state of Maranhão were found in the smallest length class ( 27.4 F 32.4 cm ), followed by intermediate classes ( 42.4 F 47.4 and 47.4 F 52.4 cm ). The highest relative condition factor values occurred in the second smallest class $(32.4 \mathrm{~F} 37.4 \mathrm{~cm})$ and the largest total length classes $(62.4 \mathrm{~F} 67.4$ and $67.4 \mathrm{~F} 72.4 \mathrm{~cm})$. In general, only the smallest length class and intermediate sizes had $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}$ values lower than 1.0 (Figure 5).

*length class represented by single individual, impeding statistical comparisons.

Figure 5. Relative condition factor per sampling month and by size class (cm) for females (A, C) and males (B, D) of N. grandicassis caught in Lençóis Bay, state of Maranhão, Brazil. Blue histogram represents mean and bars indicate standard deviation. Different letters denote significant difference at $5 \%$ level

For males, the lowest relative condition factors were found in the intermediate classes, with $\mathrm{K}_{\text {rel }}$ values lower than 0.98 . In the other classes, the relative condition factor was higher than 0.99 . The Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the pairwise comparisons using the Mann-Whitney test for females indicated significant differences between the 62.4 F 67.4 cm class and the intermediate sizes ( 37.4 to $52.4 \mathrm{~cm})(\mathrm{KW}-\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ and the smallest length class $(27.4 \mathrm{~F} 32.4$ $\mathrm{cm})$. For males, no significant difference in the relative condition factor was found as a function of the length of the individuals (KW $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). Two-way ANOVA revealed significant differences among females regarding the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{rel}}$ value as a function of size class $(\mathrm{F}=3.595$; $\mathrm{df}=2 ; \mathrm{p}=0.02$ ) and season $(\mathrm{F}=3.807 ; \mathrm{df}=2 ; \mathrm{p}=0.02)$. The interaction between the two factors also revealed statistically significant differences $(\mathrm{F}=3.142 ; \mathrm{df}=4 ; \mathrm{p}=0.01)$. Integrating the two factors, the condition factors suggest that N. grandicassis caught in estuaries on the coast of the state of Maranhão, Brazil, has a greater accumulation of fat in the transition period in both the size 2 group [the intermediate classes ( $\geq 42.4<57.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ )] and size 3 group [larger classes $(\geq 57.4 \mathrm{~cm})$ ]. For smaller classes $(\geq 27.4<42.4)$, the relative condition factor was higher in the rainy period (Figure 6).


Figure 6. Variation in relative condition factor throughout seasonal period [rainy ( R ), dry ( D ), and transition ( T )] as a function of different size classes ( $1: \geq 27.4<42.4 \mathrm{~cm} ; 2: \geq 42.4<$ $57.4 \mathrm{~cm} ; 3: \geq 57.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) for $N$. grandicassis caught in Lençóis Bay, state of Maranhão, Brazil

## DISCUSSION

The N. grandicassis population has considerable commercial importance on the coast of the state of Maranhão and females of the species are known to be more abundant than males. This same situation has been reported for other representatives of the family Ariidae (Pinheiro et al., 2006; Azevedo and Castro, 2008; Queiroga et al. 2012; Zavala-Leal et al. 2019). Departure from the $1: 1$ sex ratio is not expected for most fish species, although some populations may exhibit strong bias in this ratio. Such differences may be attributed to various causes, such as the influence of temperature on sex determination, selective mortality by sex through differential predation, as well as distinct sexual behavior, growth rates, or expected longevity (Vicentini and Araujo, 2003; Azevedo et al., 2017). Thus, N. grandicassis is believed to be sensitive to different abiotic factors and aspects intrinsic to the species that result in a significant predominance of females (4.8:1.0). Vazzoler (1996) points out that the sex proportion of a species is influenced by factors such as mortality, growth, and environmental events associated with the life cycle. This information can assist studies on reproductive aspects and population structure and even indicate adaptation to the food supply, with a predominance of females when food is abundant and the opposite in regions where food is limited (Nikolsky, 1969). Feeding activity in this case may exert an influence on metabolism through hormonal activity, which could eventually result in changes of individuals of a certain sex. The weight-length relationship indicated that $N$. grandicassis caught in estuaries on the coast of the state of Maranhão exhibits positive allometric growth (b>3.0), meaning that there is a greater gain in weight than length, which is common among fishes (Froese, 2006). Similar results have been reported for other representatives of the family Ariidae caught in both the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans (Cantanhêde et al., 2007; Azevedo and Castro, 2008; Zavala-Leal et al., 2019). Weight-length relationships in fishes are affected by factors such as environmental conditions, gonad maturity stages, sex, stomach fullness, health condition, season,
population, and differences within species. In the present study, the $b$ coefficient values found for $N$. grandicassis indicate that the species exhibited an increase in weight proportional to length. Moreover, the values were within the limits indicated by Le Cren (1951) and Froese (2006), who report a b coefficient range of 2.5 to 4.0. Consistent patterns of allometric growth are rare and should be supplemented with an analysis of the phases of growth as well as a discussion on the potential evolutionary benefits associated with ontogenetic changes in body proportion (Froese et al., 2014). The condition factor is often used to evaluate the reproductive period of fishes, along with other indices such as the gonadosomatic and hepatosomatic relationship (González-Castro and Minos 2016). Thus, as the lowest condition factor values occurred in December for females and in May and June for males, there is evidence that $N$. grandicassis performs its reproductive activities at the beginning of the rainy season (December to April) and protection of the offspring through oral incubation by males must occur after this period (May to June), generating a misalignment in the variation in the relative condition factor for males and females in terms of lower and higher peaks, with a single alignment found in July. According to Chaves (1994), the reduction in condition factor values in males may be explained by mouthbrooding, which completely occupies the oropharyngeal cavity.

Studying a species of the family Ariidae, Sciades herzbergii (Bloch, 1794), in northeastern Brazil, Queiroga et al. (2012) found decreasing condition factor values in the period from December to February for both males and females, also indicating oral incubation by males. The results were very similar to the condition factor pattern found for N. grandicassis in the Amazon region, although the extent was somewhat longer for the performance of reproductive activities in the present study, likely due to the greater productivity of the study area. Studying Bagre panamensis (Gill, 1863) in the Gulf of California, ZavalaLeal et al. (2019) reported the reproductive period of the species to be from May to August, which is characterized by high precipitation and low salinity. The breeding season for the majority of catfish occurs between spring and early autumn, which coincides with the hottest period of the year, resulting in an increase in both water temperature and precipitation (Mendoza-Carranza and Hernández-Franyutti, 2005). When evaluated by class interval, the relative condition factor was higher $(\geq 1.0)$ in the smallest and largest individuals, whereas individuals in the intermediate class sizes had lower relative condition factor values (< 1.0). This may be explained by the fact that the energy reserves in juveniles are not yet destined for gonadal development and are directed more toward growth. In larger individuals, the higher values may be associated with more efficient strategies in the search for food and flight from predators, resulting in lower energy expenditure. In intermediate classes, activities are known to be directed at the reproductive aspects of the species. Mahmood et al. (2012) found no variation as a function of different size classes for Ilisha melastoma (Bloch and Schneider, 1801) caught in Pakistan, which the authors points out as being contrary to what was expected. Two-way ANOVA indicated greater energy reserves for juveniles in the rainy season, as there is a tendency toward low participation in reproductive activities in this class. In larger individuals, greater accumulation of fat occurs in the transition period (July).

## CONCLUSION

The results of the present study demonstrate that N. grandicassis apparently has a reproduction period from December to April that may extend to June due to the post-spawning care performed by males of the species, resulting in a considerable, cyclic drop in the condition factor for this sex. N. grandicassis caught in Lençóis Bay in the state of Maranhão, Brazil, has positive allometric growth and a significant predominance of females. This study makes a substantial contribution that an assist in the appropriate management of this species on the Amazon coast, as records of evaluations for representatives of the family Ariidae are practically nonexistent.
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