



ISSN: 2230-9926

Available online at <http://www.journalijdr.com>

IJDR

International Journal of Development Research

Vol. 16, Issue, 07, pp.70762-70766, July, 2026

<https://doi.org/10.37118/ijdr.31119.07.2026>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

MINDFUL LEADERSHIP IN ORGANISATIONAL RESEARCH: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF EMERGING CONSTRUCTS AND MEASUREMENT APPROACHES (1994–2024)

Sumit Saluja

Department of Management, Shobhit Institute of Engineering and Technology, Shobhit (Deemed to be University), Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 20th April, 2026

Received in revised form

18th May, 2026

Accepted 25th June, 2026

Published online 30th July, 2026

Key Words:

Mindful Leadership; Emotional Regulation Flexibility; Emotional Intelligence; Organisational Effectiveness; Mindfulness; Leadership Development; Systematic Review; Information Technology Sector

*Corresponding author: Sumit Saluja

ABSTRACT

Leadership research is undergoing a marked shift toward psychologically grounded frameworks that address the volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity of contemporary organisational life. Among these, mindful leadership has emerged as an integrative construct combining present-moment attention, self-regulatory awareness, and authentic engagement. This paper presents a systematic review of the mindful leadership literature published between 1994 and 2024, synthesising foundational conceptualisations of mindfulness, the emergence of mindful leadership as a distinct construct, its relationship with emotional intelligence and emotional regulation flexibility, and its documented associations with organisational outcomes such as performance, innovation, employee well-being, and retention. A structured narrative synthesis of twenty-eight peer-reviewed articles, books, and reports was conducted, drawn from psychology, management, and organisational behaviour sources. Three thematic clusters were identified: (1) the theoretical foundations of mindfulness and its translation into leadership practice; (2) emotional regulation flexibility as a proximal mechanism linking mindful awareness to adaptive behaviour; and (3) the empirical pathways connecting mindful leadership to organisational effectiveness, including relational quality, psychological need fulfilment, and workplace spirituality. The review identifies a persistent gap in empirical research examining these constructs jointly, particularly within technology-intensive and Indian organisational contexts, and in virtual or hybrid work settings. The findings offer a consolidated theoretical basis for future empirical work and highlight measurement, sectoral, and cross-cultural gaps that warrant systematic investigation. Implications for leadership development, human resource strategy, and future research directions are discussed.

Copyright©2026, Sumit Saluja. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Sumit Saluja. 2026. "Mindful Leadership in Organisational Research: A Systematic Review of Emerging Constructs and Measurement Approaches (1994–2024)". *International Journal of Development Research*, 16, (07), 70762-70766.

INTRODUCTION

Leadership theory has moved progressively away from trait-based and purely transactional models toward frameworks that account for context, relational dynamics, and the psychological capacities of leaders themselves (Hurduzeu, 2015). Within this evolution, mindfulness, which originally developed within contemplative and clinical psychology, has been increasingly incorporated into organisational and leadership scholarship as a foundation for improved decision-making, emotional intelligence, and adaptability (Kabat-Zinn, 1994; Bishop *et al.*, 2004). The resulting construct, commonly termed mindful leadership, integrates present-moment attention, metacognitive awareness, and authenticity into a model of leadership behaviour suited to fast-paced, high-uncertainty environments such as the information technology (IT) sector.

The rise of mindful leadership scholarship parallels growing recognition that leaders operating in what has been termed a Pressured, Always-on, Information-overloaded, and Distracted (PAID) reality require regulatory capacities beyond conventional emotional intelligence (Hougaard *et al.*, 2016). *Emotional regulation flexibility* is the dynamic, context-sensitive deployment of a repertoire of regulatory strategies that has been proposed as a proximal mechanism through which mindful awareness translates into adaptive leadership behaviour and, in turn, organisational outcomes such as innovation, resilience, and workforce well-being (Bonanno & Burton, 2013; Aldao *et al.*, 2015). Despite the accumulation of theoretical and empirical work across psychology, management, and organisational behaviour disciplines, this literature remains fragmented across distinct sub-fields, with limited integrative synthesis connecting mindfulness, emotional regulation flexibility, and organisational

effectiveness as a coherent explanatory chain. This is particularly evident in technology-intensive and Indian organisational contexts, where empirical investigation remains sparse relative to the theoretical maturity of the underlying constructs. The aim of this review is therefore twofold: first, to consolidate and critically synthesise the existing body of literature on mindful leadership and its associated constructs, tracing its conceptual development from foundational mindfulness theory to contemporary organisational applications; and second, to identify specific gaps in empirical coverage; particularly concerning sectoral context, measurement integration, and cross-cultural applicability that can inform the design of future primary research.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This paper adopts a systematic narrative review methodology, appropriate for synthesising a conceptually diverse and cross-disciplinary literature where meta-analytic pooling of effect sizes is not feasible due to heterogeneity in constructs, measures, and study designs (Haag *et al.*, 2024).

Search Strategy and Sources: A structured literature search was conducted across sources indexed in Google Scholar, Frontiers in Psychology, Journal of Business Ethics, Cognitive Therapy and Research, MIT Sloan Management Review, and related management and psychology databases. Search terms combined the following keyword clusters using Boolean operators: ("mindful leadership" OR "mindfulness") AND ("leadership" OR "emotional intelligence") AND ("organisational effectiveness" OR "organizational performance") AND ("emotional regulation flexibility" OR "emotion regulation"). Searches were restricted to English-language, peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, and major research reports published between 1994 and 2024.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: Sources were included if they (a) offered a conceptual or empirical treatment of mindfulness, mindful leadership, emotional intelligence, or emotional regulation flexibility; (b) examined links between these constructs and organisational, team, or individual performance outcomes; or (c) provided foundational theoretical grounding cited extensively within this literature (e.g., original mindfulness and emotional intelligence texts). Sources were excluded if they addressed mindfulness solely in clinical or therapeutic contexts unrelated to leadership or organisational behaviour, or if they were non-peer-reviewed opinion pieces without a research or theoretical contribution.

Data Extraction and Synthesis: A total of twenty-eight sources met the inclusion criteria and form the basis of this review. Each source was catalogued according to (i) core construct(s) addressed, (ii) theoretical or empirical contribution, (iii) sectoral and geographic context, and (iv) reported relationships with organisational effectiveness indicators. Sources were then grouped thematically through iterative comparison, yielding three principal clusters, presented in the Results and Discussion section: foundations of mindfulness and mindful leadership; emotional regulation flexibility; and the intersection of mindful leadership with organisational effectiveness. This thematic organisation follows established practice in narrative synthesis, whereby recurring conceptual patterns rather than statistical aggregation guide interpretation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reviewed literature converges on three interrelated thematic clusters, discussed in turn below: the theoretical foundations of mindfulness and its translation into mindful leadership; the construct of emotional regulation flexibility as a regulatory mechanism; and the empirical pathways linking mindful leadership to organisational effectiveness.

Foundations of Mindfulness and Mindful Leadership: The construct of mindfulness, defined as the sustained, non-judgemental attention to present-moment experience, provides the theoretical bedrock for this literature (Kabat-Zinn, 1994). Bishop *et al.* (2004) advanced this foundational definition into an empirically operational construct comprising two interrelated components, namely, self-regulation of attention and orientation, to experience enabling the reliable measurement that underpins subsequent leadership research. Building on this foundation, several scholars have proposed integrative models of mindful leadership. Doornich and Lynch (2024) identify three foundational pillars - attention, awareness, and authenticity, situating mindful leadership within neuropsychological research on brain function and leadership behaviour. Wells (2015) similarly integrates insights from resonant leadership, emotional and social intelligence, and neuroscience, while Ritchie-Dunham (2014) frames mindful leadership as a dynamic practice of remaining present, questioning assumptions, and responding creatively to changing organisational realities. Hougard *et al.* (2016) contextualise the emergence of mindful leadership as a direct response to the PAID reality of contemporary work, arguing that leaders operating without adequate regulatory capacity experience diminished effectiveness and well-being. Levey and Leve (2019) extend this further by framing sustained mindful practice as a form of mental capital essential to safety, quality, and adaptability amid volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) conditions. Collectively, this cluster establishes mindful leadership as a theoretically coherent, empirically measurable construct with clear developmental lineage from clinical mindfulness research, but one whose leadership-specific applications remain concentrated in conceptual and review-based scholarship rather than large-scale primary studies.

Emotional Regulation Flexibility: A second thematic cluster addresses emotional regulation flexibility (ERF) as the mechanism through which mindful awareness is translated into adaptive behavioural responses. Bonanno and Burton (2013) propose a three-step process: context sensitivity, strategy repertoire, and feedback monitoring that enables individuals to adjust regulatory strategies as circumstances evolve. Aldao, Sheppes, and Gross (2015) reinforce this view, demonstrating that no single regulatory strategy, such as cognitive reappraisal or distraction, is universally adaptive; effectiveness depends on the intensity and controllability of the eliciting context.

Gender and interpersonal dimensions of ERF have also received attention. Goubet and Chrysikou (2019) report that women demonstrate a broader and more contextually adaptive range of regulatory strategies than men, underscoring the relevance of gender in designing targeted leadership interventions. Battaglini *et al.* (2023) extend the construct to the interpersonal domain, showing that variety and outcome-based adaptation in regulating others' emotions predict better

daily emotional states, although contextual sensitivity alone did not confer the same benefit in interpersonal settings; suggesting that interpersonal emotion regulation follows distinct dynamics from intrapersonal regulation. Haag *et al.* (2024), reviewing ERF research in adolescents, note that while expressive flexibility is comparatively well studied, broader components such as adaptability and situational matching remain under-examined, and call for integrated constructs and longitudinal or ecological momentary assessment methodologies to capture ERF's full developmental complexity. Within organisational contexts specifically, this literature suggests that ERF operationalizes the link between a leader's mindful awareness and their capacity to respond adaptively to shifting team, project, and organisational demands; a linkage that remains largely theoretical rather than empirically tested in workplace samples.

Mindful Leadership and Organisational Effectiveness: The third cluster comprises studies linking mindful and related leadership styles to organisational outcomes. Several works establish direct pathways: Reb *et al.* (2019) demonstrate that leader mindfulness enhances relational quality (leader-member exchange), which in turn increases interpersonal justice and reduces employee stress, thereby improving performance. Celestin and Vanitha (2020) compile cross-industry evidence that mindful leadership which is centred on self-awareness, cognitive focus, and emotional regulation supports improved performance, innovation, and workplace well-being. Konte and Xiaohui (2021) show that mindfulness acts as a catalyst for transformational and authentic leadership styles, which subsequently boost performance, while calling for further exploration of mediating psychological mechanisms such as emotional intelligence and self-belief.

Related leadership frameworks reinforce these findings. Leroy *et al.* (2015) provide evidence that co-active authenticity between leaders and followers enhances motivation and performance through psychological need fulfilment, consistent with self-determination theory. Di Fabio and Peiró (2018) integrate mindful, ethical, sustainable, and servant leadership into a Human Capital Sustainability Leadership model emphasising relational civility and employee well-being. Yang and Fry (2018) demonstrate that spiritual leadership through meaning, vision, and caring reduces burnout and enhances commitment and productivity, while Dubey, Pathak, and Sahu (2020) similarly link workplace spirituality to job satisfaction, organisational citizenship behaviour, and organisational growth. Within technology-specific and Indian contexts, evidence remains comparatively sparse but suggestive. Khan *et al.* (2024) find that mindful employees are more innovative, an effect strengthened when team leaders exhibit inclusive leadership, underscoring the combined importance of individual mindfulness and supportive leadership practice in IT project outcomes. Bhalerao and Kumar (2016) show that leaders with strong emotional intelligence enhance employees' affective commitment within India's IT and manufacturing sectors, although this effect does not extend uniformly to other commitment types. Singh *et al.* (2024) report that employee mindfulness enhances well-being both directly and indirectly through organisational justice, with transformational leadership further strengthening this effect among academic professionals in India. Kumar *et al.* (2024) demonstrate that organisational innovativeness in Indian firms depends on the synergy between organisational culture and transformational leadership rather than either factor alone. Broader digital-

context scholarship, including Kiron *et al.* (2017) on digital organisational effectiveness and Dhamija *et al.* (2023) on the evolution of technology-linked leadership styles between 2003 and 2021, situates this literature within the wider transformation of leadership practice in digitally mediated work environments.

Synthesis and Research Gaps: Taken together, the reviewed literature indicates strong independent theoretical development across mindfulness, emotional regulation flexibility, and leadership-performance research, but limited integrative empirical testing of these constructs as a single explanatory chain. Three specific gaps emerge. First, few studies simultaneously examine mindful leadership, emotional regulation flexibility, and organisational effectiveness within a unified empirical model, particularly within Indian organisational contexts. Second, although emotional regulation flexibility has been linked to individual-level resilience and well-being, its systemic effects on organisational-level outcomes such as productivity, innovation, and employee retention remain comparatively underexplored. Third, as hybrid and e-leadership models continue to expand, research remains scarce on how mindful leadership and emotional regulation flexibility are expressed, or diminished, through digital communication channels relative to face-to-face interaction, and how these dynamics vary across cultural contexts in global IT organisations.

These gaps collectively suggest that while the theoretical scaffolding for an integrated model linking mindful leadership, emotional regulation flexibility, and organisational effectiveness is well established; corresponding empirical validation particularly within technology-intensive, Indian, and hybrid-work contexts has not kept pace, representing a clear opportunity for future primary research.

CONCLUSIONS

This review synthesises twenty-eight years of scholarship on mindfulness, mindful leadership, emotional regulation flexibility, and organisational effectiveness, tracing the conceptual evolution from foundational mindfulness theory to contemporary, empirically grounded leadership frameworks. The literature converges on a plausible explanatory pathway in which mindful awareness supports flexible emotional regulation, which in turn enables adaptive leadership behaviour and improved organisational outcomes such as innovation, relational quality, and employee well-being. However, this pathway remains predominantly theoretical, with empirical validation concentrated in Western, non-technology, and non-Indian organisational contexts. Future research should prioritise integrative empirical designs that jointly measure mindful leadership, emotional regulation flexibility, and organisational effectiveness within Indian IT organisations, incorporating both leader and employee perspectives, virtual and hybrid work arrangements, and cross-cultural comparison where feasible (Tyagi, 2017, 2021; Tyagi *et al.*, 2019, 2020; Tyagi & Moses, 2017; Tyagi *et al.*, 2023). Such research would extend theoretical understanding while offering practical guidance for leadership development programmes, human resource strategy, and organisational policy aimed at building resilient, innovation-ready leadership capacity in technology-driven environments.

REFERENCES

- Aldao, A., Sheppes, G., & Gross, J. J. (2015). Emotion regulation flexibility. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 39(3), 263–278. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-014-9662-4>
- Arendt, J. F., PircherVerdorfer, A., & Kugler, K. G. (2019). Mindfulness and leadership: Communication as a behavioral correlate of leader mindfulness and its effect on follower satisfaction. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 414486.
- Battaglini, A. M., Rnic, K., Jameson, T., Jopling, E., & LeMoult, J. (2023). Interpersonal emotion regulation flexibility: Effects on affect in daily life. *Emotion*, 23(4), 1048.
- Bhalerao, H., & Kumar, S. (2016). Role of emotional intelligence in leaders on the commitment level of employees: A study in information technology and manufacturing sector in India. *Business Perspectives and Research*, 4(1), 41–53.
- Bishop, S. R., Lau, M., Shapiro, S., Carlson, L., Anderson, N. D., Carmody, J., Segal, Z. V., Abbey, S., Speca, M., Velting, D., & Devins, G. (2004). Mindfulness: A proposed operational definition. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 11(3), 230–241.
- Bonanno, G. A., & Burton, C. L. (2013). Regulatory flexibility: An individual differences perspective on coping and emotion regulation. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8(6), 591–612.
- Celestin, M., & Vanitha, N. (2020). Mindful leadership: Harnessing mindfulness to boost workplace performance. *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJATET)*, 5(2), 18–25.
- Dhamija, P., Chiarini, A., & Shapla, S. (2023). Technology and leadership styles: A review of trends between 2003 and 2021. *The TQM Journal*, 35(1), 210–233.
- Di Fabio, A., & Peiró, J. M. (2018). Human capital sustainability leadership to promote sustainable development and healthy organisations: A new scale. *Sustainability*, 10(7), 2413.
- Doornich, J. B., & Lynch, H. M. (2024). The mindful leader: A review of leadership qualities derived from mindfulness meditation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, Article 1322507.
- Dubey, P., Pathak, A. K., & Sahu, K. K. (2020). Correlates of workplace spirituality on job satisfaction, leadership, organisational citizenship behaviour and organisational growth: A literature-based study from organisational perspective. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, 9(4), 1493–1502.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ*. Bantam Books.
- Goubet, K. E., & Chrysikou, E. G. (2019). Emotion regulation flexibility: Gender differences in context sensitivity and repertoire. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 935.
- Haag, A. C., Bagrodia, R., & Bonanno, G. A. (2024). Emotion regulation flexibility in adolescents: A systematic review from conceptualization to methodology. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 27(3), 697–713.
- Hougaard, R., Carter, J., & Coutts, G. (2016). *The mind of the leader: How to lead yourself, your people, and your organization for extraordinary results*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- Hurduzeu, R. E. (2015). The impact of leadership on organisational performance. *SEA – Practical Application of Science*, 3(07), 289–293.
- Kabat-Zinn, J. (1994). *Wherever you go, there you are: Mindfulness meditation in everyday life*. Hyperion.
- Khan, J., Jaafar, M., Mubarak, N., & Khan, A. K. (2024). Employee mindfulness, innovative work behaviour, and IT project success: The role of inclusive leadership. *Information Technology and Management*, 25(2), 145–159.
- Kiron, D., Palmer, D., Phillips, A. N., & Kruschwitz, N. (2017). Digital innovation and organizational effectiveness. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 58(2), 17–25.
- Konte, A. G., & Xiaohui, L. (2021). How mindfulness impacts the positive forms of leadership and enhances performance at workplace. *Human Systems Management*, 40(1), 49–64.
- Kumar, S., Raj, R., Salem, I., Singh, E. P., Goel, K., & Bhatia, R. (2024). The interplay of organisational culture, transformational leadership and organisation innovativeness: Evidence from India. *Asian Business & Management*, 23(2), 180–210.
- Leroy, H., Anseel, F., Gardner, W. L., & Sels, L. (2015). Authentic leadership, authentic followership, basic need satisfaction, and work role performance: A cross-level study. *Journal of Management*, 41(6), 1677–1697.
- Levey, J., & Levey, M. (2019). Mindful leadership for personal and organisational resilience. *Clinical Radiology*, 74(10), 739–745.
- Reb, J., Chaturvedi, S., Narayanan, J., & Kudesia, R. S. (2019). Leader mindfulness and employee performance: A sequential mediation model of LMX quality, interpersonal justice, and employee stress. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 160(3), 745–763.
- Ritchie-Dunham, J. L. (2014). Mindful leadership. In *The Wiley Blackwell handbook of mindfulness* (pp. 443–457). Wiley Blackwell.
- Singh, P., Mishra, M. K., Kumar, S., & Sharma, N. (2024). The mediating and moderating effect of organisational justice and transformational leadership on employee mindfulness and employee wellbeing. *International Journal of Work Innovation*, 5(1), 37–57.
- Tyagi, N. (2017). Socio-Economic Issues and Problems of Indian Women Entrepreneurs in Micro, Small And Medium Enterprises. *International Journal of Management and Humanities*, 4(1), 32–35.
- Tyagi, N. (2021). Does Fairness Perceptions of Academic Staff Interfere with the Managerial Effectiveness? (SSRN Scholarly Paper No. 3855000). *Social Science Research Network*. <https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=3855000>
- Tyagi, N., Gupta, D., & Baby Moses, D. (2019). How self-concept interferes between integrative leadership and leadership effectiveness. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 8(3), 4685–4690.
- Tyagi, N., & Moses, D. B. (2017). Connecting organizational justice, organizational culture and managerial effectiveness in institutions of higher learning. *Academic Social Research*, 158–167.
- Tyagi, N., Moses, D. B., Rai, S., & Mishra, R. M. (2020). Identifying organizational culture in private institutions of higher learning in India. *Journal of Mechanics of Continua and Mathematical Sciences*, 15(1). <http://jmcms.s3.amazonaws.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/24093951/12-IDENTIFYING-ORGANIZATIONAL-CULTURE.pdf>
- Tyagi, P., Tyagi, N., & Maheshwari, A. (2023). NABH Standards and Patient Safety: A Comparison of Accredited and Non-Accredited Hospitals. In *Handbook of Research on Complexities, Management, and Governance in*

- Healthcare* (pp. 44–55). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-6044-3.ch004>
- Wells, C. M. (2015). Conceptualizing mindful leadership in schools: How the practice of mindfulness informs the practice of leading. *Education Leadership Review of Doctoral Research*, 2(1), 1–23.
- Yang, M., & Fry, L. (2018). The role of spiritual leadership in reducing healthcare worker burnout. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 15(4), 305–324.
