

Available online at http://www.journalijdr.com



International Journal of DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH

International Journal of Development Research Vol. 6, Issue, 02, pp. 6676-6682, February, 2016

# Full Length Research Article

## EFFECT OF THE LONG-TERM FEEDING OF ANDROGRAPHIS PANICULATA NEES ON REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF PIGEONS

## \*Athiskumar, K.

14-43D, Manianvilai, South Soorankudy (P.O), Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu, India

### **ARTICLE INFO**

## ABSTRACT

*Article History:* Received 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 Received in revised form 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 Accepted 21<sup>st</sup> January, 2016 Published online 17<sup>th</sup> February, 2016

Key Words:

Long-term use, Andrographis paniculata, Reproductive performance. This study was performed to know the effects of the long-term use of the dried powder of *A. paniculata* on reproductive attributes of pigeons, and to know whether this herbal powder can be used as a dietary supplement to pigeon. Oral administration of the low dose of this herbal powder (50mg/day) slightly enhanced the growth and reproductive capabilities of pigeon over the control. However, its higher doses (150mg & 200mg/ day) have delayed the maturation period from  $180\pm12$  to  $199\pm16$  days, reduced the number of egg cycles from  $4\pm1$ to  $3\pm0$  per year, reduced the number of eggs from  $8.00\pm2.0$  to  $2.25\pm0.11/pair/$  year, extended the interval between the first and second eggs of a brood from  $34\pm4$  - $37\pm3$  to  $36\pm3$  -  $39\pm3$  hours, reduced the egg weight from  $14.44\pm1.2$  to  $13.32\pm1.1$  g, reduced the percentage of fertile eggs from 82.7 - 82.2% to 82.2 - 74.4%, reduced the eggs' hatchability from  $82.7\pm1.1$  to  $74.4\pm1.4$ , reduced the weight gain of young squabs compared to control group, and increased the squab mortality from  $39.9\pm2.17$  to  $49.7\pm4.17\%$  in pigeons. These negative effects appeared even in the second year but well characterized enough to reduce the fertility of pigeons in the  $3^{rd}$  year. Therefore, this study concludes that this herbal powder is, although a good immunostimulant, not suited to use as a dietary supplement to pigeon.

**Copyright** © **2016** Athiskumar. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Andrographis paniculata Nees is a slender upright annual herb with lanceolate leaves, zig-zag panicle inflorescence, small white flowers and oblong compressed capsules (Matthew, 1991). This is astringent, anodyne, tonic, febrifuge, antiviral, anthelmintic and alexipharmic due to the presence of some bitter active principles (Ambasta, 1992). It is indigenous to the plains of India, and is called Kiryat (Hindi), Kalmegh (Bengali), Nelavembu (Tamil) and Nelavepu (Malayalam). It is used for dysentery, cholera, diabetes, consumption, influenza, bronchitis, itches, intestinal worm, insect bites, cold and fever in various traditional medicines and folk medicines throughout Asia. Experiments confirm that it is an immunostimulant to tone up both the innate immunity and acquired immunity in fishes, aquatic animals, birds, cattle and man (Puri et al., 1993; Sunil Kumar et al., 2011). Puri et al (1993), have shown that in chicken fed with normal diet and A.paniculata there has been a significant increase in the weight gain of birds.

\*Corresponding author: Athiskumar, K.

14-43D, Manianvilai, South Soorankudy (P.O), Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu, India.

Nevertheless it is often feared that certain constituents of this plant may have anti-fertility effects on animals while they are fed with the powder or extract of this plant continuously (Shahid Akbar, 2011). Oral administration of the powdered stem of this plant had shown its antifertility effect on male Wistar mice (Shamsuzzoha et al., 1978, 1979). Chang (1987) has demonstrated that daily administration of the powder of A. paniculata has induced more frequent abortions than in control rabbits. When such studies were conducted in female rats by providing 2g/kg/day for six weeks, they lost their fertility at high doses (Zoha et al., 1989). Injection of the decoction of this plant through intraperitoneal, intramuscular, intravenous or subcutaneous rout has also induced abortions at different stages of pregnancy in female albino mice (Chang, 1987). This abortifacient effect of this decoction was corrected partly or completely by injecting progesterone or luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone, which indicates that active principles of this plant interfere with progesterone activity in female animals (Shahid Akbar, 2011). Aqueous extract of this plant has inhibited the proliferation of chorionic trophoblastic cells of human placenta in vitro and hence it is believed that certain constituents of this plant have abortifacient effect (Chang. 1987). Experiments with male rats had proved that

daily intake of 20 mg of A. paniculata powder leads to infertility in them due to the inhibition of spermatogenesis, degeneration of seminiferous tubules, regression of Leydig cells, and regressive degenerative changes in the epididymis, seminal vesicle, ventral prostate, and coagulating glands (Akbarsha et al., 1990; Burgos et al., 1997; Akbarsha and Murugaian, 2000). Mkrtchyan et al (2005) have also proved the negative effect of A. paniculata in the sperm quality and fertility of rats. A. paniculata has been used in poultry as a dietary supplement to promote the growth of chickens and as an immunomodulant to tone up the innate immunity to resist the invasion of potential pathogens into the fowls. While pigeons were fed with powder of the shoot of this plant, it provided more protection to infections in the flocks due to its immune modulating capabilities. Nevertheless, no available report can reveal whether continuous use of this plant will affect the reproductive attributes of pigeon or not. Therefore, a long-term study was planned to know the effect of A. paniculata on reproductive characters of pigeons for four years and the results are presented in this paper.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Two-months old black coloured Lahore pigeons (Columba livia domestica; family: Columbidae; order: Columbiformes) were chosen as the experimental birds for this study. 50 pairs of pigeons were divided into five groups each with 10 pairs and every group was grown in a separate loft of 5' x 7' x 3' size. The lofts were constructed with wooden frame, steel plated roof and wire mesh floor and lateral sides. These lofts were kept at a height of 2.5' from the ground level for reducing dampness facilitating the rapid spreading of pathogenic germs. Feed mixture (in Table 1) was given at the rate of 90 grams per pair of pigeons per day and drinking water was provided at the rate of 120 ml per pair/day. Vitamins required for the birds were provided along with the drinking water at the rate of 5ml of Vimeral® (vitamin mix)/ 1 liter water. This feed composition was maintained throughout the study period for feed uniformity in the experimental pigeon groups. Whole plant of Andrographis paniculata was collected locally from Kanyakumari district (India), dried under shade, then sun dried and ground into an herbal powder. Required amount of the herbal powder was fed to the pigeons by hand feeding along with some drops of water.

Thus the following groups of birds were maintained:

Group I: Normal feed only (control) Group II: Normal feed + 50mg *A. paniculata /* day Group III: Normal feed + 100mg *A. paniculata /* day Group IV: Normal feed + 150mg *A. paniculata /* day Group V: Normal feed + 200mg *A. paniculata /* day.

All the experimental groups were maintained properly for the period of three consecutive years (Jan 2012 – Dec 2015) and their squabs were transferred regularly to other lofts after attaining full flight stage ( $56^{th}$  day). Reproductive parameters such as maturation period, number of egg cycles, length of egg cycles (interval between two consecutive laying seasons), duration between the appearance of first and second eggs, total egg production, egg weight, percentage of fertile eggs, hatching percentage, dead embryos in eggs, change in body

weight of squabs at weekly intervals, and mortality and livability of squabs were recorded. Data obtained from three replicates of this experiment were subjected to one-way ANOVA, using SPSS (1997) computer software. The significant differences among the means of different dietary treatments were analysed with the Duncan multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Maturation Period**

Fig 1 clearly depicts that maturation period of pigeon significantly (P<0.05) increased with the increasing dosages of the powder of *A. paniculata* up to 200 mg/bird/day. Dietary supply of this powder had extended the maturation period to  $184\pm14$ ,  $190\pm13$ ,  $196\pm14$  and  $199\pm16$  days in response to 50mg, 100mg, 150mg and 200 mg of the herbal powder respectively instead of  $180\pm12$  days in control group. Pigeons attain sexual maturity in the 6<sup>th</sup> or 7<sup>th</sup> month (Sturtevent and Hollander, 1978; Kazal Krishna Ghosh, 2013). The results of present study agreed with the earlier reports. However, there was a considerable delay in the sexual maturity in pigeons fed with the herbal powder because at least certain constituents of *Andrographis* had partly suppressed the release of various hormones participating in the development of sex organs in pigeon.

Table 1. Composition of normal feed

Ingredients	Percentage
Wheat grains	35 %
Finger millet	15%
Pearl millet	15%
Green pea	30%
Grid*	4.97%
Vimeral ® **	0.5ml/pair

\* Grid: I kg contains 100 g charcoal, 100g egg shell, 75g limestone, 150g table salt and 575g brick powder;

\*\* Vimeral R: 1ml contains vitamin A -12,000 IU; Vitamin B<sub>12</sub> – 20 mcg; vitamin D<sub>2</sub> -6,000 IU; and vitamin E -40mg.



### Number of Egg Cycles

Table 2 shows that in pigeons the number of egg cycles per year was significantly (p<0.05) affected by different dosage of *A. paniculata*. Since young birds took nearly six months to attain maturity, the egg cycle number was low in the first year.

But, in the subsequent years, birds fed with normal feeds gave  $4\pm 2$ egg cycles/year while those fed with the herbal powder gave  $4\pm 1$  to  $2\pm 1$ egg cycles/year. There was a reduction of 1 -2 egg cycles in the 3<sup>rd</sup> year due to the negative effects of higher doses (150 & 200 mg/day) of the herbal powder.

### **Duration between Eggs**

Data shows that pigeons fed with increasing doses of *Andrographis* powder had significantly (p<0.05) increased the duration between the laying of successive eggs of a brood compared to those fed with normal feeds (Table 4).

#### Table 2. Number of egg cycles of pigeons in response to different doses of A. paniculata

Duration	Normal feed	Normal feed + 50mg	Normal feed + 100mg of	Normal feed + 150mg of	Normal feed + 200mg of
	(Control)	of A.paniculata	A.paniculata	A.paniculata	A.paniculata
1 <sup>st</sup> year	2±0 <sup>ns</sup>	2±0 <sup>ns</sup>	2±0 <sup>ns</sup>	2±0 <sup>ns</sup>	2±0 <sup>ns</sup>
2 <sup>nd</sup> year	4±2 <sup>ns</sup>	$4\pm1^n$	4±1 <sup>b</sup>	3±1 <sup>b</sup>	3±1 <sup>b</sup>
3 <sup>rd</sup> year	4±2 <sup>ns</sup>	4±1 <sup>a</sup>	3±1 <sup>a</sup>	2±1 ª	2±1 <sup>b</sup>

\*Figures after  $\pm$  represent standard deviation; ns = non-significance; <sup>a</sup> = (p<0.05); <sup>b</sup> = (p>0.05); n=30 pairs.

T I I A T A I A I A I A I A I A I A I A	\ <b>c</b> • •	4 1.66 4 1	e 4 • • • •
I abla 4 I angth at agg avala (da	$(\alpha)$ of migoone ii	i rachanca ta dittarant daca	$\mathbf{T} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} n$
$\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{I}$ . $\mathbf{I}$ engine of egg (vere that	NEUL DIVEDUNT	I LESDUMSE IU UNITELEM UUSAS	2ES UL /A. <i>DUMUL MUUU</i>
Tuble of Bengen of egg e, ere (au	5, 01 pigeons i		

Egg cycle (days)	Normal feed (Control)	Normal feed + 50mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 100mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 150mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 200mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>
1 <sup>st</sup> year	64±3 <sup>a</sup>	66±4 <sup>a</sup>	66±4 <sup>a</sup>	69±4 <sup>a</sup>	73±4 <sup>b</sup>
2 <sup>nd</sup> year	64±3 <sup>a</sup>	66±4 <sup>a</sup>	66±4 <sup>a</sup>	79±4 <sup>b</sup>	81±4 <sup>b</sup>
3 <sup>rd</sup> year	66±4 <sup>a</sup>	68±3 <sup>a</sup>	70±3 <sup>a</sup>	84±4 <sup>b</sup>	90±4 <sup>b</sup>

\*Figures after  $\pm$  represent standard deviation; <sup>a</sup> = (p<0.05); <sup>b</sup> = (p>0.05); n=30 pairs

### Table 4. Duration (hours) between the laying of successive eggs of a brood in response to different doses of A. paniculata

Duration	Normal feed (Control)	Normal feed + 50mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 100mg of A.paniculata	Normal feed + 150mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 200mg of A.paniculata
1 <sup>st</sup> year	$34\pm4^{a}$	37±4 <sup>a</sup>	37±3 ª	38±3 <sup>b</sup>	38±3 <sup>b</sup>
2 <sup>nd</sup> year	35±3 <sup>a</sup>	37±3 <sup>a</sup>	38±2 <sup>a</sup>	39±2 <sup>b</sup>	39±2 <sup>a</sup>
3 <sup>rd</sup> year	36±2 <sup>a</sup>	38±2 ª	40±3 <sup>a</sup>	41±3 <sup>b</sup>	42±3 <sup>b</sup>

\*Figures after  $\pm$  represent standard deviation; <sup>a</sup> = (p<0.05); <sup>b</sup> = (p>0.05); n=30 pairs.

Table 5. Change in the number of eggs/year in response to different doses of A. paniculata

Duration	Normal feed (Control)	Normal feed + 50mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 100mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 150mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 200mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>
1 <sup>st</sup> year	4.00±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	4.00±0.25 <sup>a</sup>	3.00±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	3.00±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	$2.00{\pm}0.08^{a}$
2 <sup>nd</sup> year	8.00±2.0 <sup>a</sup>	$8.50{\pm}0.18^{a}$	5.50±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	4.25±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	3.50±0.13 <sup>a</sup>
3 <sup>rd</sup> year	8.00±2.0 <sup>a</sup>	8.00±0.0 <sup>a</sup>	3.25±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	3.00±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	2.25±0.11 <sup>a</sup>

\*Figures after  $\pm$  represent standard deviation; <sup>a</sup> = (p<0.05); <sup>b</sup> = (p>0.05); n=30 pairs

### Length of Egg Cycles

Pigeons fed with increasing doses of Andrographis powder had significantly (p<0.05) exhibited lengthened egg cycle period compared to those fed with normal feeds (Table 3). The length of egg cycle was usually increasing from  $66\pm3$  in the first year to 66±4 days in the third year, but Andrographis treatment had extended the duration from  $66\pm4$  to  $90\pm4$  days. Higher doses (150mg & 200 mg/day) had the maximum negative effect on the length of egg cycle in pigeons. According to Abed Al-Azeem (2005), egg cycle of healthy pigeons is within the range of 45<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> -54<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> days. AbouKhashaba and Mariey (2009) had observed that dietary supplementation of 1to 5 g of vitamin and minerals premix/kg of diet had shortened the length of egg cycle from 56.62 to 45.62 days, which points out that rich nutrients had some effects on reducing the length of egg cycle in pigeons. Also, the duration of egg cycle depends on the activity of parents to nurse their squabs and warmth (Mariey, 2013). This finding clearly agrees with the reports of Mariey (2013), but there is an increase in the length of egg cycle because of some negative effects of A. paniculata on the metabolic activities of pigeons.

The duration between the successive eggs was usually increasing from  $34\pm4$  in the first year to  $36\pm2$  hours in the third year, but *Andrographis* treatment had delayed the duration from  $37\pm4$  to  $42\pm3$  hours. 150mg and 200 mg of *Andrographis* powder per day had shown the maximum delay in the laying of the second egg ( $33\pm3$  to  $42\pm3$  hours) instead of  $34\pm4$  to  $36\pm2$  hours after the first egg. The second egg of pigeon appears about 40 hours after laying the first egg (Johnston, 1998). The second egg was laid 30-34 hours after laying the first egg of the brood (Hagg, 1991). Results of this investigation show that *Andrographis* powder has significantly delayed the laying of the second egg after the emergence of the first egg of the clutch, and that its negative effect was more in the third year compared to the first year when the growth activities are vigorous.

### Number of Eggs Produced

Table 5 shows out that different doses of *Andrographis* powder had significantly (p<0.05) reduced the egg production compared to pigeons fed only with normal feeds. Pigeon pair fed with normal feeds had laid 4 eggs in the first year and  $8\pm 2$  eggs in the subsequent years whereas those fed with Andrographis had laid 2-4 eggs in the first year and 2-6 eggs in the next coming years. Further, these results imply that this herbal powder had decreased the egg production every year in all the treatments, and this negative effect was the maximum at 150 and 200 mg/day in the third year. Dietary supplementation of 150 and 200 mg of this drug per day had reduced the egg productivity up to 1/3 of the total production. The clutch size of a pigeon pair is two, of which the first egg usually hatches into a male squab and the second one hatches into a female squab (Johnston, 1998). Therefore, birds provided with normal diet had produced 8-10 eggs in 4 -5 broods, but the birds which received the herbal powder produced single eggs in 2 or 3 broods every year. Reduced number of egg cycles and production of single eggs were the main reasons for low egg production in pigeons fed with the herbal powder. Certain constituents of this herbal have abortifacient effect (Chang. 1987) in female rats and antifertility effects on male rats and mice due to the inhibition of spermatogenesis, degeneration of seminiferous tubules, regression of Leydig cells, and regressive degenerative changes in the epididymis, seminal vesicle, ventral prostate, and coagulating glands (Akbarsha et al., 1990; Burgos et al., 1997; Akbarsha and Murugaian, 2000). Hence, it is clearly obvious that long term use of A. paniculata has decreased the egg production in pigeons.

### Egg Weight

Feeding the pigeons with Andrographis powder had significantly (p<0.05) lowered egg weight of both the first eggs and the second eggs in course of time compared to the egg size of pigeons in control group (Table 6). Weight of first egg usually decreased from 14.44±1.2 g (in the first year) to  $14.34\pm1.1g$  (in the third year) while the weight of the second egg habitually decreased from  $14.42\pm1.1g$  (in the first year) to  $14.30\pm1.2$  g (in the third year). Andrographis powder decreased the weight of the first egg from 14.62±1.30 g to 13.36±1.1g and that of the second egg from  $14.44\pm1.0$  g to  $13.32\pm1.1$ g. Reduction in egg size was observed even in the 50 mg dose of Andrographis and it was the maximum in the 150 and 200 mg of this drug during the 3<sup>rd</sup> year. Ibrahim and Sani (2010) found that the egg weight is 14.7cm in pigeons. According to Darwati et al. (2010), the egg weight ranged from 10.7 to 23.2 gm. Dietary supplementation of yeasts (Mariety, 2013) and vitamins and minerals (AbouKhashaba and Mariey, 2009) give large-sized eggs compared to control. According to Pingel and Jeroch (1997), the egg quality varies depending on the genetic traits of pigeons and nutrition provided to them. The egg size of birds is mainly determined by the volume of egg white which is in fact affected by the nutritive value of feeds consumed (Tazawa and Whittow, 2000).

Table 6. A	Verage	weight (	of eggs in	response	to different	concentrations	of A.	paniculata
I HOIC OF I	i ver uge	the cignic c	n oggo m	response	to uniterent	concentrations	01 1 1.	punctunu

Duration	Normal feed (Control)	Normal feed + 50mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 100mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 150mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 200mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>
1 <sup>st</sup> year					
1 <sup>st</sup> egg	14.44±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	14.62±1.30 °	14.12±1.20 <sup>a</sup>	14.02±1.21 <sup>a</sup>	14.01±1.11 <sup>b</sup>
2 <sup>nd</sup> egg	14.42±1.1ª	14.44±1.0 <sup>a</sup>	13.61±1.30 <sup>a</sup>	13.11±1.18 <sup>b</sup>	13.12±1.16 <sup>b</sup>
2 <sup>nd</sup> year					
1 <sup>st</sup> egg	14.41±1.0 <sup>a</sup>	$14.42\pm1.2^{a}$	13.43±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	13.60±1.3 <sup>a</sup>	13.61±1.2 <sup>b</sup>
2 <sup>nd</sup> egg	14.37±1.1ª	14.41±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	13.42±1.1 <sup>b</sup>	13.44±1.0 <sup>a</sup>	13.42±1.0 <sup>a</sup>
3 <sup>rd</sup> year					
1 <sup>st</sup> egg	14.34±1.1ª	14.37±1.0 <sup>b</sup>	13.33±1.1ª	13.38±1.1 <sup>b</sup>	13.36±1.1 <sup>b</sup>
2 <sup>nd</sup> egg	14.30±1.2ª	14.34±1.0 <sup>a</sup>	13.31±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	13.35±1.1 ª	13.32±1.1ª

\*Figures after  $\pm$  represent standard deviation; <sup>a</sup> = (p<0.05); <sup>b</sup> = (p>0.05); n=50 eggs

	6 6 4 1	1 1 1	4 1.66 4	1 6 4	• • •
Ishlo / Porcontagos	of forfild daag	nroducod in roci	ionco to dittoront	A to soon t	nanieulata
	UT ICTUIC CEES	DIVUULLU III I USI	///////////////////////////////////////	. นบรุปร บเ /	<i>manncanun</i>
					r

Duration	Normal feed (Control)	Normal feed + 50mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 100mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 150mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 200mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>
1 <sup>st</sup> year	82.7±1.1ª	84.3±1.3ª	81.2±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	80.5±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	78.3±1.2ª
2 <sup>nd</sup> year	83.1±1.2ª	82.7±1.5 <sup>a</sup>	79.4±1.3ª	78.4±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	76.6±1.5 <sup>a</sup>
3rd year	82.2±1.2ª	81.6±1.4 <sup>a</sup>	78.2±1.4ª	76.7±1.2 <sup>b</sup>	74.4±1.4 <sup>b</sup>

\*Figures after  $\pm$  represent standard deviation; <sup>a</sup> = (p<0.05); <sup>b</sup> = (p>0.05); n= 30 pairs

#### Table 8. Percentages of infertile eggs produced in response to different doses of A. paniculata

Duration	Normal feed (Control)	Normal feed + 50mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 100mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 150mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 200mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>
1 <sup>st</sup> year	17.3±1.2ª	15.7±1.3ª	14.8±1.5 <sup>a</sup>	18.5±1.1ª	20.6±1.4ª
2 <sup>nd</sup> year	16.9±1.3ª	14.7±1.5 <sup>a</sup>	18.6±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	20.6±1.3 <sup>b</sup>	20.6±1.3 <sup>b</sup>
3 <sup>rd</sup> year	17.0±1.1ª	18.4±1.3 <sup>a</sup>	19.8±1.3ª	21.3±1.2 <sup>b</sup>	21.6±1.5 <sup>b</sup>

\*Figures after  $\pm$  represent standard deviation; <sup>a</sup> = (p<0.05); <sup>b</sup> = (p>0.05); n= 30 pairs

#### Table 9. Hatching percentage of fertile eggs in response to different doses of A. paniculata

Duration	Normal feed (Control)	Normal feed + 50mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 100mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 150mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 200mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>
1 <sup>st</sup> year	96.3±1.2ª	94.7±1.7 <sup>a</sup>	92.4±1.3 <sup>a</sup>	92.3±1.6 <sup>b</sup>	92.0±1.3 <sup>b</sup>
2 <sup>nd</sup> year	96.5±1.3ª	94.9±1.5 <sup>a</sup>	92.2±1.8 <sup>b</sup>	91.4±1.5 <sup>b</sup>	91.2±1.1 <sup>a</sup>
3 <sup>rd</sup> year	96.3±1.3ª	94.3±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	91.4±1.6 <sup>a</sup>	90.3±1.3 <sup>b</sup>	90.1±1.6 <sup>b</sup>

\*Figure after  $\pm$  represents standard deviation; <sup>a</sup> = (p<0.05); <sup>b</sup> = (p>0.05); n=300 eggs.

Fatty acids profile of yolk lipids does not vary in pigeons depending on the type of nutrition (Gugolek *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, it is concluded that nutrition type can alter the volume of egg white to determine the size of eggs. Certain active principles in *A. paniculata* might have some negative effects on the synthesis of egg white which led to the production of somewhat low amount of egg white in the eggs and production of smaller eggs. This negative effect might have increased with increase in the age of pigeons because of the combined effect of ageing and drug powder.

of the total laid eggs were found to be infertile because of the failure of the fusion of egg and sperm nuclei. The percentage of infertile eggs was high during the summer and winter because of extreme heat and cold (Levi, 1963). Dietary yeasts, which increase the growth and reproductive attributes, improve the quality of semen in pigeons to increase the fertility percentage of eggs (Mariety, 2005) and it is also confirmed in quail hens (Abdel- Azeem *et al.* 2005). Here, the increase in fertility percentage of egg is related to higher sexual efficiency and better semen quality of males (Mariety, 2005).

Table 10. Percentage of embryo death in response to different doses of A. paniculata

Duration	Normal feed (Control)	Normal feed + 50mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 100mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 150mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 200mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>
1 <sup>st</sup> year	3.7±1.3 <sup>a</sup>	5.3±1.2ª	6.4±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	7.7±1.3 <sup>ª</sup>	8.0±1.3 <sup>a</sup>
2 <sup>nd</sup> year	3.5±1.2ª	5.1±1.4ª	7.6±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	8.6±1.3 <sup>a</sup>	8.8±1.4 <sup>a</sup>
3 <sup>rd</sup> year	3.3±1.1ª	5.7±1.4ª	8.6±1.3ª	9.7±1.2ª	9.9±1.2ª

\*Figure after  $\pm$  represents standard deviation; <sup>a</sup> = (p<0.05); <sup>b</sup> = (p>0.05); n=300 eggs.

Table 11.	Weight	changes of	squabs in 1	response to	different	dosage of	Androgra	phis	paniculata
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Time	Normal feed (Control)	Normal feed + 50mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 100mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 150mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>	Normal feed + 200mg of <i>A.paniculata</i>
1 <sup>st</sup> day	19±2.12 <sup>a</sup>	19±2.18 <sup>b</sup>	19.12±3.29 <sup>a</sup>	19.02±2.18 <sup>b</sup>	19.02±2.18 <sup>b</sup>
7 <sup>th</sup> day	99±8.21ª	$100\pm8.26^{a}$	96±9.28 <sup>a</sup>	90±9. 82 <sup>b</sup>	93±10. 22 <sup>b</sup>
14 <sup>th</sup> day	182±11.29 <sup>a</sup>	182±12.61 <sup>a</sup>	164±12.29 <sup>a</sup>	132±12.18 <sup>b</sup>	118±12.10 <sup>b</sup>
21 <sup>st</sup> day	304±9.54 <sup>a</sup>	305±7.74 <sup>a</sup>	285±7.62 <sup>a</sup>	245±10.60 <sup>b</sup>	228±11.28 <sup>b</sup>
28 <sup>th</sup> day	353±11.61 <sup>a</sup>	366±8.67 <sup>b</sup>	326±10.11 <sup>b</sup>	296±10.16 <sup>b</sup>	278±11.08 <sup>b</sup>
35 <sup>th</sup> day	452±12.29 °	454±11.42 <sup>a</sup>	388±10.40 <sup>a</sup>	328±12.48 <sup>a</sup>	300±10.31 <sup>b</sup>
42 <sup>nd</sup> day	473±10.61 a	476±8.69 a	396±8.69 <sup>a</sup>	354±10.29 <sup>b</sup>	311±13.22 <sup>b</sup>
49 <sup>th</sup> day	425±12 <sup>a</sup>	423±10.6 <sup>a</sup>	343±8.5 <sup>a</sup>	312±10.42 <sup>a</sup>	292±10.6 <sup>a</sup>
56 <sup>th</sup> day	312±12.4 <sup>a</sup>	318±42.6 <sup>a</sup>	288±8.5 <sup>b</sup>	269±8.6 °	247±10.7 <sup>b</sup>

\*Figure after  $\pm$  represents standard deviation; <sup>a</sup> = (p<0.05); <sup>b</sup> = (p>0.05); n=25 squabs in each treatment



### Percentage of Fertile and Infertile Eggs

The percentage of fertile eggs laid by pigeons fed with *Andrographis* powder was significantly (P<0.05) reduced compared to that in the pigeons of control group (Table 7). *Andrographis* treatment has decreased the fertility percentage up to 81.6 - 74.4% instead of 82.7 - 82.2% in control. Reduction in fertility percentage was observed even in the 50 mg dose of *Andrographis* (3<sup>rd</sup> year) and there was the maximum reduction of egg fertility in the 150 and 200 mg of this drug in the 3<sup>rd</sup> year. Meleg (1997) reported that 4.6 - 6.3%

Akbarsha, *et al.*, (1990), Burgos *et al.*, (1997), Akbarsha and Murugaian (2000) and Mkrtchyan *et al* (2005) have already proved the negative effect of *A. paniculata* in the sperm quality and fertility of rats and mice. Therefore, it is concluded that this herbal powder has reduced the fertility of eggs in pigeon, and that this negative effect increases with age of the pigeons. As a consequence, the number of infertile eggs had increased significantly (p<0.05) in pigeons fed with *Andrographis* powder and this effect was high at 150 and 200mg/ day (Table 8).

### Hatching Percentage and Embryo Death

Powder of A. paniculata had significantly (p<0.05) reduced the hatching percentage of fertile eggs of pigeons (Table 9). In the control group, there was no significant change in the hatchability of eggs (96.3%) during the course of experiment. But, dietary supply of the herbal powder lowered the egg hatchability (94.9 - 90.1%) and this effect was found to be the maximum at 150 and 200 mg /day. Hatching percentage is within the range of 59.68 - 63.69% in pigeons and is low in spring and high during the summer (Meleg, 1997). Darwati et al. (2010) observed 77% hatchability in pigeon eggs. Probiotic yeasts, which increase the growth and reproductive attributes, increase the hatchability of eggs in pigeon (Mariety, 2005). Dietary supply of vitamins and minerals has also improved the hatchability of the eggs of quail hens (Abdel- Azeem et al., 2005). Therefore, it is believed that nutritious feeds can in general improve the hatchability of eggs.

In the present study, supply of this herbal powder had decreased the hatchability of fertile eggs because of the mild antagonistic effects of certain active principles on the early embryo development in the eggs. This idea agrees with Chang (1987) who had induced abortions at different stages of pregnancy in female albino mice by injecting the decoction of A.paniculata through intramuscular or subcutaneous rout. Owing to the slight abortive effect of this herbal powder, the percentage of embryo death in the eggs increased from 5.3 (1<sup>st</sup> year) to 9.9 (3<sup>rd</sup> year) at the higher (150 & 200 mg/day) concentrations (Table10). Meleg (1997) reported embryo death as high as 16-19% of eggs during incubation in poorly managed lofts, but Mariety (2005) reported only 2-3% of eggs with dead embryos when pigeons were fed with yeast supplementation. Here, embryo death was more in the present study than the previous reports because of defects in the early embryo development.

### Change in Body Weight of Squabs

Results in table (11) indicate that there were significant (p<0.05) differences in the body weight of squabs on the 1<sup>st</sup>,  $\overline{7^{th}}$ ,  $14^{th}$ ,  $21^{st}$ ,  $35^{th}$ ,  $42^{nd}$ ,  $49^{th}$  and  $56^{th}$  days both in the control group and pigeons fed with 50mg, 100mg, 150mg and 200 mg of herbal powder per day. When pigeons were fed with normal feeds, the weight of squabs increased from  $19\pm2.12$  (1<sup>st</sup> day) to  $473\pm10.61$  g ( $42^{nd}$  day) and then declined to  $312\pm12.4$  g ( $56^{th}$ day). Supply of 50mg herbal powder per day had slightly increased the weights of squabs at all time points of the experiment but higher doses (100, 150 and 200mg/day) strongly reduced the weight of the squabs. The maximum weight of squabs on the  $42^{nd}$  day was within the range of 396±8.69 (100mg/day) to 311±13.22 (200mg/day) instead of 473±10.61g (control). The weight of squabs at full flight stage (56th day) was ranged from 288±8.5 g (100mg/day) to 247±10.7g (400mg/day) instead of 312±12.4g in the control. Levi (1954), Bokhari (1994) and Sales and Janssens (2003) have reported that in the first six or seven days, the body of squabs seems to be doubled in size and the squabs have reached the peak of its growth for size and weight in 26-28 days. The results of weight change in the control group are in the same line of reports made by Levi (1954) and Bokhari (1994). Dietary supplements that promote the growth and

reproductive attributes of pigeons have enhanced the growth and body weight gain in squabs (Mariey, 2013; Saxena *et al.*, 2008). This herbal powder, although favouring the growth at 50mg/day, had reduced the body weight of squabs at higher doses (100, 150 and 200mg/day) due to its activity of blocking various metabolic pathways at different levels.

### **Mortality Percentage of Squabs**

Mortality rate of squabs before full-flight stage (56 days) was significantly (p<0.05) affected by increasing dosage of Andrographis powder compared to squabs of the control group (Fig.2). The mortality percentage of young squabs increased from 31.4±2.42 to 49.7±4.17 in the herbal treated groups instead of 39.9±2.17 in control group. In pigeons early mortality of squab is relatively higher than the mortality after flight stage (Meleg, 1997). Feed supplements that promote the growth and reproductive attributes of pigeons have enhanced the survivability of squabs (Mariey, 2013). Visual examination of the squabs showed that the parents fed with Andrographis powder fed only a small volume of crop milks compared to the squabs in the control group, which implied that this herbal powder has reduced the level of secretion of crop milk from parents at higher doses (150 and 200mg/day) by blocking the vital metabolic activities, removing the beneficial microflora of intestine and reducing the rate of normal physiological activities of squabs. Therefore, squab mortality in the experimental groups are little higher than that in the control group.

### Conclusions

From the above data, it can be concluded that long term use of the dried powder of A. paniculata has delayed the maturation period, reduced the number of egg cycles per year, number of eggs per year, extended the interval between the first and second eggs of a brood, reduced percentage of fertile eggs, the size and weight of eggs, hatchability and weight gain of young squabs, and increased the squab mortality in pigeons. Nevertheless, this herbal powder is a good immunostimulant and antiviral drug to reduce infections. Low dosage (50mg/day) of this herbal powder did not cause any ill effect in reproductive parameters of pigeons but its higher doses adversely affect their reproductive characteristics. Since this herbal powder is causing reproductive stress in pigeons, it should not be recommended as a dietary supplement to pigeons and related birds and we suggest that it may be given orally at a dosage of 100mg for 1 or 3 days for disease control.

### REFERENCES

- Abed Al-Azeem, F.A.M. 2005. Social life, reproductive behavior and squab growth of local Egyptionbaladi pigeon, *Egypt Poultry Sci.* Vol. 25 (1):13-28.
- AbouKhashaba, H.A. and Y.A. Mariey 2009. Nutritional and management studies on the pigeon: Effect of different levels of vitamins and minerals on performance. *Egypt. Poult. Sci.*, 29 (IV): 947-969.
- Akbarsha , M.A., Manivannan, B. and Shahul, H.K. 1990. Antifertility effect of *Andrographis paniculata* (Nees) in male albino rat. *Indian J Exp Biol.* 28:421-426.

- Akbarsha, M. A and Murugaian, P. 2005. Aspects of the male reproductive toxicity/male antifertility property of andrographolide in albino rats: effect on the testes and the cauda epididymidal healthy male subjects. *Phytomedicine*, 12:403-409.
- Ambasta, S.P. 1992. (Eds) Useful Plants of India, Publications and Information Directorate, Council of Scientific & Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi,
- Bokhari, S. A. 1994. Commercial squab production: Monogamous couples grow delicious product, *World Poultry*, 10: 30-33.
- Burgos, R.A., Caballero, E. E. and Sanchez, N.S. 1997. Testicular toxicity assessment of *Andrographis paniculata* dried extract in rats. *J Ethnopharmacol.* 58:219-224.
- Darwati, S., Martojo1, H., Sumantri, C., Sihombing, D.T.H. and Mardiastuti, A. 2010. Productivity, Repeatability of Productive and Reproductive Traits of Local Pigeon, J. Indonesian Trop.Anim.Agric. 35(4) December 2010, pp.268-274.
- Duncan, D. B. 1955.Multiple range and multiple F-test. Biometrics 11: 1-42.
- Gugołek, A., Emilia Mróz, J. Strychalski, Justyna Cilulko, Monika Stępińska and Małgorzata Konstantynowicz, 2013. A comparison of food preferences, egg quality and reproductive performance in short- and normal-beaked pigeons, *Arch.Geflügelk.*, 77 (4). S. 279–284.
- Haag, D. (1991) Population density as a regulator of mortality among eggs and nestlings of feral pigeons (*Columba livia domestica*) in Basel, Switzerland. In: Pinowski J. et al. (eds), Nestling mortality of granivorous birds due to microorganisms and toxic substances. *PWN Warsaw: 21–31*.
- Ibrahim, T. and Sani, Y. 2010. Relationship between Egg weight and Hatch weight in Pigeons (*Columba livia*). Int. J. Poult. Sci., 9(6): 599-601.
- Johnston, R.F. 1998. Feral Pigeons, *Kansas School Naturalis*, Vol. 45, Number 2, December 1998.
- Kazal Krishna Ghosh, Suchandan Sikder, Sharmin Chowdhury, Modhan Kumar Ray, Amitav Sarker and Gouranga Chandra Chanda, A Study on Management System of an Intensive Pigeon Farming at Chittagong. 2013. Wayamba Journal of Animal Science, February 24, 2013, P623-P628.
- Levi, W. M. 1954. The Pigeons: R.L.Company. Columba. S.C (C.F. Abed Al-Azeem, F. et al. 2006).
- Mariey, Y.A 2013. Nutritional and Management Studies on the Pigeon: Effect of Dietary Teast Supplementation on Productive and Reproductive Performance of Pigeon. *Egypt. Poult. Sci.* Vol (33) (II): 349-356.

- Mathew, K.M, An Excursion Flora of Central Tamil Nadu, India, Rapinet Herbarium, Trichy. 1991.
- Meleg, I. 1997. Seasonal Effects on Hatchability Traits of Meat-type Pigeon Parents, Proceedings of Australian Poultry Science Symposium, 1997, Pannon Agricultural University, P. O. Box 16, Kaposvar 7401, Hungary, pp,203-205.
- Mkrtchyan, A., Panosyan, V. and Panossian A. 2000. A phase I clinical study of *Andrographis paniculata* fixed combination Kan Jang versus ginseng and valerian on the semen quality of spermatozoa. *Phytother Res.* 14:432-435.
- Pingel, H. and Jeroch, H. 1997. Egg Quality as Influenced by Genetic, Management and Nutritional Factors. Proceedings of the VII European Symposium on the Quality of Eggs and Egg Products. 21.–26.09.1997, Poznań, Poland, 13-27.
- Puri, A., Saxena, R., Saxena, R.P., Saxena, K.C., Srivastava, V. and Tandon, J.S. 1993. Immunostimulant agents from *Andrographis paniculata*. J Nat Prod. 56(7):995-999.
- Sales, J. and Janssens, G. P. J. 2003. Relationship between egg weight and hatch weight in pigeons (*Columba livia*). World's Poult. Sci., 59: 221-232.
- Sandberg, F. 1994. Andrographidis herba Chuanxinlian, A Review. American Botanical Council, Austin, Texas, USA.
- Saxena, V. L., Pandey, E., Agarwal, S. and Saxena, A. K. 2008. Execution of Breeding and Nidification Behaviour in Pigeon (*Columba livia*) and Dove (*Streptopelia chinensis*). *Asian J. Expt. Sci.*, 22(3): 405 - 410.
- Shamsuzzoha .M., Rahman, M.S., Ahmed, M.M. and Islam, A.K. 1978. Anti-fertility effect in mice of medicinal plant of family Acanthaceae. *Lancet.* 2: 900.
- Shamsuzzoha, M., Rahman, M.S. and Ahmed, M.M. 1979. Antifertility activity of a medicinal plant of the genus *Andrographis* Wall (family Acanthaceae): Part II. *Bangladesh Med Res Counc Bull.* 5:14-18.
- SPSS (1997).SPSS user's guide statistics Version 10. Copyright SPSS Inc., USA.
- Sturtevent, J. and Hollander, W.F. 1978. Breeding pigeons at the lab, *Pigeon science and genetics newsletter*, Vol. 8 (suppl.), 7., pp.11-12.
- Tazawa, H. and Whittow, G.C. 2000: Incubation physiology. Sturkie's Avian Physiology. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Zoha, M.S., Hussain, A.H.M. and Choudhury, S.A.R. 1989. Antifertility effect of *Andrographis paniculata* in mice. *Bangladesh Med Res Counc Bull*. Vol.15: 34-37.

\*\*\*\*\*\*