

ISSN: 2230-9926

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Available online at http://www.journalijdr.com



International Journal of Development Research Vol. 11, Issue, 04, pp. 46583-46588, April, 2021 https://doi.org/10.37118/ijdr.21751.04.2021



OPEN ACCESS

ASSOCIATED FACTORS WITH NEGATIVE SELF-PERCEIVED HEALTH IN UNIVERSITY STUDENTS DURING SOCIAL ISOLATION - COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Josiane Santos Brant Rocha^{*1,2}, Maria Clara Brant Rocha³, Fernanda Piana Santos Lima de Oliveira², Ronilson Ferreira Freitas⁴, João Pedro Brant Rocha⁵, Andreia Maria Araújo Drummond⁶, Antônio Prates Caldeira^{1,2,4} and Daniela Araújo Veloso Popoff^{1,2}

¹Postgraduate Program in Primary Health Care, State University of Montes Claros, Minas Gerais, Brazil
 ²UniFipMoc University Center, Montes Claros, Minas Gerais, Brazil
 ³Faculty of Medical Sciences of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil
 ⁴Postgraduate Program in Health Sciences, State University of Montes Claros, Minas Gerais, Brazil
 ⁵Faculty of Medicine, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil
 ⁶Department of Social and Preventive Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gera

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 26th January, 2021 Received in revised form 14th February, 2021 Accepted 03rd March, 2021 Published online 30th April, 2021

Key Words: Self-assessment; Health condition; COVID-19; College students.

*Corresponding author: Josiane Santos Brant Rocha,

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to investigate the prevalence and associated factors with negative self-perceived health in students enrolled in public and private universities in a Brazilian urban centre during the social isolation due to COVID-19 pandemic. It was cross-sectional research developed with a sample of public and private university students. A questionnairewas applied addressing sociodemographic data, clinical conditions, behavioural habits and self-perceived health. Bivariate analysis followed by Poisson regression, with robust variance, were performed to associate the variables with negative self-perceived health. The prevalence of negative self-perceived health during social isolation in the population studied was 52.4%, in 857 university students. Among university students being female, enrolledin a public institution/university, the family having lost income during social isolation and not practising physical activity during social isolation, remained associated with negative self-perception of health. The high prevalence of negative self-perceived health and the associations observed point to the need for health promotion actions aimed at university students in this period of social isolation due to the pandemic of COVID-19.

Copyright © 2021, Josiane Santos Brant Rocha et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Josiane Santos Brant Rocha, Maria Clara Brant Rocha, Fernanda Piana Santos Lima de Oliveira, Ronilson Ferreira Freitas, João Pedro Brant Rocha, Andreia Maria Araújo Drummond, Antônio Prates Caldeira and Daniela Araújo Veloso Popoff. 2021. "Associated factors with negative self-perceived health in university students during social isolation - covid-19 pandemic", International Journal of Development Research, 11, (04), 46583-46588.

INTRODUÇÃO

The first case of the disease caused by the new coronavirus was registered in December 2019, becoming known worldwide as COVID-19. This first appearance occurred in Wuhan, China, which informed the World Health Organization (WHO) about the outbreak of a new acute respiratory disease, similar to pneumonia, with a mortality rate of 2%¹. In January 2020, the cases began to spread to other regions of the world and affected 26 countries, which led the WHO to declare an international public health emergency^{2.3}. The first case of COVID-19 in Latin America was registered in Brazil, in São Paulo, at the end of February of 2020⁴. WHO declared COVID-19 epidemic as a pandemic on 11 March 2020, and the disease had, until that date,infected more than 118,000 people in 114 countries and 4,291 deaths⁵. There were 2,915 confirmed cases in Brazil, and 77

deaths in this period, according to official data from the Ministry of Health⁶, and community transmission were declared. In this context, Brazil began to adopt measures to control and prevent the disease. Among the measures, social isolation was included, which, according to WHO, contact between potentially infected and healthy conditions, would delay the peak of the epidemic and, consequently, the magnitude of its effects⁷. On 17 March, the Ministry of Education (MEC)⁸, decreed the suspension of face-to-face classes, replacing them with remote classes. This situation should prevail until the complete epidemiological control of the COVID-19 pandemic⁸. Up to June 2020, thousands of young people were in social isolation, carrying out academic activities under vulnerability conditions. They experience expressive emotional and behavioural transformations, which can affect the state and health care⁹. This condition can be measured by assessing self-perceived health, which is a significant health indicator, having been used in population surveys, and is

associated with physical, cognitive and emotional aspects in different population subgroups^{10,11,12}, and university students¹³. This study investigated the prevalence, and associated factors with negative selfperceived health in university students enrolled in public and private higher education institutions in a Brazilian urban centre during social isolation due to COVID-19 pandemic. The context of this Brazilian urban centre (Montes Claros, Minas Gerais) is important because it represents a transition area between the more and less developed (Southeast to Northeast), besides it constitutes a university pole of reference for a region, which has more than 2 million inhabitants.

METHODOLOGY

This study was as part of a project entitled "Health conditions of university students during the period of social isolation in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic", developed by a group of researchers from the State University of Montes Claros, approved by the Research Ethics Committee referred by the number 4.040.766. The target population was limited to university students, aged 18 years and above, enrolled in the public and private universities of a large centre in the north of Minas Gerais. This region represents a transition area between the Southeast, more developed, and the Northeast, less developed¹². A pilot study conducted prior to the study, with university students belonging to the same age group, allowed the questionnaires' test. After this phase, adjustments were performedin the instrument, and data collection for this research started. The Google Forms[®] online platform was used, and the social network was used, publicly Whatsapp@ as a means of dissemination to the target audience. The instrument was available for seven consecutive days, from May20 to 26, 2020. The applied questionnaire had questions previously validated and already used in other studies^{14,15,16,17}. The dependent variable was represented by selfperceived health, extracted from the Vigitel¹⁴questionnaire, and adapted to the context of social isolation, through the question: "in the period of social isolation, how do you consider your health status?". The four categories of response were dichotomized into positive (for the "very good" and "good" options) and negative (for the "regular" and "bad" options).

The independent variables were subdivided into sociodemographic, clinical, and behavioural conditions. The sociodemographic aspects were sex (male; female), age (≤ 22 years;> 22 years), type of institution/university (private; public), area of higher education (other areas; health area), loss of family income in the period social isolation (no; yes), some family member lost their job during the period of social isolation (no; yes). Additionally, there was an inclusion of two questions regarding the fear of COVID-19contamination. As for clinical factors, respiratory diseases were addressed (no; yes). Weight and height were self-reported, and Body Mass Index (BMI) subsequently calculated using the Quetelet formula, later categorized as (normal; changed)¹⁵. Behavioural habits were assessed through the questions: "During the period of social isolation, you have been practising regular physical exercises" (yes; no). As for the consumption of ultra-processed foods, the concept and examples ofwhich foods would be considered ultra-processed^{16,17} were presented, and later the related question; "in the period of social isolation the consumption of ultra-processed foods" (decreased: increased). The statistical program SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences), version 21 was used for data analysis. Initially, an exploratory, descriptive analysis of the data was performed, with the study variables' frequency distribution. Afterwards, bivariate analyses were carried out, looking for associations between independent variables and negative self-perception of health, using the chi-square test. Associated variables up to the level of 20% ($p \le 0,20$) were selected for multivariate analysis. Considering that the Poisson regression with robust variance provides correct estimates and is a better alternative for the analysis of cross-sectional studies with binary results¹⁸, thecrude prevalence ratios (PR) were calculated with their respective 95% confidence intervals. Those variables that were associated up to the 25% level ($p \le 0.25$) were included in the multiple Poisson regression analysis with robust variance, and adjusted

prevalence ratios and their respective 95% confidence intervals (CI 95%), with a significance level of 5% (p <0.05) being adopted for the final model.

RESULTS

The sample consisted of 857 university students, of which 52.4% had a negative perception of health. Results of the bivariate analyze between self-perceived health, and the independent variables are in Table 1. The following variables were associated with the 25% level: sex, type of institution/university, course area, loss of family income during social isolation, employment loss during social isolation, body mass index, physical activity during social isolation, consumption of ultra-processed foods and "in the last few weeks I have had trouble sleeping because I worry about the possibility of becoming infected by COVID-19" (Table 1). After multivariate analysis, the following variables remained associated with negative self-perceived health: being female, linked to a public institution/university, losing family income during the period of social isolation, having an adequate BMI and not practising physical activity during isolation (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Understanding how university students perceive their health conditions is fundamental for adopting preventive and health promotion strategies in a population that is considered vulnerable. With the students' entry into higher education, they tend to adopt inappropriate behaviours for health when questioning the family's values, beliefs, and attitudes in the education process¹⁹. When reflected in behaviours, such questions can influence a health status perceived positively or negative way²⁰. Self-perceived health is an indicator increasingly used in epidemiological studies, strongly associated with people's real or objective health status, incorporating their physical, cognitive and emotional aspects²¹. Studies show that the individual's perception of health is a strong predictor of morbidity and mortality²²; being a health measure strongly associated with the use of health services $\overline{^{23}}$. During the period of social isolation imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic, the prevalence of negative selfperceived health among university students investigated in this study exceeded 50%, a significant percentage and consistent with the few studies exploring the impacts of COVID-19 and the quarantine on the mental health of university students, mainly regarding the levels of depression, anxiety and stress²⁴. A significant association with negative self-perceived health was recorded for females, corroborating with other studies. Similar results were found by Wang et al.²⁵that researched 1,210 university students in 194 cities in China. Maia and Dias²⁴ performed an investigation with 159 students between the suspension of classes and the decree instituted the state of emergency in Portugal due to COVID-19 and found similar results. These data are consistent with previous studies suggesting greater indicators of depression, anxiety and stress among women²⁶. However, studies carried out in the economic crisis period indicate a significant increase in this symptom among men²⁷.

The negative self-perception of health was associated with the attendanceof a public institution. This issue may be related to the graduate student's socio-economic profile in higher education, which in Brazil has changed in recent years due to structural policies in education, allowing the inclusion of groups with a history of exclusion from this educational level. The University for All Program (PROUNI)²⁸, the Unified Selection System (SISU) and the Quota Law in Federal Institutions (Law 12.711, of 29 August 2012)²⁹ and the Higher Education Student Financing Fund (FIES)³⁰ are examples of such policies. The changes were characterized by an increase in the representation of blacks and mixed-race, students with a family income of up to three minimum wages and from public schools, making the impact of the Quota Law evident in federal institutions of higher education³¹. The relationship between socio-economic level and health status is well established in the literature. Factors such as

Table 1. Perception of health status by university students according to sociodemographic factors, clinical factors, behavioural habits and fear of being contaminated by COVID-19

Negative Positive p-value Sociodemographic factors n % n % Sex 139 61,8 86 38,2 1 Female 269 42,6 363 57,4 0,211 S2 anos 264 46,6 303 53,4 0,221 > 22 anos 264 46,6 303 53,4 0,002 Private 297 49,8 299 50,2 0,002 Private 297 49,8 299 50,2 0,002 Public 111 42,5 150 57,5 0,002 Other areas 92 45,1 112 54,9 0,002 Other areas 92 45,1 112 54,9 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 0,000 No 154 58,3 100 41,7 0,000 No 154 58,3 100 41,7 0,000
n % n % Sociodemographic factors Sex 0,000 Male 139 61,8 86 38,2 Female 0,000 Male 139 61,8 86 38,2 0,000 Age 269 42,6 363 57,4 0,211 ≤ 22 anos 264 46,6 303 53,4 0,211 ≥ 21 anos 264 46,6 303 53,4 0,211 ≥ 22 anos 264 46,6 303 53,4 0,211 ≥ 21 anos 210 111 44 9,7 146 50,3 Type of instution/niversity 111 42,5 150 57,5 0,002 Other areas 92 45,1 112 54,9 0,002 Other areas 92 45,1 112 54,9 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 9 Yes 75 38,7 119 61,3
Sociodemographic factors 0,000 Male 139 61.8 86 38.2 Female 269 42,6 363 57,4 Age 0,211 \leq 22 anos 264 46,6 303 53,4 > 22 anos 264 46,6 303 53,4 0,002 Private 297 49,8 299 50,2 0,002 Private 297 49,8 299 50,2 0,002 Other areas 92 45,1 111 42,5 150 57,5 Course area 0111 44,4 337 51,6 0,002 Other areas 92 45,1 112 54,9 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 0,000 No 154 58,3 10 0,000 104<
Sex Image: sex
Male 139 61.8 86 38.2 Female 269 42.6 363 57.4 Age 264 46.6 303 53.4 > 22 anos 264 46.6 303 53.4 > 22 anos 144 49.7 146 50.3 Type of institution/university 9 0.002 0.002 Private 297 49.8 299 50.2 Public 111 42.5 150 57.5 Course area 92 45.1 112 54.9 Health area 316 48.4 337 51.6 Loss of family income during social isolation 154 58.3 110 41.7 Yes 254 42.8 339 57.2 0.000 No 154 58.3 110 41.7 75 8.7 113 Ves 75 38.7 119 61.3 0.000
Female 269 42,6 363 57,4 Age 22 anos 264 46,6 303 53,4 > 22 anos 144 49,7 146 50,3 Type of institution/university 97 49,8 299 50,2 Public 111 42,5 150 57,5 Course area 92 45,1 112 54,9 Health area 316 48,4 337 51,6 Loss of family income during social isolation 92 45,1 112 54,9 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 9 Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 9 Important loss during social isolation 92 45,1 112 54,9 Ves 254 42,8 339 57,2 9 Important loss during social isolation 92 45,1 150 57,5 Ves 75 38,7 119 61,3 9
Age 0,210 $\leq 22 \text{ anos}$ 264 46,6 303 53,4 > 22 anos 144 49,7 146 50,3 0,002 Private 297 49,8 299 50,2 0,002 Public 111 42,5 150 57,5 0,002 Other areas 92 45,1 112 54,9 0,002 Itealth area 316 48,4 337 51,6 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 Yes 75 38,7 119 61,3 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 49,8 49,8 Yes 75 38,7 119 61,3 0,000 No 295 48,3 316
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
> 22 anos 144 49,7 146 50,3 Type of institution/university 297 49,8 299 50,2 Private 297 49,8 299 50,2 Public 111 42,5 150 57,5 Course area 92 45,1 112 54,9 Health area 316 48,4 337 51,6 Loss of family income during social isolation 154 58,3 110 41,7 Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 0,000 Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 0,000 Employment loss during social isolation 0 0333 50,2 330 49,8 Yes 75 38,7 119 61,3 0,200 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 133 54,1 Body mass index 0 0 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 Normal 222 60,0 148
Type of institution/university 0,002 Private 297 49,8 299 50,2 Public 111 42,5 150 57,5 Course area 92 45,1 112 54,9 Health area 316 48,4 337 51,6 Loss of family income during social isolation 0 0000 0000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 Employment loss during social isolation 0000 0000 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 Employment loss during social isolation 0,000 0,000 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 Yes 75 38,7 119 61,3 Clinical Factors 0,000 0,000 0,000 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 </td
Private 297 49,8 299 50,2 Public 111 42,5 150 57,5 Course area 92 45,1 112 54,9 Health area 316 48,4 337 51,6 Loss of family income during social isolation 154 58,3 110 41,7 Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 0,000 No 154 58,3 100 41,7 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 0,000 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 0,292 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 0,292 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 0,000
Public 111 42,5 150 57,5 Course area 92 45,1 112 54,9 Health area 316 48,4 337 51,6 Loss of family income during social isolation 154 58,3 110 41,7 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 0,000 No 254 42,8 339 57,2 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 0,000 No 254 42,8 339 57,2 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 0,290 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 0,290 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 0,290 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 0,000 </td
Course area 0,022 Other areas 92 45,1 112 54,9 Health area 316 48,4 337 51,6 Loss of family income during social isolation 0,000 0 0 0 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 0 Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 0,000 Ves 75 38,7 119 61,3 0,000 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 0,292 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 0,292 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 0,000 Changed 186
Other areas 92 45,1 112 54,9 Health area 316 48,4 337 51,6 Loss of family income during social isolation 0,000 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 Employment loss during social isolation 0,000 0 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 Yes 75 38,7 119 61,3 Clinical Factors 0,295 48,3 316 51,7 Yes 295 48,3 316 51,7 Ves 113 45,9 133 54,1 Body mass index 0,000 0,000 0,000 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 Behavioural Habits 0,000 0,000 0,000
Health area 316 48,4 337 51,6 Loss of family income during social isolation 154 58,3 110 41,7 Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 Employment loss during social isolation 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 Yes 75 38,7 119 61,3 Clinical Factors Respiratory diseases 0,295 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 Yes 113 45,9 133 54,1 Body mass index 113 45,9 133 54,1 Physical activity during social isolation 222 60,0 148 40,0
Loss of family income during social isolation 0,000 No 154 58,3 110 41,7 Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 Employment loss during social isolation 0,000 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 Yes 75 38,7 119 61,3 Clinical Factors 75 38,7 119 61,3 Clinical Factors 0,000 0,295 48,3 316 51,7 Yes 113 45,9 133 54,1 0,000 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 113 45,9 133 54,1 Body mass index 0 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 0,000 Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 0,000 Physical activity during social isolation 222 60,0 148 40,0
No 154 58,3 110 41,7 Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 Employment loss during social isolation 0,000 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 Yes 75 38,7 119 61,3 Clinical Factors 75 38,7 119 61,3 Ves 75 38,7 119 61,3 Clinical Factors 0,295 48,3 316 51,7 Yes 113 45,9 133 54,1 Body mass index 0,000 0,000 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 Behavioural Habits 0,000 0,000 0,000
Yes 254 42,8 339 57,2 Employment loss during social isolation 0,000 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 Yes 75 38,7 119 61,3 Clinical Factors 0,295 48,3 316 51,7 Yes 113 45,9 133 54,1 Body mass index 0 0,000 0,000 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 Behavioural Habits 0,000 0,000 0,000
Employment loss during social isolation 0,000 No 333 50,2 330 49,8 Yes 75 38,7 119 61,3 Clinical Factors 0,295 48,3 316 51,7 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 Yes 113 45,9 133 54,1 Body mass index 0,000 0,000 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 Behavioural Habits 0,000 0,000 0,000
No 333 50,2 330 49,8 Yes 75 38,7 119 61,3 Clinical Factors Respiratory diseases 0,295 48,3 316 51,7 Yes 113 45,9 133 54,1 0,000 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 Behavioural Habits 9 0,000 0,000
Yes 75 38,7 119 61,3 Clinical Factors Respiratory diseases 0,295 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 Yes 113 45,9 133 54,1 Body mass index 0,000 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 Behavioural Habits 0,000
Clinical Factors 0,293 Respiratory diseases 0,293 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 Yes 113 45,9 133 54,1 Body mass index 0 0,000 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 Behavioural Habits 0,000 0,000 0,000
Respiratory diseases 0,292 No 295 48,3 316 51,7 Yes 113 45,9 133 54,1 Body mass index 0,000 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 Behavioural Habits 0,000
No 295 48,3 316 51,7 Yes 113 45,9 133 54,1 Body mass index 113 45,9 133 54,1 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 Behavioural Habits 9 9,000 148 40,0
Yes 113 45,9 133 54,1 Body mass index 0,000 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 Behavioural Habits 0,000
Body mass index 0,000 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 Behavioural Habits 0,000 0,000 0,000 Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0
Normal 222 60,0 148 40,0 Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 Behavioural Habits Physical activity during social isolation 0,000
Changed 186 38,2 301 61,8 Behavioural Habits Physical activity during social isolation 0,000
Behavioural Habits Physical activity during social isolation 0,000 Name 222 60.0 148 40.0
Physical activity during social isolation 0,000 Name 222 60.0 148 40.0
No 186 38,2 301 61,8
Consumption of ultra-processed foods during social isolation 0,03-
Decreased 76 55,1 62 44,9
Increased 332 46,2 387 53,8
Fear of being contaminated by COVID-19 0,100
Yes 361 46,8 410 53,2
No 47 54,7 39 45,3
For the past few weeks, I have been having trouble sleeping with the possibility of contaminating myself 0,000
No 366 52,9 326 47,1
Yes 42 25,5 123 74,5

 (x^2) = Pearson's Chi-square test; p value = Significance level p <0.20.

social status, employment, poverty and social exclusion influence health status. The most complex issue is related to determining each one's influence, such as income, which impacts access to certain behaviours, such as participating in physical activities and choosing healthy foods for consumption³². Within this thought, the negative self-perception of health can still be related to the number of people in the same house, the house's quality, and the expectation regarding the length of the social isolation. Brazil is a country with variable housing conditions, and comfort and structure can make a big difference, whether or notthere is social isolation, but the conditions of that isolation³³. According to the 2018 Higher Education Census, almost 2/3 of higher education enrolments are in federal institutions³ The National Survey of Socioeconomic and Cultural Profile of Undergraduate Students of Federal Higher Education Institutions (IFES) of 2018, indicated that the percentage of quotaholders went from 3.1%, in 2005, to 48.3%, in 2018, the number of students in the family monthly income per capita up to one and a half minimum wages went from 44.3% in 2003 to 66.2% in 2014, reaching 70.2% in 2018. The results confirm that federal universities started to express the diversity of the Brazilian population and income inequality³⁵

The family's loss of income in the pandemic period was another associated factor. This finding is similar to that found in a survey conducted in Bangladeshi³⁶ among university students in-home quarantine, which described financial uncertainty as a stressor, significantly related to higher stress levels, anxiety and depression. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, there is a relation of some main stressors to economic difficulties³⁷.

However, the global economic recession trend affects everyone, further worsening the health of population groups in socio-economic vulnerability, especially those who make up the mass of unemployed or underemployed in Brazil. Measures of household isolation impact informal workers' income, which may impact wage earners by the risk of dismissal and/or reduced working hours, with a consequent decrease in their income. The weakening of employment ties, already triggered by the current economic crisis context, tends to be exacerbated in the pandemic³⁸. Another association with the outcome variable found in this study was to present an adequate BMI regarding clinical-behavioural factors. Although the association between excess body weight and negative self-rated health is well described in the literature, especially when comparing overweight individuals to their peers without this condition, whether university students, teenagers or adults³⁹⁻⁴³, this study cannot attest to the same association. The divergence of the results may be related to the fact that the present investigation was conducted through a self-report that, even though it is a validated method⁴⁴, it may still incur under or overestimate the event. The lack of physical activity during social isolation was another association of negative self-perception of health, supporting literature for discussion^{33,45-49}. After the arrival of COVID-19 in Brazil, the imposed social isolation to control and prevent the disease, although different from one region to another, impacted the population's life. The performance of regular physical exercises became challenging³³. In addition to issues related to increased BMI, the practice of a physical activity is closely related to mental health, with evidence on self-esteem, socialization, decreased stress and anxiety45,46

Table 2. Crude and adjusted prevalence ratio for health perception according to sociodemographic factors, clinical factors, behavioural habits and fear of being contaminated by COVID-19

Variables	Negative Self- Perception of Health%	PR _{crude} (CI _{95%})	PRajusted(CI95%
Sociodemographic Factors			
Sex			
Male	61,8	1	1
Female	42,6	2,28 (1,59 - 2,97)	1,42 (1,19 - 1,71)
Age			
\leq 22 years	46,6	1	-
> 22 years	49,7	0,88 (0,66 - 1,17)	-
Institution/University			
Private	49,8	1	1
Public	42,5	1,34 (1,10 - 1,8)	1,23 (1,09 - 1,39)
Course area			
Other areas	45,1	1	-
Health area	48,4	0,87 (0,63 - 1,20)	-
Loss of family income during social isolation			
No	58,3	1	1
Yes	42,8	1,86 (1,39 - 2,50)	1,35 (1,16 - 1,57)
Employment loss during social isolation			
No	50,2	1	-
Yes	38,7	1,60 (1,15 - 2,22)	-
Clinical Factors			
Respiratory diseases			
No	48,3	1	-
Yes	45,9	1,09 (0,81 - 1,47)	-
Body mass index			
Normal	60,0	1	1
Changed	38,2	1,20 (0,893 - 1,63)	1,13 (0,99 - 1,28)
Behavioural Habits			
Physical activity during social isolation			
Yes	60,0	1	1
No	38,2	2,42 (1,84 - 3,20)	1,47 (1,29 - 1,69)
Consumption of ultra-processed foods during social isolation			
Decreased	55,1	1	-
Increased	46,2	1,42 (0,99 - 2,06)	-
Fear of contaminating by COVID-19			
Yes	46,8	1	-
No	54,7	0,73 (0,46 - 1,14)	-
For the past few weeks, I have been having trouble sleeping with the possibility of			
contaminating myself			
No	52,9	1	-
Yes	25,5	3,28 (2,24 - 4,81)	-

The tendency is that people who practise physical activities are more prone to positive self-perception of health. In northern Minas Gerais, regular physical activity is performed by more than 45% of adolescents, according to a population-based study carried out in the region⁴⁷. In this context, it is possible to understand the association found in this study. A survey conducted in Portugal demonstrated the value of physical exercise for promoting health and quality of life for a population composed mostly of elementary, high school, university and graduate students⁴⁸. In the study by Leão et al.⁴⁹, the absence of physical activity was one of the variables associated with depression among university students in northeastern Brazil, which corroborates the importance of the physical activity to maintain health and control stress, and consequently positive self-perception of health. This study has limitations. It is possible to highlight some items of the questionnaireused asa data collection instrument, even though validated, may have been misunderstood or answered distorted, since it was a self-administered questionnaire. The negative self-perception of health can also be related to other factors not investigated, such as each individual's personality and the perceived social / family support.

CONCLUSION

This study showed a prevalence of negative self-perceived health during social isolation, of 52.4% in university students. Female, enrolled in a public institution/university, loss of family income and

the absence of physical activity were associated with negative selfperception of health. This study raises important questions regarding physical/mental health and COVID-19 pandemic. It may help understand the relationship between physical/mental health and the pandemic, the search for maintaining health and quality of life, considering that this will depend on how threatened people feel. The high prevalence of negative self-perceived health and the associations observed indicate the need for university students' health promotion actions.

REFERENCES

- Abreu MO, Dias IS. Exercíciofísico, saúde mental e qualidade de vidana ESECS/IPL. Psic., Saúde&Doenças. 2017; 18(2): 512-526. Available: http://www.scielo.mec.pt/scielo.php? script=sci_arttext&pid=S164500862017000200019&lng=pt.Acc ess 13 june 2020.
- Associação Nacional dos Dirigentes das InstituiçõesFederais de Ensino Superior – Andifes. V Pesquisa Nacional de PerfilSocioeconômico e Cultural dos (as) Graduandos (as) das IFES – 2018. Brasília, 2019.
- Barros AJD, Hirakata VN. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: an empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2003; 3:21

Bezerra ACV, Silva CEM, Soares FRG, Silva JAM. Factors associated with people's behavior in social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic. Ciênc. saúdecoletiva. 2020; 25(Suppl 1): 2411-2421.

Available:http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pi d=S1413-81232020006702411&lng=pt. Access 05 June 2020.

- Bezerra PCL, Opitz SP, Koifman RJ, Muniz PT. Percepção de saúde e fatoresassociadosemadultos: inquéritopopulacionalem Rio Branco, Acre, Brasil, 2007-2008. Cad SaúdePública. 2011;27(12):2441-51. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-311X2011001200015. Access 07 june 2020.
- Bielemann RM, Motta JVS, Minten GC, Horta BL, Gigante DP. Consumption of ultra-processed foods and their impact on the diet of young adults. Rev Saúde Pública 2015;49:28.
- Brasil. Instituto Nacional de Estudos e Pesquisas EducacionaisAnísio Teixeira (INEP). Censo da Educação Superior 2018: notasestatísticas. Brasília, 2019.
- Brasil. Lei nº 11.096, de 13 de janeiro de 2005 Lei que institui o ProgramaUniversidade para Todos – PROUNI. Available:
 http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_ato2004-2006/2005/lei/l11096.htm#:~:text=LEI%20N%C2%BA%2011.0
 96%2C%20DE%2013%20DE%20JANEIRO%20DE%202005.
 &text=Institui%200%20Programa%20Universidade%20para,20
 04%2C%20e%20d%C3%A1%20outras%20provid%C3%AAnci as>. Access 05 june 2020.
 Brasil. Lei nº 12.202, de 14 de janeiro de 2010 - Lei que altera o
- Brasil. Lei nº 12.202, de 14 de janeiro de 2010 Lei que altera o Fundo de FinanciamentoaoEstudante do Ensino Superior – FIES, definidona Lei 10.260, de 12 de julho de 2001. Available: http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_ato2007-2010/2010/lei/112202.htm>. Access 05 june 2020.
- Brasil. Lei nº 12.711, de 29 de agosto de 2012 Lei de Cotas: dispõesobre o ingressonasuniversidadesfederais e nasinstituiçõe sfederais de Ensino técnico de nívelmédio. Available: http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_ato2011-2014/2012/lei/l12711.htm>. Access 05 june 2020.
- Brasil. Ministério da Educação (MEC). Portaria nº 343, de 17 de março de 2020. Dispõesobre a substituição das aulas presenciais por aulas emmeiosdigitaisenquantodurar a situação de pandemia do Novo Coronavírus – COVID-19. Available: http://www.in.gov.br/en/web/dou/-/portaria-n-343-de-17-demarco-de-2020-248564376>. Acess 08 june 2020.
- Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Ministério da Saúdedeclaratransmissãocomunitárianacional. Brasília: MS; 2020. Available: https://www.saude.gov.br/noticias/agenciasaude/ 46568-ministerio-da-saude-declara-transmissaocomunitaria-nacional. Access 11 june 2020.
- Brasil. VIGITEL Brasil 2013: Vigilância de Fatores de Risco e Proteção para DoençasCrônicas por InquéritoTelefônico. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde, Secretaria de VigilânciaemSaúde, Departamento de Vigilância de Doenças e Agravos Não Transmissíveis e Promoção da Saúde; 2014. Available in: https://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/vigitel_brasil_2014 . Access 15 may 2020.
- Carrapato P, Correia P, Garcia B. Determinante da saúde no Brasil: a procura da equidadenasaúde. Saude soc. 2017; 26(3): 676-689. Available: http://www.scielo.ht/scielo.php?corint_sci.arttext&nid=S0104

http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0104-12902017000300676&lng=en. Access04 june 2020.

- Confortin SC, Giehl MWC, Antes DL, Schneider IJC, d'Orsi E. Autopercepçãopositiva de saúdeemidosos: estudopopulacional no Sul do Brasil. Cad SaudePubli- ca. 2015; 31(5):1049-1060.
- Coqueiro RS, Borges LJ, Araújo VC, Pelegrini A, Barbosa AR. Are self-reported measures valid for the assessment of nutritional status in the brazilian population? Rev Bras CineantropomDesempenho Hum. 2009; 11(1):113-119.
- Coutinho MPL, Pinto AVL, Cavalcanti JG, Araújo LA, Coutinho ML. Relação entre depressão e qualidade de vida de adolescentes no contexto escolar. Psci. Saúde&Doenças. 2016; 17(3):338-351.

- DeSalvo KB, Bloser N, Reynolds K, He J, Muntner P. Mortality Prediction with a Single General Self-Rated Health 7 Question A Meta-Analysis. J Gen Intern Med. 2005; 20(3):267-275.
- Enumo SRF, Weide JN, Vicentini ECC, Araujo MF, Machado WL. Enfrentando o estresseemtemposdepandemia: proposição de umaCartilha. Estudos de Psicologia (Campinas). 2020; 37, e200065. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1982-0275202037e200065.Access 07 june 2020.
- Franco DC, Dias MS, Sousa TF. Negative self-perceived health and associated factors in Physical Education academics. ABCS Health Sciences. 2018; 43(3). Available: https://doi.org/10.7322/abcshs.v43i3.1083. Access 07 June 2020.
- Freidoony L, Chhabi R, Kim CS, Park MB, Kim CB. The Components of Self-Perceived Health in the Kailali District of Nepal: A Cross-Sectional Survey. Int J Envi- ron Res Public Health. 2015; 12(3):3215-3231.
- Freire RS, Lélis FLO, Fonseca Filho JA, Nepomuceno MO, Silveira MF. Regular physical activity: a population-based study in North Minas Gerais, Brazil. Rev Bras Med Esporte. 2014; 20(5): 345-349. Available: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S15178 6922014000500345&lng=en. Access 13 june 2020.
- Garrow JS, Welster J. Quetelet's index (W/H2) as a measure of fatness. Int J Obesity. 1985;9:147-53.
- Gili M, López-Navarro E, Castro A, Homar C, Navarro C, García-Toro M. Gender differences in mental health during the economic crisis. Psicothema. 2016; 28(4), 407-413. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2015.288. Access 10 June 2020.
- Khan AH, Sultana S, Hossain S, Hasan T, Ahmed HA, Sikder T. The Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Mental Health & Wellbeing Among Home-quarantined Bangladeshi Students: A Crosssectional Pilot Study.PsyArXiv. 2020. Available: <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/97s5r>. Access 12 June 2020.
- Leão AM, Gomes IP, Ferreira MJM, Cavalcanti LPG. Prevalence and Factors Associated with Depression and Anxiety among University Students in the Field of Health in a Large Urban Center in the Northeast of Brazil. RevistaBrasileira de EducaçãoMédica. 2018; 42(4), 55-65. Available: https://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1981-52712015v42n4rb20180092. Access 13 june 2020.
- Linard JG, Mattos SM, Almeida ILS, Silva CBA, Moreira TMM. Associação entre estilo de vida e percepção de saúdeemestudantesuniversitários. Journal of Health and Biological Sciences. 2019; 7(4).
- Maia BR, Dias PC. Ansiedade, depressão e estresseemestudantes universitários: o impacto da COVID-19. Estudos de Psicologia (Campinas). 2020; 37, e200067. Available in: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1982-0275202037e200067. Access 02 june 2020.
- Mendonça G, Farias Júnior JC. Percepção de saúde e fatoresassociadosemadolescentes. Rev Bras AtivFis e Saúde. 2012;17(3):174-80. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.12820/rbafs.v.17n3p174-180. Access 07 june 2020.
- Monteiro CA, Levy RB, Claro RM, Castro IRR, Cannon G. A new classification of foods based on the extent and purpose of their processing. Cad Saude Publica 2010;26(11):2039-49.
- Oliveira EN, Aguiar RC, Almeida MTO, Eloia SC, Lira TQ. Benefícios da AtividadeFísica para Saúde Mental. SaúdeColetiva. 2011; 08(50):126-130.
- Oliveira TCoura, Abranches MV, Lana RM. (In)Segurançaalimentar no contexto da pandemia por SARS-CoV-2. Cadernos de SaúdePública. 2020. 36(4), e00055220. Available: https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311x00055220. Access 05 june 2020.
- Pengpid S, Peltzer K, Samuels TA, Gasparishvili A. Factors associated with self-rated health status among university students from 26 low, middle and high income countries. J Psychol Africa. 2015;25(5):448-53.
- Ristoff D. O novo perfil do campus brasileiro: umaanálise do perfilsocioeconômico do estudante de graduação. Avaliação:

Revista da Avaliação da Educação Superior (Campinas). 2014;19(3), 723-747. Available: https://doi.org/10.1590/S1414-40772014000300010. Access 05 june 2020.

Roble OJ, Moreira MIB, Scagliusi FB. Physical education in mental health: constructing development from an interdisciplinary perspective. Interface (Botucatu). 2012; 16(41): 567-578. Available:

http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S14143 2832012000200021&lng=en. Access 13 june 2020.

- Rodriguez-Morales AJ, Gallego V, Eescalera-Antezana JP, Méndez CA, Zambrano LI, Franco-Paredes C, et al. COVID-19 in Latin America: The implications of the first confirmed case in Brazil. Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease. 2020. Available: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7129040/pdf/main.pdf>. Access 01 June 2020.
- Silva AO, Diniz PRB, Santos MEP, Ritti-Dias RM, Farah BQ, Tassitano RM, et al. Health self-perception and its association with physical activity and nutritional status in adolescents. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2019; 95(4):458-465.
- Silva DAS, Nahas MV, Sousa TF, Del Duca GF, Peres KG. Prevalence and associated factors with body image dissatisfaction among adults in southern Brazil: A populationbased study. Body Image. 2011;8(4):427-31. Available: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2011.05.009. Access 12 June 2020.
- Silva DAS. Lifestyle indicators and negative health self-assessment among students of a public university in northeastern BrazilRev Bras AtivFis e Saúde. 2012; 17(4):263-269.
- Silva VH, Rocha JSB, Caldeira AP. Fatoresassociados à autopercepçãonegativa de saúdeemmulheresclimatéricas. Ciência &SaúdeColetiva, 2018, 23: 1611-1620.
- Sousa TF, Fonseca SA, Barbosa AR. Regular and negative self-rated health in students from a public university from Northeastern, Brazil: prevalence and associated factors. Acta Sci. 2014;36(2):185-94. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.4025/ actascihealthsci.v36i2.19171
- Van de Velde S, Bracke P, Levecque K. Gender differences in depression in 23 European countries: cross-national variation in the gender gap in depression. Social Science and Medicine. 2010;71(2), 305-313. Available:http://dx.doi. org/10-1016/j.socsmed.2010.03.035. Access 12 June 2020.

- Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho C. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020; 17(5), 1729. Available in: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ ljerph17051729. Access 12 June 2020.
- Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho C. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020;17(5), 1729. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ ljerph17051729. Access 02 june 2020.
- World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease 2019: situation Report-46. Geneva: Author. 2020a. Available: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situationreports/20200306-sitrep-46-covid-19. pdf?sfvrsn=96b04adf_2. Access 12 june 2020.
- World Health Organization. Rational use of personal protective equipment (PPE) for coronavirus disease (COVID-19): interim guidance. Geneva; 2020c. Available: https://apps.who.int/ iris/handle/10665/331498. Access 11 June 2020
- World Health Organization. Rolling updates on coronavirus disease (COVID-19). 2020b. Available: https://www.who.int/ emergencies/diseases/novelcoronavirus happen. Access 01 de June 2020.
- Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, Chen YM, Wang W, et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. Nature. 2020; 579 (7798): 265-269. Available: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-020-2008-3. Access:11 June 2020.
- Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, Zhang J, Huang L, Zhang C, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Lancet Respir Med 2020; 8:420-22. Available: <https://www.thelancet.com/action/showPdf?pii=S2213-2600%2820%2930076-X>. Access 01 June 2020.